

THEORY OF THE
HAS#TAG

ANDREAS BERNARD

Theory of the Hashtag

Theory of the Hashtag

Andreas Bernard

Translated by Valentine A. Pakis

polity

First published in German as *Das Diktat des Hashtags. Über ein Prinzip der aktuellen Debattenbildung*. © S. Fischer Verlag GmbH, Frankfurt am Main, 2018.

This English edition © Polity Press, 2019

Polity Press
65 Bridge Street
Cambridge CB2 1UR, UK

Polity Press
101 Station Landing
Suite 300
Medford, MA 02155, USA

All rights reserved. Except for the quotation of short passages for the purpose of criticism and review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

ISBN-13: 978-1-5095-3893-5
ISBN-13: 978-1-5095-3894-2 (pb)

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Typeset in 12.5 on 15 pt Adobe Garamond by
Servis Filmsetting Ltd, Stockport, Cheshire
Printed and bound in Great Britain by TJ International

The publisher has used its best endeavors to ensure that the URLs for external websites referred to in this book are correct and active at the time of going to press. However, the publisher has no responsibility for the websites and can make no guarantee that a site will remain live or that the content is or will remain appropriate.

Every effort has been made to trace all copyright holders, but if any have been overlooked the publisher will be pleased to include any necessary credits in any subsequent reprint or edition.

For further information on Polity, visit our website:
politybooks.com

Contents

1	A Sign of the Times	<i>page</i> 1
2	Hashtags and the Dispersion of Statements	8
3	The Biography of a Symbol	18
4	Where Was the Keyword Before the Hashtag?	28
5	Venues of the Hashtag I: Political Activism	48
6	Venues of the Hashtag II: Marketing	55
7	Empowering and Leveling	70
	<i>Notes</i>	78
	<i>Bibliography</i>	92

I

A Sign of the Times

The triumph of social networks over the last ten years has also been a triumph for the keyword. Ever since Twitter and Instagram introduced the “hashtag” – in 2007 and 2010, respectively – a form of organizing statements and documents that not long ago was restricted to highly specialized professional circles has characterized the everyday use of media. In what contexts, then, did “keywords” play a role just a quarter-century ago? Something like this concept was approximated in the library and archival sciences, which, since the early twentieth century, had been developing increasingly standardized “subject catalogs” in order to make it easier to locate documents. Elsewhere, they featured in historical keyword or catchword research, a

subdiscipline of linguistics concerned with analyzing the formative expressions of a given epoch or political movement. Both of these venues, however, exist on the margins of academia, and thus it can be said without a doubt that the category of the “keyword” had occupied a rather inconspicuous position before the turn of the twenty-first century. It was the establishment of the hashtag that quickly shoved this niche element into the spotlight of our present-day media reality. Today, every Twitter feed and Instagram post provides further testimony to the collective indexing or “keywording” of the world, which can be undertaken by any user of these social networks as a creative act that is unrestricted by preinstalled standards or hierarchically tiered modes of access.

In the early years of the “World Wide Web,” as is well known, documents could only be connected to one another through a system of “hyperlinks.” Highlighted in advance, certain words or passages of a text would lead, when clicked upon, to another place on the same website, or to a different website altogether. In many respects, the transition from the “link” to the “hashtag” as a defining networking principle was

a major shift in the digital organization of statements. First, it meant that every internet user could create links independently and without any knowledge of programming, and thus it paved the way for the highly touted “social” and participatory era of the internet. Second, it meant that a method for creating links had been endowed for the very first time with a typographical element of its own. The prefixed symbol “#” – known as a “hash” in British English and as a “pound sign” or “number sign” in American English – transforms words into networked keywords. The hashtag and the letters immediately following it thus have two functions: they are both a component of visible tweets or Instagram posts and a trigger for the invisible procedure that links them together.

In linguistic terms, the hashtag thus exists on the threshold between text and metatext, and it draws the previously hidden steps of cataloging and indexing out into the open. As a binding element between everyday communication and computer code, it has become the most popular signature of the present, and its effectiveness is most clear to see in the fact that the pound/hash sign has since begun to appear beyond screens

and displays. The # symbol can now be found in the titles of recent novels, on T-shirts, on signs held at political demonstrations, in graffiti, in advertisements on the side of the road and even in tattoos. In a world made of stone, paper, cotton, and skin, the hashtag cannot be clicked on and it cannot link to anything, but even on these materials it can represent a pledge – a pledge to be seen, find an audience, and pool interests. Thus the # is no longer a purely functional sign – for some time, in fact, it has also been a promising social symbol. It stands for the production and accumulation of public attention.

The hashtag is implemented so widely in today's media reality that it is easy to overlook the elementary effects that it has had within the past few years on the order of statements and on the structural principles of debates. The following book, which is concerned with the origin and the diverse social effects of the hashtag (and the # symbol in general), is an attempt to close this gap. First published in 1956, Theodor W. Adorno's famous article on typography begins with these words: "The less punctuation marks, taken in isolation, convey meaning or

expression, . . . the more each of them acquires a definitive physiognomic status of its own.”¹ A good 60 years later, it is time to consider the “physiognomic status” of the hashtag in today’s digital culture, and particularly to consider the extent to which this symbol has influenced such things as the use of language or the creation of collectives.

The subject of my book is the remarkable career of the # symbol in the history of media. Here, I will examine how the “keyword” was used before the hashtag entered the scene, and I will discuss the symbol’s most prominent areas of application during the last decade (political activism and marketing). Finally, I will look at the ways in which the hashtag has influenced socio-political movements. For it was this last aspect that, at the beginning of 2018, provided the impulse for the following considerations. It was around this time that the “#MeToo” movement took shape and incited a global, epoch-making debate about sexual violence – a debate that, over the course of several months, generated thousands of daily tweets and dozens of articles in newspapers, online portals, and blogs. The positions and legitimations