

## Incrementalism and Policymaking in the USA

"Michael Hayes has done it again, delivering another important contribution to the literature about public policy in the United States. In *Incrementalism and Policymaking in the USA*, Hayes makes an important argument: that incrementalism is not just the way things usually work in American government, but the way things *should* work. With patient logic and clear prose, Hayes makes a compelling case for realism in our policy debates. Significantly, he shows how incrementalism even makes sense in a time of intense political polarization. This book should be required reading for every American politician before they take office."

—Greg Berman, Co-editor, Vital City

"In *Incrementalism and Policymaking in the USA*' Michael Hayes makes the classic case for gradualism in policymaking as well as anyone has done. He also illustrates it with welfare reform and other recent national issues. And he writes very well. This is a fine primer for how most legislation actually gets done in America."

—Professor Lawrence M. Mead, *New York University (NYU)* 

"Michael Hayes has already written several interesting works on incrementalism, but this book surpasses them. As well as being a discussion of policymaking, and the relevance of incremental solutions for difficult problems, this is a work of political theory. Anyone interested in public policy, and in governance more generally, whether a realist or an idealist, should read and profit from this book."

—Professor B. Guy Peters, University of Pittsburg

# Michael T. Hayes

# Incrementalism and Policymaking in the USA

Adaptations for a Partisan Age



Michael T. Hayes Political Science Colgate University Hamilton, NY, USA

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Paper in this product is recyclable.

### Preface

This book began as an attempt to improve and update *The Limits of Policy Change*, but it quickly evolved into a very different book. This is not another attempt at explanatory theory. Readers should not expect an introduction to theories of the policymaking process here or a comparison of incrementalism with other theories of the policy process. To the contrary, I take it as self-evident that policymaking is incremental most of the time and devote myself instead to arguing that this is a good thing—not something to be lamented.

I do acknowledge that there are times when nonincremental policies are warranted, even though they inevitably go beyond the available knowledge base, and I identify those circumstances in Chap. 2. But most of the time, candidates calling for transformative change are pandering to voters' unrealistic sense of what is possible, making promises they cannot really fulfill.

Compromise is out of vogue these days, too often characterized as a violation of strongly held moral principles. But there are both moral and intellectual reasons why compromise is both necessary and desirable. Hopefully, this book will help readers understand why this is so.

Hamilton, NY

Michael T. Hayes

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Colgate University, particularly the Dean of Faculty, Tracey Hucks, for approving two nonconsecutive one-semester leaves in the spring of 2021 and the spring of 2022. Much of the book was written (and rewritten and rewritten) during those two leaves.

I also want to thank my very good friend and longtime Colgate colleague, Michael Johnston, for reading every chapter of the book. I am also indebted to the three anonymous reviewers for many excellent suggestions that led to a major rewriting of the book. Bob Samuels, the assistant director of every Washington Study Group I have directed, has been a consistent source of encouragement, editorial advice, and friendship.

Thanks also to my editor at Palgrave, Stewart Beale, for the many ways he has helped with the volume, as well as to my production editor, Tryphena, and all those involved in the production process.

My wife Candace contributed to this book in two major ways. First, she graciously put up with my irritability and obsessiveness while working on the book. She also read over the many op-eds I have written in recent years, helping me to eliminate jargon, rearrange my ideas more efficiently, and reach a larger non-academic audience. In so doing, she has made me a much better writer, which has had a positive impact on the book as well.

Above all, I want to thank the many students who went on five Washington Study Groups with me. They stimulated my thinking in a wide variety of ways and helped me see flaws in my previous book. I also want to thank the students in my upper-level Politics of Poverty course, especially those in the fall of 2021, who read chapters from a previous draft of this book. I dedicate this book to all those Colgate students who have been a delight to teach and from whom I have learned so much.

Finally, I want to thank God for all the blessings alluded to above and countless more besides. All errors, of course, are my own.

# Contents

1	Incrementalism, Realism, and Idealism	
	Realists vs. Idealists	3
	Attitudes Toward Incrementalism	5
	Pursuing Idealist Visions Through Realist Means	6
	Realism as a Prescriptive Model	7
	Outline of the Book	8
	Policies as Experiments from Which We Can Learn	10
	References	10
2	A Realist Case for Incrementalism	13
	Obstacles to Rational Decision-Making	14
	Four Decision Environments	15
	Incrementalism as an Alternative to Rationality	17
	Incrementalism Circumvents Obstacles to Rationality	18
	What Makes a Policy Non-incremental?	19
	When Is Non-incremental Policy Change Possible?	21
	When Is Non-incremental Policy Change Desirable?	22
	Conclusion	24
	References	25
3	Partisan Incrementalism	27
	Partisan Incrementalism Defined	29
	Welfare Reform as a Case Study of Partisan Incrementalism	31
	The House Republicans Move First	31

### X CONTENTS

	Welfare Reform Moves to the Senate	34
	Budget Considerations Intrude and Clinton Vetoes Two Bills	35
	The Governors' Proposal Revives Welfare Reform	36
	Modification of the Law Through Reauthorization	37
	Conclusion	40
	References	41
4	Welfare Reform as Normal Incrementalism	43
	Conflict over Problem Definition	44
	Building on Past Policy	46
	Negotiation and Tapering Down	49
	Focus on Incremental Alternatives	50
	The Final Outcome: Incremental or Non-incremental?	53
	References	56
5	Incrementalism and Political Inequality	59
	Biases to the Group Universe	60
	Group Resources: Cumulative or Dispersed?	63
	The Privileged Position of Business in Capitalist Societies	65
	Political Inequality Plagues All Decision-Making Methods	67
	The Special Problem of Nondecision-Making	69
	Conclusion	72
	References	73
6	Recapitulation and Implications	77
	Incrementalism Can Be Adapted to a Partisan Age	78
	Eliminating the Senate Filibuster	79
	What Does It Mean to Be a Realist?	81
	Voters Must Also Become Realists	82
	Partisan Incrementalism in Parliamentary Systems	84
	References	85
Ιn	Index	
411	WV12	87