

SpringerBriefs in Earth System Sciences

Peter Finke



Modelling Soil Development Under Global Change

SpringerBriefs in Earth System Sciences

Series Editors

Gerrit Lohmann, Universität Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Justus Notholt, Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Jorge Rabassa, Laboratorio de Geomorfología y Cuaternar, CADIC-CONICET, Ushuaia, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina

Vikram Unnithan, Department of Earth and Space Sciences, Jacobs University Bremen, Bremen, Germany

SpringerBriefs in Earth System Sciences present concise summaries of cutting-edge research and practical applications. The series focuses on interdisciplinary research linking the lithosphere, atmosphere, biosphere, cryosphere, and hydrosphere building the system earth. It publishes peer-reviewed monographs under the editorial supervision of an international advisory board with the aim to publish 8 to 12 weeks after acceptance. Featuring compact volumes of 50 to 125 pages (approx. 20,000—70,000 words), the series covers a range of content from professional to academic such as:

- A timely reports of state-of-the art analytical techniques
- bridges between new research results
- snapshots of hot and/or emerging topics
- literature reviews
- in-depth case studies

Briefs are published as part of Springer's eBook collection, with millions of users worldwide. In addition, Briefs are available for individual print and electronic purchase. Briefs are characterized by fast, global electronic dissemination, standard publishing contracts, easy-to-use manuscript preparation and formatting guidelines, and expedited production schedules.

Both solicited and unsolicited manuscripts are considered for publication in this series.

Peter Finke

Modelling Soil Development Under Global Change

 Springer

Peter Finke
Department of Environment (BW20)
Ghent University
Ghent, Belgium

ISSN 2191-589X ISSN 2191-5903 (electronic)
SpringerBriefs in Earth System Sciences
ISBN 978-3-031-55582-4 ISBN 978-3-031-55583-1 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55583-1>

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Paper in this product is recyclable.

Preface

Soil, largely equivalent to the critical zone, is the outer crust of the earth essential to support life by enabling plants, animals and microorganisms to thrive. The properties of this crust develop out of an intricate and complicated system of physical, chemical, mineralogical and biological processes, affecting a parent material. The part of this development that took place over past millenniums with limited human influence is traditionally called *soil formation* and leads to the identification of soil horizons. Since the impact of human activities on soils has increased, with considerable impact on the performance of soils for their various functions and in cases even the “rerouting” of soil formation, it seems better to use a more neutral and wider term like *soil development*. As the factor time is of importance and soil development has a much slower response to external influences than a super tanker to its helmsman, measurement of changes is insufficient to predict the effect and effectiveness of human impact. That is why modelling soil development is important to assess the impact of global change (i.e. climate and land use change). This book gives a personal perspective on the state of progress in the modelling of soil development.

During my Ph.D., I started to recognize the value of modelling, for short: the simulation of site-specific N-addition scenarios under precision agriculture to combine good crop productivity with minimal nitrate leaching. It was the combination of extending a water- and solute flow model (LEACHM) with crop biomass production routines, its testing, calibration and subsequent application in scenario studies that made me recognize the potential of modelling to answer scientific questions. The seed for all of this was sown by Johan Bouma, Jeff Wagenet† and John Hutson in the 1980s. Much later, the announcement of a workshop on modelling of pedogenesis (in Orléans, 2006) gave me the idea to develop a new model (starting from LEACHM) to simulate, at pedogenetic (multimillennial) timescales, aspects of soil formation such as C-cycling, (de)calcification, cation exchange chemistry. This triggered thinking on questions like *What soil properties can be considered constant at a chosen timescale; What feedbacks between soil properties exist and should be modelled* and *How to deal with processes with yet unquantified dynamics*, such as clay migration and bioturbation. As these are not minor questions, they stimulated usage of part of my brain capacity over a substantial period of time, largely outside

working hours. In other words: the topic became a passion. Frequent visits to Sophie Cornu, Jérôme Balesdent† and coworkers at INRA between 2012 and 2018, and the fact that I inherited an M.Sc.-course on soil genesis certainly contributed to working on these questions as well. A soil development model produces great teaching material! A network of colleagues with interest in soil development modelling developed as a consequence of the IUSS working group on modelling of soil and landscape evolution that I led between 2013 and 2018. We organized several workshops in Pedometrics and EGU-conferences. Research collaborations, an Erasmus Mundus master programme on soils and global change as well as various PhDs are still keeping the topic alive today in my personal environment. More generally, soil development modelling is at present recognized as one of the 10 challenges of Pedometrics because it allows the quantification of the supporting ecosystem service “soil formation”.

The precipitate of my own work on soil development modelling is the SoilGen model. This booklet describes the status of soil development modelling, and especially of SoilGen, at the time of my retirement, as some form of legacy document. This legacy includes the release of the source code of the model via a public domain repository, to be used, criticized, extended, simplified or cannibalized by colleagues.

Understanding and quantifying soil development is complicated because it involves the interaction between biological, physical, chemical and mineralogical processes acting at various temporal resolutions. Knowledge on some of these processes is still limited, which implies that an entirely mechanistic description is not possible. At the same time, mechanistic process descriptions allow better incorporation of feedback relations between processes in a model than empirical descriptions. The challenge is in finding the balance that maximally represents the state of knowledge. Another challenge is to keep potential users in mind, which requires a user interface and recognizing what a user may want to do with a soil development model. I surely used my Ph.D. students as guinea pigs to try out application domains (sorry!) I hope that these challenges are partly met in this book and model.

I am grateful for support by the research fund of the Faculty Bioscience Engineering at Ghent University for granting a sabbatical shortly before my retirement (which is not obvious). Equally grateful I am to Nicholas Jarvis and coworkers from the research group Soil and Environmental Physics, Department of Soil and Environment at the Sveriges Landbruksuniversitet in Uppsala for hosting this sabbatical and fruitful interactions. Several of my own Ph.D. students were SoilGen users and asked so many questions stimulating its development and robustness: Ann Zwertvaegher, Saba Keyvanshokouhi, Keerthika Nirmani Ranathunga, Sastrika Anindita and especially Emmanuel Opolot who codeveloped code on mineral weathering. Several coauthors significantly contributed to model development, testing and application studies: John Hutson, Yanyan Yu, Daniela Sauer, Sophie Cornu and Jérôme Balesdent†, Tom Vanwallegem and especially Qiuzhen Yin, who added the dimension of palaeoclimate modelling. To Alex McBratney, Budiman Minasny and Arnaud

Temme, I am grateful for their inputs in our joint review publications and book chapters. To Brit and Mazzel, I am grateful for lighting up my life for so many years (including the sabbatical).

Ghent, Belgium

Peter Finke

Contents

Part I Modelling Soil Development

1 Why Model Soil Development	3
References	4
2 A Brief History of Modelling Soil Development	7
References	9
3 Required Process Coverage in a Soil Development Model	13
Reference	15

Part II The SoilGen Model

4 Philosophy Behind SoilGen	19
References	23
5 Processes in SoilGen	25
5.1 Transport Processes	25
5.1.1 Water Flow and Associated Boundary Conditions	25
5.1.2 Solute Flow and Associated Boundary Conditions	29
5.1.3 Heat Flow and Associated Boundary Conditions	30
5.1.4 CO ₂ Transport and Associated Boundary Conditions	30
5.1.5 Clay Transport	31
5.1.6 Correction of Upper Hydrological Boundary Conditions for Slope and Exposition	34
5.2 Element Cycling	35
5.2.1 C-cycling and Release of Ions by Mineralization	36
5.2.2 Cation Exchange	42
5.2.3 Precipitation and Dissolution	43
5.2.4 Weathering and Neoformation of Minerals	44
5.2.5 Physical Weathering	52
5.2.6 Soil Production	56
5.3 Plant Processes	61

5.3.1	Transpiration and Water Uptake	61
5.3.2	Element Uptake	63
5.3.3	Plant Growth	64
5.4	Mixing Processes	66
5.4.1	Bioturbation	66
5.4.2	Plowing	67
5.5	Updating Soil Variables and Process Parameters	67
5.5.1	Iterative pH Determination	67
5.5.2	Updating the CEC	68
5.5.3	Updating the Soil Texture and Bulk Density	69
5.5.4	Temperature Correction of Chemical Constants	70
	References	70
6	Addressing Constraints, Variability and Uncertainty	75
6.1	Technical Specifications, Operational Constraints and Model Stability	75
6.2	Heterogeneity	77
6.3	Accuracy Assessment	78
6.4	Sensitivity Analysis	79
6.5	Calibration	82
	References	86
 Part III User Interface		
7	User Interface of SoilGen3	91
7.1	Defining the Initial Situation	92
7.1.1	Editing the Initial Soil- and Mineralogical Data	95
7.1.2	Editing the Chemical Equilibrium Constants	98
7.1.3	Editing the Carbon Distribution Over Pools and Time	98
7.1.4	Editing the Bedrock Composition	99
7.1.5	Editing Process Constants for Calibration Purposes	100
7.2	Defining Global Change Scenarios	102
7.2.1	Generalities and Output Files	102
7.2.2	Climate	106
7.2.3	Bioturbation	109
7.2.4	Agricultural Land Use	110
7.2.5	Events	110
7.3	Soil Variables Written to Output Files	114
	References	123
 Part IV Example Studies with SoilGen		
8	User Perspectives	127
8.1	Introduction	127
8.2	Case Studies Per User Type	128

8.2.1	Soil Genesis Scholar: Modelling Soil Genesis in Calcareous Loess	128
8.2.2	Global Change Scholar: Simulating Soil Organic Carbon Stock Affected by Land Use and Climate Change on Volcanic Soil in Indonesia	130
8.2.3	Palaeoclimatologist: Climate-Soil Modelling to Identify Causes of Differences Between Palaeosols from MIS 5e and MIS 13	133
8.2.4	Hypothesis Tester: Comparing Protection Mechanisms for OC-Decomposition in Sweden	136
8.2.5	Geoarchaeologist: Reconstruction of a Bronze Age Soilscape in Belgium for Archaeological Land Evaluation	148
	References	151
	Glossary	155

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1	Modelling approaches taken by various authors to describe soil development. Modified after Finke et al. (2022), Opolot (2016) and Minasny et al. (2015). Reprinted with permission from Elsevier publishers. Orange boxes indicate current applications of the models	8
Fig. 4.1	Modelling approach in SoilGen3. Modified after Finke et al. (2022). Reprinted with permission from Elsevier publishers	20
Fig. 4.2	Downscaling yearly climate in SoilGen using a daily standard pattern	20
Fig. 4.3	Temporal resolution of scenarios, process input data and processes in SoilGen3. The process input resolution is mostly at daily or weekly resolution (i.e. default within-year variability), while the scenario resolution is used as an annual modifier of the within-year variability)	21
Fig. 4.4	Process order in relation to time step size in SoilGen3. Modified after Minasny et al. (2015). Reprinted with permission from Elsevier publishers	22
Fig. 4.5	Soil forming processes that according to Bockheim and Gennadiyev (2000) explain the soil types found worldwide. The yellow area indicates the estimated coverage of these processes by SoilGen3	23
Fig. 5.1	Workflow of clay migration routine. The dark brown area concerns only the top 1 mm	32
Fig. 5.2	Element cycling in SoilGen3. Coloured boxes group elements, pools, salts or minerals. Open arrows represent flows as a consequence of a soil process. The dotted box is the boundary of the soil system. Solid arrows depict mass flows at the soil boundary due to external forcings	36

Fig. 5.3 Organic carbon pools and conversions in SoilGen, based on RothC26.3 but applied per compartment. D1, D2 and D3 are distribution functions to subsequent pools, R1, R2, R3 and R4 are pool-specific decay rates 37

Fig. 5.4 Graphs of modifiers d_1 , d_2 , d_{3a} , d_{3b} and d_4 as a function of dynamic soil properties for the settings in Table 5.4 40

Fig. 5.5 Weathering rates at 25 °C and $\Omega = 0$ as function of pH, for 9 primary minerals (left) and 8 secondary minerals (right) according to the data in Table 5.6 41

Fig. 5.6 Losses (dotted lines) and gains (solid lines) of minerals by weathering of parent material (PM) and by neoformation (NEO) for five precipitation regimes 52

Fig. 5.7 Mass change relative to the initial situation of the most sensitive minerals for five precipitation regimes. Losses by weathering are depicted as massive bars, gains by neoformation as striped bars 53

Fig. 5.8 Example of splitting probability function for $P_{s,max} = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ and $B = 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C h}^{-1}$ 55

Fig. 5.9 Simulated saprolite production over 30,000 years for 4 rock types 61

Fig. 5.10 Estimation of organic inputs from annual Net Primary Production (NPP), downscaled to monthly litter production (example only). Numbers are example (input) fractions of the NPPred entering the soil per month as either ectorganic or endorganic litter 65

Fig. 6.1 Elementary effects (μ) and their standard deviations (σ), for the individual and combined plots. Dotted and solid lines represent $\sigma = \mu$ and $\sigma = 0.5 \mu$. A high μ with a low σ denotes a more certain sensitivity. CHN = Chinese, BEL = Belgian, NOR = Norwegian site 81

Fig. 6.2 Scaled dissimilarity as a function of n. Solid markers indicate the best value per plot and on average ($n = 0.075$) 85

Fig. 6.3 Scaled dissimilarity as a function of h_{0macro} , after calibration of n. Solid markers indicate the best value per plot and on average ($h_{0macro} = -1.7 \text{ hPa}$). Red dots represent the results in Fig. 6.2 85

Fig. 6.4 Scaled dissimilarity as a function of B, after calibration of n and h_{0macro} . The best average value is at $B = 0.95$. Red dots represent the results in Figs. 6.2 and 6.3 86

Fig. 7.1 Linking and editing input files of SoilGen3: main structure 92

Fig. 7.2 Start screen (left) of SoilGen3 in case no batch file is present in the start folder and screen (right) after user chooses to start from scratch (no input files present) 93

Fig. 7.3 Screen after pressing “Autocreate or continue” 94

Fig. 7.4 Screen of tab sheet 1 (Initial data year 1) 94

Fig. 7.5	Options to edit the soil and mineralogy files (incl. stoichiometry of the user-defined minerals amorphite and otherite)	96
Fig. 7.6	Screen to edit the chemical equilibriums	98
Fig. 7.7	Screen to edit the input distribution of C inside a typical year and the pools	99
Fig. 7.8	Screen to edit the mineral composition of bedrock, their specific gravities and the rock density	100
Fig. 7.9	Screen for editing the C-cycle parameters	100
Fig. 7.10	Screen for editing the cation uptake target values	101
Fig. 7.11	Screen for editing the parameters for clay migration and physical weathering	101
Fig. 7.12	Screen for editing the parameters for chemical weathering	102
Fig. 7.13	Tab sheet for multiple year run data with marked time-invariant (TI) settings	103
Fig. 7.14	Screen used to specify the OM-protection mechanism(s)	105
Fig. 7.15	Appearance of the climate form (right) after input or import (left) of data from a file	107
Fig. 7.16	Appearance of the bioturbation form after input or import of data	109
Fig. 7.17	Appearance of the events form after input of an erosion event	111
Fig. 7.18	Appearance of the events form after input of a deposition event	112
Fig. 7.19	Appearance of the events form after input of enforced water table	113
Fig. 7.20	Appearance of the events form when Van Genuchten parameters are input	113
Fig. 7.21	Appearance of the events form after input of a plowing event	114
Fig. 7.22	Appearance of the events form after input of a slash&burn event	115
Fig. 7.23	Example fragment of <Ectorganic.out>	115
Fig. 7.24	Example fragment of a *.tdd file (left) and its representation in the graphical package TDGraph (right)	115
Fig. 8.1	Layout of development, testing and scenario analyses of SoilGen1 (Finke and Hutson, 2008)	129
Fig. 8.2	Layout of development, calibration and scenario-wise testing of SoilGen2 (Finke, 2012a)	129
Fig. 8.3	Layout of study comparing calibration methods for SOC projection under global change	132
Fig. 8.4	Layout of applying the LOVECLIM earth system model and SoilGen2 to explain differences in soil development between two interglacials over a climosequence in the Chinese Loess Plateau	134
Fig. 8.5	Layout of modelling research at Lanna	137

Fig. 8.6	Reconstructed climate evolution for Lanna	140
Fig. 8.7	Reconstructed litter-C input and bioturbation for Lanna	141
Fig. 8.8	Time-depth diagram of calcite content (kg kg^{-1}) at Lanna	141
Fig. 8.9	Time-depth diagram of clay content (%) at Lanna	142
Fig. 8.10	Time-depth diagram of organic carbon content (%) at Lanna	142
Fig. 8.11	Time-depth diagram of volumetric water content ($\text{cm}^3 \text{cm}^{-3}$) at Lanna	142
Fig. 8.12	Time-depth diagram of pH at Lanna	143
Fig. 8.13	Time-depth diagram of base saturation (%) at Lanna	143
Fig. 8.14	Total OC, simulated for Lanna over 9000 years for the ectorganic and endorganic (120 cm) layers. <i>Note</i> the steep decline in OC during year 160 BP (lasting for 1 year) is because of asynchronous bookkeeping: the ectorganic OC is removed at the end of that year (due to the first plowing) but only added to the mineral soil at the start of the next year	144
Fig. 8.15	OC (%) without protection	144
Fig. 8.16	OC gain (%) due to protection mechanism 1 (red = gain, yellow = loss). Legend the same as for Fig. 8.22	145
Fig. 8.17	Protection strength by protection mechanism 1	145
Fig. 8.18	OC gain (%) due to protection mechanism 2 (red = gain, yellow = loss). Legend the same as for Fig. 8.22	145
Fig. 8.19	OC gain (%) due to protection mechanism 3 (red = gain, yellow = loss). Legend the same as for Fig. 8.22	146
Fig. 8.20	OC gain (%) due to protection mechanism 4 (red = gain, yellow = loss). Legend the same as for Fig. 8.22	146
Fig. 8.21	Protection strength by protection mechanism 4	146
Fig. 8.22	OC gain (%) due to combined protection mechanisms 1–4 (red = gain, yellow = loss)	147
Fig. 8.23	Layout of applying MODFLOW, SoilGen2 and a land evaluation protocol to assess the suitability for Middle Bronze Age agriculture in an area in Flanders, with an uncertainty analysis	149

List of Tables

Table 3.1	General soil formation processes and connected physico-chemical processes	14
Table 5.1	Characteristics of mobile/immobile water sub-model and some common threshold values	28
Table 5.2	Parameter values (standard errors) for (5.20)	33
Table 5.3	Methods in SoilGen to assess protection of SOM against biological decay	39
Table 5.4	Quantification options of physical and chemical protection in SoilGen3	40
Table 5.5	Relations between Gapon coefficients. The lower left triangle of the table matrix is calculated first	42
Table 5.6	Minerals in SoilGen and some properties (can be edited via GUI). N/A = not applicable. Names of constants refer to (5.34) and (5.38)	46
Table 5.7	Ion Activity Product calculation for each mineral	49
Table 5.8	Properties of parent material and rain. Minerals that can result from neoformation are in italics	51
Table 5.9	Assumed bedrock properties of 4 rock types	57
Table 5.10	Relative concentrations of Ca, Mg, K, Na and Al in 4 vegetation types	63
Table 5.11	Plant growth stadia for agricultural vegetations and associated processes	65
Table 6.1	Constants in SoilGen3. Marked numbers (italics) indicate hard-coded constants	76
Table 6.2	Seven model parameters relevant for clay migration subjected to SA	80
Table 6.3	Properties of the three sites used in the SA for clay migration	80
Table 6.4	Sensitivity order (high to low) for clay migration input parameters based on their average elementary effect	81