

SpringerBriefs in the Mathematics of Materials 5

Hisashi Naito

# Trivalent Discrete Surfaces and Carbon Structures

# **SpringerBriefs in the Mathematics of Materials**

Volume 5

## **Editor-in-Chief**

Motoko Kotani, Sendai, Japan

## **Series Editors**

Yasumasa Nishiura, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

Masaru Tsukada, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

Samuel M. Allen, Department of Materials Science and Engineering,  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

Willi Jaeger, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

Stephan Luckhaus, Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, Universität Leipzig,  
Leipzig, Germany

SpringerBriefs in Mathematics of Materials introduces in a compact format recent topics in materials science where mathematical ideas are essential.

Materials science has developed in interdisciplinary study and has dealt with complex multiscale systems in multiphysics in an ad hoc manner until recently. Now a new phase of materials science with mathematical and computational tools is emerging in response to developments in the high-performance computing century. This series is intended for mathematicians, physicists, and other scientists, as well as doctoral students in related areas.

Hisashi Naito

# Trivalent Discrete Surfaces and Carbon Structures

 Springer

Hisashi Naito  
Graduate School of Mathematics  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan

ISSN 2365-6336 ISSN 2365-6344 (electronic)  
SpringerBriefs in the Mathematics of Materials  
ISBN 978-981-99-5768-2 ISBN 978-981-99-5769-9 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-5769-9>

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.  
The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

Paper in this product is recyclable.

*In memory of my wife Yumiko.*

# Preface

Topological crystallography, which was pioneered by Motoko Kotani and Toshikazu Sunada in 2000, describes crystal structures using graph theory and variational principles. One of the conventional tools describing crystal structures is space groups, which denote the symmetry of placements of atoms. But space groups do not consider the atomic bonds of crystals. Since crystal structure includes placements of atoms and chemical bonds between atoms, graph theory is a natural tool to describe them. On the other hand, one of the important notions to describe physical phenomena is the principle of least action, which corresponds to the variational principle in mathematics.

Although the description of crystal structures using space groups is not directly related to the least action principle, topological crystallography provides a relationship between the symmetry of crystal structures and the variational principle. Precisely, for a given graph structure which describes a crystal, we define the energy of realizations of the graph, i.e., placements of vertices of the graph in a Euclidean space of suitable dimension, and obtain a nice structure as a minimizer of the energy. Moreover, such structures give us the most symmetric among all placements of the graph, which is proved by using the random walk theory on graphs.

On the other hand, we can regard some molecular structures, for example, fullerenes and carbon nanotubes, as surfaces, especially as discrete surfaces. Recently,  $sp^2$ -carbon structures (including fullerenes and nanotubes) have received much attention in science and technology, since they have rich  $\pi$ -electrons and hence rich physical properties. From a mathematical viewpoint,  $sp^2$ -carbon structures can be regarded as trivalent graphs in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and hence trivalent *discrete surfaces*. There are many discrete surface theories in mathematics. For example, the theory of triangular surfaces is useful for computer graphics. But this is based on discretizations or discrete analogues of continuous or smooth objects. In the case of the theory of triangular surfaces, it is a discretization of smooth real objects. In other words, conventional discrete surface theories are "from continuous to discrete". In contrast, discrete surfaces, which describe crystal/molecular structures, are essentially discrete. Even in the case of trivalent discrete surfaces, it is not easy to define the curvatures of them. In this monograph, we also discuss a theory of trivalent discrete surfaces modeled on

crystal/molecule structures in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and subdivisions/convergence of them. The aim of subdivision and convergence theory is to find an underlying continuous object in crystals/molecules. It is difficult to calculate the physical properties of a crystal structure with a huge number of atoms using current computer resources; however, it is possible to treat such systems by calculating underlying continuous objects. By considering the above, our discrete surface theory is “from discrete to continuous”.

I would like to thank Prof. Motoko Kotani for encouraging me to write this monograph, and Prof. Toshikazu Sunada for leading me to the study of discrete geometric analysis. I would also like to thank Profs. Tatsuya Tate, Makoto Tagami, Yoshiyuki Kawazoe, and Hiroyuki Isobe, Dr. Toshiaki Omori, Dr. Shintaro Akamine, and members of the research project “Discrete Geometric Analysis for Material Design” for helpful discussions and comments on my research. I am grateful to Dr. Tomoya Naito for his valuable comments and suggestions. Lastly, I would like to thank the members of the Japanese girls group “Nogizaka46” for encouraging my research.

Enjoy a discrete world!

Nagoya, Japan  
May 2021

Hisashi Naito



# Contents

|          |  |    |
|----------|--|----|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Overview of This Monograph</b>  | 1  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Graph Theory</b>  | 3  |
| 2.1      | Graph  | 3  |
| 2.2      | Covering Graph   | 6  |
| 2.3      | Graph Laplacian  | 8  |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Topological Crystals</b>  | 17 |
| 3.1      | Topological Crystals and Their Standard Realizations                           | 17 |
| 3.2      | Construction of Standard Realizations  | 23 |
| 3.2.1    | Explicit Calculations in Cases of Maximal Abelian Coverings                    | 25 |
| 3.2.2    | Explicit Calculations for Generic Cases  | 34 |
| 3.3      | Carbon Structures and Standard Realizations                                    | 47 |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Negatively Curved Carbon Structures</b>                                     | 51 |
| 4.1      | Carbon Structures as Discrete Surfaces   | 51 |
| 4.2      | Constructions of Negatively Curved Carbon Structures via Standard Realizations | 54 |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Trivalent Discrete Surfaces</b>   | 57 |
| 5.1      | Curvatures of Trivalent Discrete Surfaces                                      | 57 |
| 5.2      | Examples of Trivalent Discrete Surfaces and Their Curvatures                   | 60 |
| 5.3      | Construction of Trivalent Minimal Discrete Surfaces                            | 62 |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Subdivisions of Trivalent Discrete Surfaces</b>                             | 65 |
| 6.1      | Goldberg–Coxeter Constructions   | 65 |
| 6.2      | Subdivisions of Trivalent Discrete Surfaces                                    | 68 |
| 6.3      | Another Method of Subdivision of Trivalent Discrete Surfaces                   | 71 |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Miscellaneous Topics</b>  | 77 |
| 7.1      | Carbon Nanotubes from Geometric Viewpoints                                     | 77 |
| 7.2      | Material Properties and Discrete Geometry                                      | 79 |

|       |  |     |
|-------|--|-----|
| 7.3   | Graph Spectra and Electronic Properties of Carbon Structures . . . . . | 81  |
| 7.3.1 | The Hückel Method . . . . .  | 81  |
| 7.3.2 | Tight-Binding Approximations . . . . .                                 | 85  |
| 7.3.3 | The Density Function Theory . . . . .                                  | 91  |
| 7.4   | Eigenvalues of Subdivisions of Trivalent Discrete Surfaces . . . . .   | 92  |
| 7.5   | Graph Algorithms and Miscellaneous Computation Methods . . . . .       | 94  |
| 7.5.1 | Graph Algorithms . . . . .   | 94  |
| 7.5.2 | Numerical Methods for Matrices . . . . .                               | 96  |
|       | <b>References</b> . . . . .  | 99  |
|       | <b>Index</b> . . . . .   | 103 |

# Chapter 1

## Overview of This Monograph



Let us start with a question here. Which figure in Fig. 1.1 is the most symmetric?

Obviously, figure (c) in Fig. 1.1 has less symmetry than (a) and (b); however, it is difficult to compare symmetries of (a) and (b).

One of the basic tools for describing symmetries of crystal structures is space groups, which describe symmetry of atoms (vertices/points) in a crystal structure. For example, the symmetry of a regular hexagonal tiling of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is described by the group  $P6m$ , and the space group of the symmetry of a regular three-colored hexagonal tiling (see Fig. 1.2b) is  $P3m1$ . Similarly, the group  $P6m$  describes the symmetry of a regular hexagonal lattice. The groups describing the symmetry of (a) and (b) of Fig. 1.1 are  $P4mm$  and  $P6m$ , respectively, and one is never included in the other.

Topological crystallography, which was pioneered by Kotani and Sunada [28–30, 54], describes symmetries of both of vertices and of edges (atomic bonds of crystal structure). Why does nature select (b) among (a)–(c) in Fig. 1.3? Note that these lattices are created by the same graph. Topological crystallography answers this question. In mathematics, a structure consisting of vertices and edges (connectivity of vertices) is called a graph, and graph theory is one of the basic tools of topological crystallography (Chap. 2). However, graphs describe only vertices and their connectivities, as in Fig. 2.2; placements of vertices and edges in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are not defined in the notion of graphs. Therefore, we should define placements of a given graph structure, which describes crystal structure, and should consider how to define *nice placement* of the graph. By defining the energy of placements of a graph, we may find a nice placement, which is called a *standard realization*, by using variational principles. A standard realization gives us one of the most symmetric objects among all placements of the graph (Chap. 3). In the first few sections, we discuss topological crystallography including graph theory and geometry. The most important reference of this part is Sunada's lecture note [53]. The author discusses an introduction to topological crystallography along with it.