utb.

Manfred Jürgen Matschke Gerrit Brösel

Business Valuation

Functions, Methods, Principles





Eine Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Verlage

Böhlau Verlag · Wien · Köln · Weimar Verlag Barbara Budrich · Opladen · Toronto facultas · Wien Wilhelm Fink · Paderborn Narr Francke Attempto Verlag / expert verlag · Tübingen Haupt Verlag ⋅ Bern Verlag Julius Klinkhardt · Bad Heilbrunn Mohr Siebeck · Tübingen Ernst Reinhardt Verlag · München Ferdinand Schöningh · Paderborn transcript Verlag · Bielefeld Eugen Ulmer Verlag · Stuttgart UVK Verlag · München Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht · Göttingen Waxmann · Münster · New York wbv Publikation · Bielefeld Wochenschau Verlag · Frankfurt am Main



Prof. Dr. Manfred Jürgen Matschke is one of the original exponents of the functional business valuation theory presented in this book that has grown incrementally in popularity in Germany over the last 50 years.



Prof. Dr. Gerrit Brösel, a former student of Matschke, is a successful author of textbooks. He has worked for one of the Big Four international auditing firms and the Chamber of Industry and Commerce as a publicly appointed expert on business valuation.

Manfred Jürgen Matschke / Gerrit Brösel

Business Valuation

Functions, Methods, Principles

Umschlagmotiv: iStockphoto CHUNYIP WONG

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek. Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.dnb.de abrufbar.

© UVK Verlag 2021

– ein Unternehmen der Narr Francke Attempto Verlag GmbH + Co. KG Dischingerweg $5 \cdot D$ -72070 Tübingen

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Das gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

Internet: www.narr.de eMail: info@narr.de

Einbandgestaltung: Atelier Reichert, Stuttgart CPI books GmbH, Leck

utb-Nr. 5520 ISBN 978-3-8252-5520-6 (Print) ISBN 978-3-8385-5520-1 (ePDF)



Preface

Valuation methods originating in the Anglo-Saxon world (especially those methods from the USA) are considered *state of the art* when it comes to business valuation. These valuation methods are spread through numerous English-language articles and books and are applied in practice almost without exception. However, these market-value-oriented valuation methods were established through a theoretical model based on neoclassical finance theory. Moreover, what we now recognize as unrealistic premises are inconsistently combined. The result is for some kind of market value because that is what everyone seems to ask for. In practice especially, when money is to be earned, the methods used are most often those in vogue. However, buyers or sellers can find the purchase price they pay or are paid based on those valuation methods disregarded their decision value, and consequently, their good faith in fashionable valuation methods can lead to their incurring accompanied by an economic loss. Moreover, those buyers and sellers neither know the appropriate decision value nor are they aware of how it is derived.

The alternative valuation theory, functional business valuation theory, arose in Germany in the 1970s and has been developing ever since. Its central aspect is that any valuation conducted is purpose-driven. While several studies dealing with these ideas can now be found in the English-language literature, the ideas of functional business valuation have not yet been made available to an international audience in a compact form.

This book, which has already been published in German, Polish, and Russian, now offers an audience of English-speaking valuation professionals a theoretically sound alternative to the fashionable market-value-oriented form of valuation. The first chapter of the book presents the basics of functional business valuation theory and the three main functions of business valuation in the following three chapters. This book certainly does not ignore methods for determining market values but tests their suitability in real-world situations. Those methods are assigned to the relevant main function of business valuation to show the context in which they can be useful in practice. Chapter 5 then summarizes the purpose-oriented principles of business valuation.

We would like to thank Mrs. ALICJA SOMMERMEIER, Mr. PATRICK THIELMANN, and Mr. Christoph Heidler for their support in the preparation of the manuscript, especially with regard to translation. Furthermore, we would also like to thank the publisher for their excellent cooperation.

MANFRED JÜRGEN MATSCHKE

GERRIT BRÖSEL

Content Overview

| | | Page |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| | eface | V |
| | ntents | IX |
| | et of Symbols | XV XXI |
| LIS | at of Symbols | AAI |
| 1 | Fundamentals of Business Valuation | 1 |
| | 1.1 Basic Terminology | 3 |
| | 1.2 Concepts of Business Valuation | 11 |
| | 1.3 Occasions of Business Valuation | 37 |
| | 1.4 Matrix of Functional Business Valuation | 1.0 |
| | and Overview of Methods of Business Valuation | 46 |
| | 1.5 Selected Control Questions | 52 |
| 2 | Decision Function and Decision Value | 53 |
| | 2.1 Basics | 55 |
| | 2.2 Determination of Multi-Dimensional Decision Values2.3 Determination of One-Dimensional Decision Values in Non-Dominated, Disjoint Conflict Situations of the | 60 |
| | Acquisition/Sale Type | 70 |
| | 2.4 Selected Problems of Decision Value Determination | 159 |
| | 2.5 Selected Control Questions | 210 |
| 3 | Mediation Function and Arbitration Value | 213 |
| 5 | 3.1 Basics | 215 |
| | 3.2 Value Determination in Non-Dominated Conflict Situations | 218 |
| | 3.3 Value Determination in Dominated Conflict Situations | 255 |
| | 3.4 Selected Control Questions | 257 |
| 4 | Argumentation Function and Argumentation Value | 259 |
| | 4.1 Basics | 261 |
| | 4.2 Value Determination | 270 |
| | 4.3 Selected Control Questions | 325 |
| 5 | Principles of Business Valuation | 329 |
| | 5.1 Principles of Business Valuation as a Norm System | 331 |
| | 5.2 Principles of Functional Business Valuation | 338 |
| | 5.3 Selected Control Questions | 344 |
| Ref | ferences | 345 |
| | out the Authors | 365 |
| Ind | ex | 367 |

Contents

| | | | Page |
|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Co Lis | t of F | Overview igures ymbols | V VII XV XXI |
| 1 | Fun | damentals of Business Valuation | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Basic Terminology | 3 |
| | 1.2 | Concepts of Business Valuation 1.2.1 Functional Business Valuation Theory via the | 11 |
| | | German School 1.2.2 Neoclassical Business Valuation Theory via the | 11 |
| | | Anglo-Saxon School | 16 |
| | 1.3 | Occasions of Business Valuation 1.3.1 Requirement to Systematize Occasions of Business Valuation | 37 37 |
| | | 1.3.2 Systematization of Occasions of Business Valuation According to the Main Functions1.3.2.1 Conflict Situations of the Acquisition/Sale Type and | 39 |
| | | of the Merger/Demerger Type | 39 |
| | | 1.3.2.2 Non-dominated and Dominated Conflict Situations1.3.2.3 Disjoint and Joint Conflict Situations | 41 41 |
| | | 1.3.2.4 One-Dimensional and Multi-Dimensional Conflict Situations | 42 |
| | 1.4 | Matrix of Functional Business Valuation | |
| | | and Overview of Methods of Business Valuation | 46 |
| | | 1.4.1 Matrix of Functional Business Valuation1.4.2 Overview of Methods of Business Valuation | 46 48 |
| | | | |
| | 1.5 | Selected Control Questions | 52 |
| 2 | Dec | ision Function and Decision Value | 53 |
| | 2.1 | Basics | 55 |
| | 2.2 | Determination of Multi-Dimensional Decision Values 2.2.1 Utility Values as Basics for Decision Value Determination 2.2.1.1 The Term "Utility Value" | 60 60 |

X Contents

| | 2.2.1.2 | Target Pl | an and Decision Field as Parameters of the | |
|-----|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | Utility V | alue | 60 |
| | 2.2.2 A Gene | ral Model | for the Determination of a | |
| | Multi-I | Dimension | al Decision Value | 65 |
| | 2.2.2.1 | Determin | ation of Decision Values as a Two-Step | |
| | | Calculati | on | 65 |
| | 2.2.2.2 | Determin | ation of the Base Program | 65 |
| | | | ation of the Valuation Program | 66 |
| | | | mensional Decision Value | 67 |
| | | | Acceptable Conflict Resolutions | 69 |
| | 2.2.2.6 | Set of Ag | greement Resolutions | 69 |
| 2.3 | Determinatio | n of One-l | Dimensional Decision Values in | |
| | Non-Domina | ted, Disjoi | nt Conflict Situations of the | |
| | Acquisition/S | | | 70 |
| | | | s on the Matrix of Functional Business Valuation | 70 |
| | | Overview | | 70 |
| | 2.3.1.2 | _ | s in Detail | 71 |
| | | | First Step | 71 |
| | | | Second Step | 75 |
| | | | Third step | 77 |
| | | _ | e Conflict Situation | 79 |
| | 2.3.3 Valuati | | | 82 |
| | 2.3.3.1 | | odels of Marginal Price Determination | 82 |
| | | 2.3.3.1.1 | Basic Model of Decision Value Determination | 82 |
| | | | 2.3.3.1.1.1 Determination of the Base Program | 82 |
| | | | 2.3.3.1.1.2 Determination of the Valuation | |
| | | | Program | 83 |
| | | | 2.3.3.1.1.3 Numerical Example | 84 |
| | | 2.3.3.1.2 | Basic Model of the Present Value | |
| | | | Calculation | 94 |
| | | | 2.3.3.1.2.1 Structural Equality of the Price | |
| | | | Limit Calculation and the | |
| | | | Present Value Calculation | 94 |
| | | | 2.3.3.1.2.2 Extended Interpretation of the | |
| | | | Term "Comparison Object" based | 0.6 |
| | | FT1 - 6 | on the Present Value Calculation | 96 |
| | 2.3.3.2 | | Marginal Price Model – a General Model | 97 |
| | | 2.3.3.2.1 | | 97 |
| | | 2.3.3.2.2 | The Model from the Presumptive Buyer's | |
| | | | Perspective | 100 |
| | | | 2.3.3.2.2.1 Presentation | 100 |
| | | | 2.3.3.2.2.2 Numerical Example | 102 |

Contents XI

| | 2.3.3.2.3 The Model from the Presumptive Seller's | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | Perspective | 107 |
| | 2.3.3.2.3.1 Presentation | 107 |
| | 2.3.3.2.3.2 Numerical Example | 109 |
| | 2.3.3.2.4 Consideration of Uncertainty | 114 |
| | 2.3.3.2.5 Critical Evaluation | 120 |
| | 2.3.3.3 Future Performance Value Method – a Partial Model | 121 |
| | 2.3.3.1 Presentation | 121 |
| | 2.3.3.3.2 Relation between the Total Model and the | |
| | Partial Model | 125 |
| | 2.3.3.3.2.1 Derivation of the Future | |
| | Performance Value Method | 125 |
| | 2.3.3.3.2.2 Numerical Example | 134 |
| | 2.3.3.3 Consideration of Uncertainty | 139 |
| | 2.3.3.4 Critical Evaluation | 142 |
| | 2.3.3.4 Approximate Decomposed Business Valuation – | |
| | a Heuristic Model | 145 |
| | 2.3.3.4.1 Basics | 145 |
| | 2.3.3.4.2 Heurististic Planning Method of Approximate | |
| | Decomposition under Consideration of | |
| | Uncertainty | 145 |
| | 2.3.3.4.3 Combination between Business Valuation and | |
| | Approximate Decomposition under Uncertainty | 154 |
| | 2.3.3.4.4 Critical Evaluation | 157 |
| 2.4 | Selected Problems of Decision Value Determination | 159 |
| | 2.4.1 Valuation of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises | 159 |
| | 2.4.1.1 Valuation-relevant Idiosyncrasies | 159 |
| | 2.4.1.2 State Marginal Price Model in Light of | |
| | Valuation-Relevant Idiosyncrasies | 160 |
| | 2.4.2 Effects on the Decision Value Through Modifications of the | |
| | Decision Field | 162 |
| | 2.4.3 Determination of the Decision Value in Conflict Situations | |
| | of the Merger Type and Demerger Type | 166 |
| | 2.4.3.1 Conflict Situations of the Merger Type | 166 |
| | 2.4.3.1.1 Presentation | 166 |
| | 2.4.3.1.2 Numerical Example | 172 |
| | 2.4.3.2 Conflict Situation of the Demerger Type | 180 |
| | 2.4.3.2.1 Presentation | 180 |
| | 2.4.3.2.2 Numerical Example | 187 |
| | 2.4.4 Joint Conflict Situations | 199 |
| | 2.4.4.1 Preliminary Remarks | 199 |
| | 2.4.4.2 Exemplary Representation of the Joint Situation of | |
| | the Acquisition/Acquisition Type | 200 |
| 2.5 | Selected Control Questions | 210 |

| XII | Contents |
|-----|----------|
| | |

| 3 | Med | diation Function and Arbitration Value | 213 |
|---|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | 3.1 | Basics | 215 |
| | 3.2 | Value Determination in Non-Dominated Conflict Situations 3.2.1 Further Investigation Steps within the Matrix of Functional | 218 |
| | | Business Valuation | 218 |
| | | 3.2.1.1 Overview | 218 |
| | | 3.2.1.2 The Steps in Detail | 218 |
| | | 3.2.1.2.1 First Step | 218 |
| | | 3.2.1.2.2 Second Step | 222 |
| | | 3.2.1.2.3 Third Step | 224 |
| | | 3.2.2 Selected Valuation Methods | 225 |
| | | 3.2.2.1 Preliminary Remarks | 225 |
| | | 3.2.2.2 Combined Valuation Methods | 229 |
| | | 3.2.2.2.1 Mean Value Method | 229 |
| | | 3.2.2.2.2 Goodwill Rent Methods | 231 |
| | | 3.2.2.3 Overview of typical method-specific characteristics | |
| | | and their arbitration-theoretic interpretation | 241 |
| | | 3.2.3 Selected Problems at the Determination of the Arbitration | |
| | | Value | 245 |
| | | 3.2.3.1 Determination of the Arbitration Value at an IPO | 245 |
| | | 3.2.3.2 Arbitration Value Determination at Auctions of | |
| | | Mergers & Acquisitions | 252 |
| | 3.3 | Value Determination in Dominated Conflict Situations | 255 |
| | 3.4 | Selected Control Questions | 257 |
| 4 | Arg | gumentation Function and Argumentation Value | 259 |
| | 4.1 | Basics | 261 |
| | 4.2 | Value Determination | 270 |
| | | 4.2.1 Steps of Determination within the Matrix of | |
| | | Functional Business Valuation | 270 |
| | | 4.2.1.1 Overview | 270 |
| | | 4.2.1.2 The Steps in Detail | 270 |
| | | 4.2.1.2.1 First Step | 270 |
| | | 4.2.1.2.2 Second Step | 271 |
| | | 4.2.1.2.3 Third Step | 280 |

| Content | ts | XIII |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|------|
| | 4.2.2 Selected Valuation Methods | 282 |
| | 4.2.2.1 Comparison Methods | 282 |
| | 4.2.2.1.1 Comparison Methods based on | |
| | Single Valuation | 282 |
| | 4.2.2.1.1.1 Stock-and-Debt Method | 282 |
| | 4.2.2.1.1.2 Similar Public Company Approach | 284 |
| | 4.2.2.1.1.3 Initial Public Offering Approach | 289 |
| | 4.2.2.1.2 Comparison Methods based on | |
| | Overall Valuation | 289 |
| | 4.2.2.1.2.1 Recent Acquisitions Approach | 289 |
| | 4.2.2.1.2.2 Market Multiples Approach | 290 |
| | 4.2.2.2 Finance-theoretic Methods | 292 |
| | 4.2.2.2.1 Capital Market-theoretic Methods (DCF Methods) | 292 |
| | 4.2.2.2.1.1 Basics | 292 |
| | 4.2.2.2.1.2 Weighted Avarage Cost of Capital | |
| | Approach | 302 |
| | 4.2.2.2.1.3 Adjusted Present Value Approach | 308 |
| | 4.2.2.2.1.4 Net Method (Flow to Equity Approach) | |
| | 4.2.2.2.1.5 Summary Overview | 313 |
| | 4.2.2.2 Methods of Strategic Valuation | 319 |
| 4.3 | Selected Control Questions | 325 |
| 5 Pri | nciples of Business Valuation | 329 |
| 5.1 | Principles of Business Valuation as a Norm System | 331 |
| 3.1 | 5.1.1 Characteristics | 331 |
| | 5.1.2 Purposes | 333 |
| | 5.1.3 Sources | 336 |
| 5.2 | Principles of Functional Business Valuation | 338 |
| 5.3 | Selected Control Questions | 344 |
| | | |
| Referen | | 345 |
| About tl | he Authors | 365 |
| Index | | 367 |

List of Figures

| Chapter 1 | | Page |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Figure 1.1: | Contents of the economic subjective value concept | 5 |
| Figure 1.2: | Determination of utility values | 6 |
| Figure 1.3: | Price as an exchange interaction | 7 |
| Figure 1.4: | Standard value | 8 |
| Figure 1.5: | Value types within the functional business valuation | |
| | (main functions) | 13 |
| Figure 1.6: | Starting point of the Arrow-Debreu example | 18 |
| Figure 1.7: | Market simulation of the starting point | 18 |
| Figure 1.8: | Payments of financial instruments based on states | 19 |
| Figure 1.9: | Representation of arbitrage based on specific traded securities | 20 |
| Figure 1.10: | Representation of arbitrage based on financial instruments | 20 |
| Figure 1.11: | Optimal market portfolio and capital market line | 25 |
| Figure 1.12: | Review of actual market prices | 29 |
| Figure 1.13: | Incompatible assumptions of the DCF elements | 35 |
| Figure 1.14: | Classification of occasions of business valuation | 38 |
| Figure 1.15: | Demerger types with changed ownership interests | 40 |
| Figure 1.16: | Classification of joint/affiliated conflict situations | 42 |
| Figure 1.17: | Classification of valuation situations for the main functions | 45 |
| Figure 1.18: | Matrix of functional business valuation | 47 |
| Figure 1.19: | Selected methods of business valuation | 48 |
| Figure 1.20: | Major differences between theory of finance and | |
| | investment theory | 50 |
| Figure 1.21: | Methods of the market-oriented value determination | 51 |
| Chapter 2 | | |
| Figure 2.1: | Presentation of an agreement situation in a conflict situation of the acquisition/sale type with price as the only conflict- | |
| | resolution-relevant fact | 57 |
| Figure 2.2: | Multi-dimensional conflict situation of the acquisition/sale type | 58 |
| Figure 2.3: | Parameters and steps for the determination of the utility value | |
| | for an alternative | 64 |
| Figure 2.4: | Matrix of functional business valuation | 71 |
| Figure 2.5: | Systematization of planning processes under uncertainty | 76 |
| Figure 2.6: | Conflict cube of the acquisition/sale type for a non-dominated, | |
| | disjoint, and one-dimensional conflict situation | 79 |
| Figure 2.7: | Overview of the used symbols in the basic model representation | 82 |
| Figure 2.8: | Decision situation of the buyer | 85 |
| Figure 2.9: | Set A of alternatives of the buyer | 86 |
| Figure 2.10: | Alternative set $\mathfrak{B}(P)$ of the buyer | 87 |
| Figure 2.11: | Set of non-dominated alternatives $\mathfrak{B}(P)$ of the seller | 88 |

XVI List of Figures

| Figure 2.12: | Relative target contributions of the investment objects | 88 |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 2.13: | Base program of the buyer | 89 |
| Figure 2.14: | Valuation program of the buyer (determination with the | |
| | tabular method) | 90 |
| Figure 2.15: | Decision value of the buyer | 91 |
| Figure 2.16: | Decision situation of the seller | 92 |
| Figure 2.17: | Base program of the seller | 92 |
| Figure 2.18: | Valuation program of the seller (determination with the | |
| | tabular method) | 93 |
| Figure 2.19: | Decision value of the seller | 93 |
| Figure 2.20: | Data of the example from the buyer's perspective | 103 |
| Figure 2.21: | Comprehensive financial plan of the buyer's base program | 104 |
| Figure 2.22: | Comprehensive financial plan of the buyer's valuation program | 105 |
| Figure 2.23: | Determination of the buyer's comparison object | 106 |
| Figure 2.24: | Determination of the decision value from the buyer's perspective | |
| C | based on the internal rate of return of the comparison object | 107 |
| Figure 2.25: | Data of the example from the seller's perspective | 110 |
| Figure 2.26: | Comprehensive financing plan of the seller's base program | 111 |
| Figure 2.27: | Comprehensive financial plan of the seller's valuation program | 112 |
| Figure 2.28: | Determination of the seller's comparison object | 113 |
| Figure 2.29: | Determination of the decision value from the seller's perspective | |
| C | based on the internal rate of return of the comparison object | 114 |
| Figure 2.30: | Pessimistic data of the example from the buyer's perspective | 116 |
| Figure 2.31: | Comprehensive financial plan of the buyer's base program | |
| | from a pessimistic perspective | 116 |
| Figure 2.32: | Comprehensive financial plan of the buyer's valuation program | |
| | from a pessimistic perspective | 117 |
| Figure 2.33: | Optimistic data of the example from the buyer's perspective | 117 |
| Figure 2.34: | Comprehensive financial plan of the buyer's base program | |
| | from an optimistic perspective | 118 |
| Figure 2.35: | Comprehensive financial plan of the buyer's valuation program | |
| | from an optimistic perspective | 119 |
| Figure 2.36: | Future performance value with growth rate w | 125 |
| Figure 2.37: | Upper and lower limit of the decision value P _{max} | 135 |
| Figure 2.38: | Components of the complex calculation formula for the buyer | 136 |
| Figure 2.39: | Upper and lower limit of the decision value P _{min} | 137 |
| Figure 2.40: | Components of the complex calculation formula for the seller | 138 |
| Figure 2.41: | Estimated frequency distribution of the future performance value | |
| Figure 2.42: | Frequency function of the future performance value | 141 |
| Figure 2.43: | Risk profile of the future performance value | 142 |
| Figure 2.44: | Basics and steps of the approximative decomposition | 146 |
| Figure 2.45: | Decision about feedback or termination | 151 |
| Figure 2.46: | Overview of the approximative decomposition | 153 |
| Figure 2.47: | Approximate decomposed valuation | 156 |
| Figure 2.48: | Exemplary data from the buyer's perspective – variation A | 162 |

List of Figures XVII

| Figure 2.49: | Comprehensive financial plan of the buyer's base program – variation A | 163 |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Figure 2.50: | Comprehensive financial plan of the buyer's valuation program – variation A | 163 |
| Eigung 2.51. | | |
| Figure 2.51: | Exemplary data from the seller's perspective – variation B | 164 |
| Figure 2.52: | Comprehensive financial plan of the seller's base program | 1.65 |
| E' 2.52 | - variation B | 165 |
| Figure 2.53: | Comprehensive financial plan of the seller's valuation program – variation B | 165 |
| Figure 2.54: | Data for the determination of the pre-merger program | 173 |
| Figure 2.55: | Comprehensive financial plan of the pre-merger program | 173 |
| Figure 2.56: | Data for the determination of the merger program | 174 |
| Figure 2.57: | Comprehensive financial plan of the merger program (case a) | 175 |
| Figure 2.58: | Comprehensive financial plan of the merger program (case b1) | 177 |
| Figure 2.59: | | 178 |
| Figure 2.60: | Comprehensive financial plan of a merger program (case b2) | 179 |
| Figure 2.61: | | 180 |
| Figure 2.62: | Special case of a structural change of ownership at a | 100 |
| 1 iguic 2.02. | non-ratio-preserving demerger into two businesses (split-up) | 188 |
| Figure 2.63: | Data for the determination of the pre-demerger program | 189 |
| Figure 2.64: | Comprehensive financial plan of the pre-demerger program | 190 |
| Figure 2.65: | Share of X in the distribution of the pre-demerger program | 190 |
| Figure 2.66: | Data of business \ddot{U}_1 for the determination of the demerger | 190 |
| riguie 2.00. | - | 101 |
| Ei 2 (7. | program Deta of having a Different a determination of the demonstration | 191 |
| Figure 2.67: | Data of business \ddot{U}_2 for the determination of the demerger program | 191 |
| Figure 2.68: | Comprehensive financial plan of business \ddot{U}_1 in the demerger | 171 |
| 1 iguic 2.00. | program (case a) | 192 |
| Figure 2.69: | Comprehensive financial plan of business \ddot{U}_2 in the demerger | 1/2 |
| riguie 2.09. | - | 193 |
| Eigura 2.70: | program (case a) Comprehensive financial plan of the valuation program of | 193 |
| Figure 2.70: | Comprehensive financial plan of the valuation program of shareholder X (case a) | 194 |
| Figure 2.71: | · / | 194 |
| rigule 2.71. | Comprehensive financial plan of business \ddot{U}_1 in the demerger | 105 |
| E' 2.72 | program (case b) | 195 |
| Figure 2.72: | Comprehensive financial plan of business \ddot{U}_2 in the demerger | 106 |
| F: 0.70 | program (case b) | 196 |
| Figure 2.73: | Comprehensive financial plan of the valuation program of | 100 |
| T' 0.74 | shareholder X (case b) | 198 |
| Figure 2.74: | Conflict cube for a non-dominated, joint, and one-dimensional | 200 |
| T' 0.75 | conflict situation | 200 |
| Figure 2.75: | Data of the joint conflict situation of the | 201 |
| T' 0.74 | acquisition/acquisition type | 201 |
| Figure 2.76: | Joint marginal price of business U _A | 203 |
| Figure 2.77: | Joint marginal price of business U _B | 207 |

XVIII List of Figures

| Figure 2.78: | Decision values in a joint conflict situation of the acquisition/acquisition type | 208 |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 2.79: | Example for the state marginal price model | 211 |
| Figure 2.80: | Example of joint conflict situations | 212 |
| 1 iguit 2.00. | Example of Joint conflict studions | 212 |
| Chapter 3 | | |
| Figure 3.1: | Arbitration area at freedom of choice | 216 |
| Figure 3.2: | Set of all conflict resolutions $\mathfrak S$ and the agreement area $\mathfrak E$ | 219 |
| Figure 3.3: | Dominance of agreements | 220 |
| Figure 3.4: | Relations of dominance | 221 |
| Figure 3.5: | Explanation of the rule of absolutely equal division and the rule of relatively equal division by means of a numerical | |
| | example | 223 |
| Figure 3.6: | Conflict cube for a non-dominated, disjoint, one-dimensional conflict situation | 226 |
| Figure 3.7: | Constellations for the application of the traditional combination | |
| | methods to determine the arbitration value | 228 |
| Figure 3.8: | Example for the mean value method | 230 |
| Figure 3.9: | Example for goodwill rent method I (majority opinion) | 233 |
| Figure 3.10: | Example for goodwill annuity method I (minority opinion) | 234 |
| Figure 3.11: | Example for goodwill rent method II (majority opinion) | 235 |
| Figure 3.12: | Example for the method of profit layering (FRITZ method) | 236 |
| Figure 3.13: | Determination of the adjusted operating profit with the EVA method | 238 |
| Figure 3.14: | Determination of the invested capital with the EVA method | 239 |
| Figure 3.14: | Factors of the combined valuation methods | 242 |
| Figure 3.16: | Initial situation of the determination of the arbitration value | 243 |
| Figure 3.17: | Exemplary arbitration values and advance benefit distributions | 243 |
| Figure 3.17: | Exemplary arbitration values and no advance benefit | 244 |
| | distributions | 244 |
| Figure 3.19: | Issuing processes | 246 |
| Figure 3.20: | Methods of issuing price determination | 247 |
| Figure 3.21: | Formal definition of the bookbuilding process | 248 |
| Figure 3.22: | Variants of auction processes | 250 |
| Figure 3.23: | Formal definition of the Dutch price tender process | 251 |
| Figure 3.24: | Formal definition of the American price tender process | 251 |
| Figure 3.25: | Process of an M&A auction | 253 |
| Figure 3.26: | Forms of M&A auctions | 254 |
| Chapter 4 | | |
| Figure 4.1: | Use of argumentation values in business valuation | 263 |
| Figure 4.2: | Distribution of the valuation-relevant profit contribution | |
| | considering an internal delimitation of responsibilities | 264 |

| List of Figures | | XIX |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 4.3: | Negotiation as a mere sequence of price offers | 265 |
| Figure 4.4: | Main features of argumentation values | 267 |
| Figure 4.5: | Argumentation factors of business valuation | 271 |
| Figure 4.6: | Present value annuity factor, depending on the interest rate i | |
| | and the observation period n | 274 |
| Figure 4.7: | Present value annuity factor of a (negatively) growing | |
| | annuity at i = 5 % | 276 |
| Figure 4.8: | Present value annuity factor of a (negatively) growing | |
| | annuity at i = 10 % | 276 |
| Figure 4.9: | Argumentation values, according to the stock-and-debt method | 284 |
| Figure 4.10: | Argumentation values, according to the similar public company | |
| | approach (a single comparable business) | 288 |
| Figure 4.11: | Argumentation values, according to the similar public company | |
| | approach (several comparable businesses) | 289 |
| Figure 4.12: | EBIT and sales multiples for the business value | |
| | (as of September 2004) | 291 |
| Figure 4.13: | Variants of DCF methods | 293 |
| Figure 4.14: | Pragmatic CAPM-based determination of the cost of equity | 296 |
| Figure 4.15: | Indirect determination of the free cash flow | 298 |
| Figure 4.16a: | Comparison of different free cash flow definitions | 299 |
| Figure 4.16b: | Comparison of different free cash flow definitions | 300 |
| Figure 4.17: | Relationship between different cash flow terms | 301 |
| Figure 4.18: | Input data of the APV examples (predefined debt capital) | 310 |
| Figure 4.19: | Overview of the DCF methods | 314 |
| Figure 4.20: | Price movements in the binomial tree over n periods | 321 |
| Figure 4.21: | Exercise example für the APV approach | 327 |
| Chapter 5 | | |
| Figure 5.1: | Purposes for principles of functional business valuation | 333 |
| Figure 5.2: | (Basic) principles of functional business valuation | 339 |

List of Symbols

Chapter 1

| $\begin{array}{l} a,b \\ \beta_j^{FK=0} \end{array}$ | price of goods X and Y expressed in monetary units beta factor of an unencumbered enterprise j |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\beta_j^{FK>0}$ | beta factor of the indebted enterprise j |
| β_j^* $D_{j,t+1}^*$ | beta factor as a measure of the systematic risk of an investment j compared with the market portfolio M expected distributions of an investment j at time $t+1$ |
| $D_{j,t}^{*EK}$ | expected distributions of a fraction of the equity of entity j at time t |
| Δr_{M} Δr_{j} FT_{j} i $K_{j,t+1}^{*}$ | market risk premium for systematic market risk risk premium for an investment j pure financing title (Arrow-Debreu financing title) with a deposit of one monetary unit in the state \mathbf{s}_j risk-free capital market interest rate expected market value of an investment j at time $t+1$ |
| $K_{j,0}$ | expected market value of an investment j at time 0 |
| $K_{j,t}$ | market value of an investment j at time t |
| $egin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{j},0}^{\mathrm{EK}} \ oldsymbol{\lambda}^{*} \end{aligned}$ | market value of a fraction in the equity of company j at time 0 |
| λ^* λ $N([1]_0)$ | price of a unit of risk (related to the standard deviation) price of a unit of risk (related to variance) utility of the risk-free monetary unit at time 0 |
| $N([1+i]_1)$ | utility of 1+i risk-free monetary units at the moment |
| n P* p _i * | number of net single financial instruments ARROW-DEBREU price of securities portfolio equilibrium price of security i |
| p_i | price of a security i |
| $\rho_{j} \\ \rho_{j,M}$ | price of a pure financing instrument j ; discount factor for a payment in state s_j correlation coefficient between investment j and market portfolio M |
| r_{j}^{*} | expected one-period returns on investment j |
| r _{i EK} | return required by a company's equity investors j (cost of equity) |
| ri EK r j,FK | return required by a company's lenders j (cost of debt) |
| r r j,GK | return required by a company's investors j (weighted average cost of capital) |
| $r_{	ext{M}}$ $r_{	ext{M}}^*$ | expected return of a risky market portfolio expected return of the risky optimal market portfolio |

XXII List of Symbols

| r*FK=0 j,EK | return required by equity investors of an unlevered firm j; cost of equity of an unlevered firm j |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $r_{j,EK}^{*FK>0}$ | return required by equity investors of an indebted firm j; cost of equity of an indebted firm j |
| $\sigma_{_{ m j}}$ | standard deviation of the one-period return capital investment j |
| $\sigma_{j,M}$ | covariance between the uncertain single-period returns on invest- ment j and the market portfolio M standard deviation of the (optimal) market portfolio |
| $\sigma_{_{ m M}}$ | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| $\sigma_{_{ m M}}^{^2}$ | variance of the (optimal) market portfolio |
| s_j | environmental status j |
| $(s_1^*,, s_n^*)$ | characteristics of facts relevant to conflict resolution S_1 ,, S_n , on which the conflict parties have agreed in an agreement |
| $tg \alpha, tg \beta$ | price as a quantitative ratio of exchangeable goods X and Y |
| $S_1,, S_n$ | facts relevant to conflict resolution |
| $\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{j},0}$ | market value of a company j at time 0 |
| $W_{j,0}^{\mathrm{EK}}$ | market value of the equity of a company j at time 0; market value of all equity securities of a company j at time 0 |
| $W^{FK}_{j,0} \\$ | market value of the debt capital of a company j at time 0; market value of all debt instruments of a company j at time 0 |
| WP_i | security i |
| X | quantity of good X |
| $X_{j,t}^*$ | expected cash flow per all capital investors of enterprise j at time t |
| $X_{j,t}^*$ $X_{j,t}^{*EK}$ | expected cash flow for all equity investors of a company j at time t |
| $X_{j,t}^{*FK}$ | expected cash flow per all investors of borrowed capital of enterprise j at time t |
| У | quantity of good Y |
| z_{ij} | conditional payment of a security i in the environmental state s_j |
| $Z_{ij}^* \\ Z_{j,t+1}^*$ | expected payment of an investment j at time $t + 1$ |
| $Z_{j,t+2}^*$ | expected payment of capital investment j at time $t+2$ |

Chapter 2

| A_{K} | advantage of a buyer |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A_{V} | advantage of a seller |
| $lpha_{\min}$ | minimum shareholding (marginal shareholding) in the new com- |
| Üf | pany created by the merger |
| $lpha_{\text{min h}}^{\ddot{\text{U}}f}$ | minimum share (marginal share) of shareholder h in the demerger company \ddot{U}_f after the demerger |
| \mathfrak{A} | set of all alternatives a _i |
| a_i | alternative i of the set $\mathfrak A$ |
| a_{opt} | optimal alternative; base program |

List of Symbols XXIII

| β | participant's share in the company Ü without merger |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| β_h | fraction of shareholder h in the company UG without demerger |
| \mathfrak{B}^* | valuation program; subset of the alternatives B(s ₁ ,, s _n) open to |
| | the decision subject after agreement on the conflict resolution (s ₁ , |
| | , s_n), for which the utility $N(b_{opt}(s_1,, s_n))$ is just equal to or mi- |
| | nimally greater than the utility N(a _{opt}) of the base program |
| \mathbf{b}_{Kt} | (not sign-constrained) autonomous deposit surpluses of the invest- |
| Kt | ment and financing objects from the buyer's perspective at time t |
| \mathbf{b}_{vt} | (not sign-constrained) autonomous deposit surpluses of the invest- |
| Vt | ment and financing objects from the seller's perspective at time t |
| $\mathfrak{B}(s_1,, s_n)$ | set of all possible actions b _i when conflict resolution is agreed upon |
| | $(s_1,, s_n)$ |
| $b_j(s_1,, s_n)$ | alternative j in case of agreement on conflict resolution $(s_1,, s_n)$ |
| $b_{opt}(s_1,, s_n)$ | optimal alternative in case of agreement on conflict resolution (s ₁ , |
| opt v 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , s _n) |
| $\mathrm{BW}_{_0}$ | present value of a growing pension |
| C_{K} | net present value from the buyer's perspective |
| C_{v} | net present value from the seller's perspective |
| C_{Kj}^{Be} | (non-negative) net present value for an investment or financing ob- |
| | ject included in the buyer's valuation program j |
| $C_{V_i}^{Be}$ | (non-negative) net present value for an investment or financing ob- |
| | ject included in the seller's valuation program j |
| $\Delta KW_{K}^{	ext{Be-Ba}}$ | net present value difference due to restructuring from the base to |
| A T T T Be-Ba | the valuation program from the buyer's perspective |
| $\Delta KW_{V}^{\mathrm{Be-Ba}}$ | net present value difference due to restructuring from the base to the valuation program from the seller's perspective |
| δ | dual variable for the restriction of the protection of the extraction |
| | current |
| d_t | dual variables for the liquidity constraints in $t = 0,, T$ |
| E | agreement set as the intersection of those quantities that comprise |
| | the conflict resolutions that are reasonable from the perspective of |
| | each conflict party |
| e_{ij} | preference-relevant consequence of an alternative i in the environ- |
| , | mental state s _j ; result constellation |
| e _{bt} | current investment deposit |
| EN | width of the withdrawal flow for consumption purposes |
| $\mathrm{EN}_{\kappa}^{\mathrm{Ba\;max}}$ | maximum width of the withdrawal flow of the base program from |
| K | the buyer's perspective |
| $\mathrm{EN}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Ba\;max}}$ | maximum width of the withdrawal flow of the base program from |
| | the seller's perspective |
| $\mathrm{EN}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{Be}}$ | width of the withdrawal flow of the valuation program from the |
| | buyer's perspective |

XXIV List of Symbols

| EN _V ^{Be} | volume of the cash withdrawal stream of the seller's valuation pro- |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | gram |
| $\mathrm{EN}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{max}}$ | maximum possible withdrawals of the company F created after the merger (merger program); maximum benefit of all conflicting par- |
| | ties from the company F after a merger |
| EN _{opt} ^{max} | maximum width of the extraction flow based on the optimistic in- |
| | put data variant |
| EN _{pess} ^{max} | maximum width of the extraction flow based on the pessimistic in- put data variant |
| EN _{real} ^{max} | maximum amount of cash withdrawal based on a realistic version |
| | of the baseline data |
| $EN_{\ddot{\text{U}}f}^{\text{max}}$ | maximum width of the withdrawal flow from the company created by the demerger \ddot{U}_f |
| r max | |
| $\mathrm{EN}_{\mathrm{UG}}^{\mathrm{max}}$ | maximum width of the withdrawal flow from the company UG without splitting |
| $\mathrm{EN^{max}_{UA}}(\mathrm{P^{UB}})$ | maximum amount of withdrawal flow from enterprise A depending |
| UA | on the price of enterprise B |
| $EN_{UB}^{\text{max}}(P^{UA})$ | maximum amount of withdrawal flow from enterprise B depending |
| EIV _{UB} (1) | on the price of enterprise A |
| -may | |
| $\mathrm{EN}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{max}}$ | maximum width of the withdrawal flow from the buyer's perspecti- |
| | ve; benefit of the base program from the buyer's perspective |
| EN_{v}^{max} | maximum width of the withdrawal flow from the seller's perspecti- |
| v | ve; benefit of the base program from the seller's perspective |
| EΝ _Ü | maximum possible withdrawals of the contributing company Ü of |
| ĽIVÜ | the valuation subject (pre-merger program); maximum benefit of |
| | |
| | the valuation subject from the company Ü without agreement on |
| | merger |
| EW | (objectified) capitalized earnings value (as arbitration or as argu- |
| | mentation value) |
| $f(a_i, z_j);$ | outcome function; assignment of an outcome constellation e_{ij} to an |
| $f: \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{Z} \to \mathfrak{K}$ | alternative i and an environmental state z_i |
| _ | J. |
| g_{Kjt} | (not sign-constrained) cash inflows of the investment and financing |
| | objects from the buyer's perspective at time t |
| g_{Vjt} | (unsigned) cash inflows of the investment and financing objects |
| ,,,, | from the seller's perspective at time t |
| g_{UKt} | future cash inflows of the company to be valued U from the buyer's |
| ∂UKt | perspective |
| ~ | future cash inflows of the company to be valued U from the seller's |
| g_{UVt} | |
| / | perspective |
| $H_{ij}(r)$ | result level r per alternative i and per environmental state z_j |
| II () | result level r per alternative i and per environmental state z_j at time |
| $H_{ijt}(r)$ | t |
| $H_{ijv}(r)$ | result level r per alternative i and per environmental state z_i as well |
| 47 1 7 | as per result type v |
| | as per result type v |

List of Symbols XXV

| List of Byllioois | MY |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $H_{ijtv}(r)$ | result level r per alternative i and per environmental state z_i as well |
| • | as per result type v at time t |
| i | (uniform subjective) calculation interest rate in determining the fu- |
| | ture performance value ZEW |
| $i_{_{	au}}$ | (period-specific subjective) calculation interest rate in determining |
| ı | the future performance value ZEW |
| i_{K} | calculation interest rate from the buyer's perspective |
| $i_{ m V}$ | calculation interest from the seller's perspective |
| ${f i}_{ m t}^{ m Ba}$ | period-specific internal rates of return (internal interest rates) of the |
| t | base program |
| $i_{	ext{K}	au}^{	ext{Ba}}$ | period-specific internal rates of return (internal interest rates) of the |
| Xt | base program from the buyer's perspective |
| $i_{ m V	au}^{ m Ba}$ | period-specific endogenous marginal interest rate feet of the base |
| | program from the seller's perspective |
| $\mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{Be}}$ | period-specific internal rates of return (internal interest rates) of the |
| | valuation program |
| $i_{K	au}^{ m Be}$ | (period-specific endogenous) marginal interest rate feet of the va- |
| , Do | luation program from the buyer's perspective |
| $i_{V\tau}^{\mathrm{Be}}$ | period-specific endogenous marginal interest rate feet of the valua- |
| L | tion program from the seller's perspective investment objects available for the valuation subject with $b \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ |
| I_b | investment objects available for the valuation subject with $b \in \{1, \dots, B\}$ |
| IF | internal financing of the company |
| Ŕ | set of all possible preference-relevant consequences or result con- |
| | stellations e_{ij} |
| K | amount of investment capital available to the valuation subject at |
| | valuation time $t = 0$ |
| K_1, \ldots, K_9 | alternative combinations of the non-price conflict-resolution-rele- |
| , , | vant facts |
| Ø | empty set |
| μ | expected value |
| $N([1]_0)$ | utility of a monetary unit expected at time 0 |
| | utility of 1 + i at time 1 expected monetary units |
| $N([1+i]_1)$ | utility of 1 + 1 at time 1 expected monetary units |
| $N(a_i)$ | benefit of an alternative i |
| $N(a_{opt})$ | success/benefit of the base program |
| $N(b_j(s_1,, s_n))$ | success/utilization of an alternative b _i when conflict resolution is |
| | agreed upon $(s_1,, s_n)$ |
| $N(b_{opt}(s_1,, s_n))$ | success/benefit of an alternative b _i when conflict resolution is |
| - | agreed upon $(s_1,, s_n)$ |
| N_b | utility value assigned to the investment object I _b by the decision |
| | subject |
| N_{Ba} | utility of the base program |
| N _{Be} | utility of the valuation program |
| | |

XXVI List of Symbols

| 2121 V I | Elst of Symbols |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| n_{ij} | partial benefit of a result constellation eij |
| $N_{K}(a_{opt})$ | overall utility of the base program from the the buyer's perspective |
| N_{U} | value in use of the company from the perspective of the valuation subject |
| $N_{v}(a_{opt})$ | overall utility of the base program from the seller's perspective |
| N_{VO} | benefit of the comparison object |
| P | agreement price |
| P_b | price to be paid for investment object I_b at valuation time $t = 0$, in- |
| | vestment amount per unit of investment object |
| P_{max} | maximum price payable from the buyer's perspective |
| P_{min} | minimum asking price from the seller's perspective |
| $P_{\text{max}}^{\text{opt}}$ | maximum price payable on the basis of the optimistic input data variant |
| $P_{\text{max}}^{\text{pess}}$ | maximum price payable on the basis of the pessimistic input data variant |
| P_{max}^{real} | maximum payable price based on the realistic input data variant |
| P_{U} | price of the company still to be negotiated U |
| P_{VO} | price of the comparison object |
| $P_{max}^{UA}(P^{UB})$ | maximum payable price for the company UA in dependence for the |
| max | price of the company U _B |
| $P_{\text{max}}^{\text{UB}}(P^{\text{UA}})$ | maximum payable price for the company U _B in dependence for the |
| max | price of the company U _A |
| q ^t | compounding factor 1 + i |
| $ ho_{\mathrm{Kt}}^{\mathrm{Ba}}$ | period-specific discount factors applicable to the buyer's base pro- |
| r Kt | gram |
| $\rho_{\mathrm{V}t}^{\mathrm{Ba}}$ | period-specific discount factors applicable to the seller's base pro- |
| | gram |
| ρ_{Kt}^{Be} | period-specific discount factors applicable to the buyer's valuation |
| Be | program period-specific discount factors applicable to the seller's valuation |
| ρ_{Vt}^{Be} | program |
| | internal interest rate of the comparative object from the buyer's per- |
| r_{K} | spective |
| | internal interest rate of the comparative object from the seller's per- |
| r_{V} | spective |
| r_{vo}^{K} | internal rate of return (interest rate) of the comparative object of the |
| VO | buyer |
| r_{VO}^{V} | internal rate of return (interest rate) of the comparative object of the |
| •• | seller |
| r_{VO} | internal interest rate of the comparative object |
| S | standard deviation |
| $s_1,, s_n$ | characteristics of the facts relevant for conflict resolution |
| $(s_1,, s_n)$ | a conflict resolution; possible settlement solution |
| | |

List of Symbols XXVII

| | 2-2-1,0-2 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $S_1,, S_n$ | facts relevant to conflict resolution |
| $\mathrm{SI}_{\mathrm{Objekt}}$ | reliability index |
| S | set of all conflict resolutions $\{(s_1,, s_n)\}$ |
| $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{zK}}$ | set of reasonable conflict resolutions from the buyer's perspective |
| $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{zV}}$ | set of reasonable conflict resolutions from the seller's perspective |
| $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | set of reasonable conflict resolutions from the perspective of one |
| | party |
| t | time, time index |
| τ | (auxiliary) time index |
| U | company to be valued |
| u_j | dual variables for the capacity constraints with $j = 1,, J$ |
| VG_{objekt} | advantageousness of an object |
| \mathfrak{W} | multi-dimensional decision value; set of all conflict resolutions (s ₁ , |
| | , s_n) for which the utility $N(b_{\text{opt}}(s_1,, s_n))$ is equal to or minimal- |
| | ly greater than the utility $N(a_{opt})$ of the base program |
| W | constant growth rate of an annuity |
| | time structure factor for the withdrawals from the buyer's perspecti- |
| W_{Kt} | ve |
| XX7 | time structure factor for the withdrawals from the seller's perspecti- |
| w_{Vt} | ve |
| X_{Kj} | Number of investment or financing object to be realized from buy- |
| | er's perspective |
| X_{Kj}^{max} | capacity constraints per investment or financing object from the |
| 2 | buyer's perspective |
| 3 | set of all environmental states z_j |
| z_b | number of investment objects I_b that can be acquired by the valuation subject with $0 < z < z$ (in case of orbitrary divisibility) or z |
| | on subject with $0 \le z_b \le z_{bmax}$ (in case of arbitrary divisibility) or z_b |
| | $\in \{0, 1, 2,, z_{bmax}\}$ (in case of integer) |
| Z _j | state of the environment j |
| z _U ZE | variable characterizing the acquisition/sale of the company |
| | consistent subjective future success period-specific subjective future success |
| ZE _t | constant (optimal) future success (cash surplus per period) from the |
| ZE_K^* | buyer's perspective |
| ZE_{K} | future success from the buyer's perspective |
| ZE_{V} | future success from the seller's perspective |
| ZE_{U} | future success of the company being valued |
| ZE _{VO} | future success of the comparison object |
| ZEW, ZEW _U | (subjective) future performance value (as decision value/border pri- |
| ~~ · · · , ~~ · · · · · · | ce) of the enterprise U |
| $ZEW_{_{K}}$ | future performance value from the buyer's perspective |
| K | future performance value from the seller's perspective |
| $\mathrm{ZEW}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | ratare performance value from the serier's perspective |

XXVIII List of Symbols

| $ZEW_{_{U}}^{K}(\rho_{Kt}^{Ba})$ | future performance value of company U from the buyer's perspective based on the period-specific discount factors of the base pro- |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $Z\!EW^{K}_{_{U}}(\rho_{_{Kt}}^{^{Be}})$ | gram future performance value of company U from the buyer's perspective based on the period-specific discount factors of the valuation |
| $ZEW_U^V(\rho_{Vt}^{Ba})$ | program future performance value of company U from the seller's perspective based on the period-specific discount factors of the base pro- |
| $ZEW_U^V(\rho_{Vt}^{Be})$ | gram future performance value of company U from the seller's perspective based on the period-specific discount factors of the valuation program |

Chapter 3

a method-specific weighting factor of traditional combinatorial me-

thods

AW arbitration value & agreement set

e' modified agreement set in a dominated conflict situation

 $\hat{\mathfrak{E}}$ subset of efficient, non-dominated conflict resolutions from the

agreement set

 $\overline{\mathfrak{E}}$ subset of inefficient, dominated conflict resolutions from the agree-

ment set

E constant future earnings surplus (for capitalized earnings value)

EVA economic value added

EW earned value

g goodwill amortization rate GR goodwill annuity; excess profit

GW_{deri} derivative goodwill
GW_{rio} original goodwill

i* capitalization/calculation interest rate (for capitalized earnings va-

lue)

i** goodwill annuity interest rate; capitalization/calculation interest

rate for discounting the goodwill annuity (excess profit) (in the pro-

fit-shifting method/procedure II of goodwill annuities)

k cost of capital as weighted average of cost of equity and cost of

debt, decision value of buyer

MVA market value added NG normal profit NOA net operating assets

NOPaT net operating profit after taxes

 P_{max} decision value of the buyer; maximum payable price

 P_{\min} decision value of the seller; minimum price to be demanded

List of Symbols XXIX

Chapter 4

 M_{VIJ}

 MK_{VU}

| AA_{BO} | number of shares issued in the valuation object |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AK_{BO} | (average) price of the shares of the valuation object |
| AK _{VU} | price of the share of the comparable company |
| | selected reference value of the valuation object |
| BG_{BO} | ž |
| BG _{VU} | selected reference value at the comparable company |
| CV^FCF_{τ} | continuing value, terminal value, residual value, value of all performance indicators discounted to the end of the detailed planning period |
| E_{T} | success variable at time T |
| EK | market value of equity |
| EK APV | market value of the equity of an indebted company according to the |
| | adjusted present value approach |
| EK FCF | market value of the equity of an indebted company according to the |
| | free cash flow approach |
| EK FTE | market value of the equity of an indebted company according to the |
| | flow-to-equity approach |
| EK ^{TCF} | market value of the equity of an indebted company according to the |
| | total cash flow approach |
| EM_0 | success multiplier at time 0 |
| FA | fungibility discount |
| FK | market value of debt capital |
| FCF | free cash flow; cash flow available to providers of equity and debt capital |
| FTE | flow to equity; inflow to equity providers (after income taxes) |
| GK | market value of total capital of the company |
| GK^e | market value of the total capital of an unleveraged (only self-finan- |
| | ced) company |
| GK^{f} | market value of the total capital of an indebted (i.e., also or only |
| | debt-financed) company |
| i, i _{rf} | risk-free capital market interest rate; borrowing rate |
| k | risk-adequate capitalization rate |
| k ^e | cost of capital of an unleveraged, only self-financed company |
| k^f | cost of capital of an indebted, equity- and debt-financed company |

multiplier applicable at the comparable company

market capitalization of the comparable company