# THE STUDENT'S COMPANION TO

# SOCIAL POLICY

**EDITED BY** 

Pete Alcock, Tina Haux, Margaret May and Sharon Wright





FIFTH EDITION

WILEY Blackwell

# The Student's Companion to Social Policy

# The Student's Companion to Social Policy

Fifth Edition

Edited by
Pete Alcock, Tina Haux,
Margaret May and Sharon Wright

**WILEY** Blackwell

This edition first published 2016 © 2016 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Edition history: Blackwell Publishers Ltd (1e, 1998); Blackwell Publishing Ltd (2e, 2003 and 3e, 2008); John Wiley & Sons Ltd (4e, 2012)

Wiley-Blackwell is an imprint of John Wiley & Sons, formed by the merger of Wiley's global Scientific, Technical and Medical business with Blackwell Publishing.

Registered Office

John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial Offices

350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148-5020, USA 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell.

The right of Pete Alcock, Tina Haux, Margaret May and Sharon Wright to be identified as the authors of the editorial material in this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Alcock, Peter, 1951- editor. | Haux, Tina, editor. | May, Margaret,

1947- editor. | Wright, Sharon (Sharon Elizabeth), editor.

Title: The student's companion to social policy / edited by Pete Alcock, Tina

Haux, Margaret May, and Sharon Wright.

Description: Fifth edition. | Chichester, UK; Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley &

Sons, 2016. | Includes index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016014402 | ISBN 9781118965979 (pbk.) | ISBN 9781118965948 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Great Britain-Social policy. | Public welfare-Great

Britain. | Social policy-Study and teaching.

Classification: LCC HN390 .S78 2016 | DDC 306.0941–dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2016014402

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Cover image: Getty/Rogotanie

Set in 9.5/11.5 pt MinionPro-Regular by Thomson Digital, Noida, India

## Contents

Part I Concepts and Approaches 5	
1 What is Social Policy? 7 Pete Alcock	
2 Researching Social Policy 14 Pete Alcock and Saul Becker	
3 Social Needs, Social Problems, Social Welfare and Well-being Nick Manning	21
4 Equality, Rights and Social Justice 27 Peter Taylor-Gooby	
5 Human Rights and Equality 34 Deirdre Flanigan and Alison Hosie	
6 Efficiency, Equity and Choice 41 Carol Propper	
7 Citizenship 48 Peter Dwyer	
8 Changing Behaviour 55  Jessica Pykett	
Part II Key Perspectives 63	
9 Neo-Liberalism 65 Nick Ellison	
10 The Conservative Tradition 72  Hugh Bochel	

Notes on Contributors xi

11	Social Democracy 79 Robert M. Page
12	The Socialist Perspective 85 Hartley Dean
13	Feminist Perspectives 91 Shona Hunter
14	Social Movements 98 Louisa Parks
15	Post-Modernist Perspectives 104 Tony Fitzpatrick
Par	t III Historical Context 111
16	Nineteenth-Century Beginnings 113 Bernard Harris
17	The Liberal Era and the Growth of State Welfare 119  Noel Whiteside
18	The Modern Welfare State, 1940–74 125 Robert M. Page
19	Crisis, Retrenchment and the Impact of Neo-Liberalism, 1976–97 131 <i>Howard Glennerster</i>
20	Modernisation and the Third Way 137  Martin Powell
21	Austerity Politics 144  Jay Wiggan
Par	t IV Devolution and Social Policy in the United Kingdom 151
22	Social Policy and Devolution 153 Richard Parry
23	Social Policy in Northern Ireland 160 Ann Marie Gray and Derek Birrell
24	Social Policy in Scotland 167 <i>Lynne Poole</i>
25	Social Policy in Wales 174 Paul Chaney
Par	t V Contemporary Context and Challenges 181
26	The Demographic Challenge 183 Jane Falkingham and Athina Vlachantoni
27	The Economic Context 191 Kevin Farnsworth and Zoë Irving
28	The Sustainability Challenge 199 Tony Fitzpatrick

CONTENTS vii

	Rana Jawad
30	The Distribution of Welfare 212  John Hills
31	Divisions and Difference 219 Sharon Wright
32	'Race', Minority Ethnic Groups and Social Welfare 226 Lucinda Platt
33	Poverty and Social Exclusion 235 Pete Alcock
Par	t VI Welfare Production and Provision 241
34	State Welfare 243 Catherine Bochel
35	Commercial Welfare 249 Chris Holden
36	Occupational Welfare 255 Edward Brunsdon and Margaret May
37	Voluntary Welfare 263 Jeremy Kendall
38	Informal Welfare 269 Linda Pickard
39	Welfare Users and Social Policy 277 Catherine Needham
40	Paying for Welfare 283 Howard Glennerster
41	Taxation and Welfare 290 Stuart Adam and Barra Roantree
Par	t VII Welfare Governance 297
42	The Policy Process 299 Hugh Bochel
43	Managing and Delivering Welfare 306 Ian Greener
44	Accountability for Welfare 313  Jackie Gulland
45	Local Governance 320 Guy Daly and Howard Davis
46	The European Union 327 Linda Hantrais

206

29 The Role of Religion

**CONTENTS** viii

Part	VIII Welfare Domains 335
	Income Maintenance and Social Security 337 Stephen McKay and Karen Rowlingson
	Employment 344 Adam Whitworth and Eleanor Carter
	Healthcare 351 Rob Baggott
	Public Health 358 Rob Baggott
	Education in Schools 365 Anne West
52	Lifelong Learning and Training 372 Claire Callender
	Housing 380 David Mullins
	Social Care 387 Jon Glasby
55	Criminal Justice 393 Tim Newburn
Part	IX Experiencing Welfare 401
	Experiences of Out-of-Work Benefit Receipt 403 Ruth Patrick
57	Family Policy 410 Tina Haux
58	Children 417 Tess Ridge
	Young People 425 Bob Coles and Aniela Wenham
60	Older People 432 Kate Hamblin
	Disability 439 Mark Priestley
	Migrants and Asylum Seekers 445  Majella Kilkey
Part	X International and Comparative Context 453
	Comparative Analysis 455

- Margaret May
- 64 Policy Learning and Transfer 462 John Hudson

CONTENTS ix

	Jochen Clasen and Daniel Clegg
66	Social Policy in the United States 474 Phillip M. Singer and Scott L. Greer
67	Social Policy in East Asia 481 Misa Izuhara
68	Social Policy in the BRICS Countries 488 Rebecca Surender
69	Social Policy in the Middle East and North Africa Region 495 Rana Jawad 495
70	Social Policy in Less Developed Societies 504 Patricia Kennett
71	Globalisation, International Organisations and Social Policy Nicola Yeates 512
App	endix: The Social Policy Association 520

468

65 Social Policy in Europe

Index

522

## Notes on Contributors

**Stuart Adam** is a Senior Research Economist at the Institute for Fiscal Studies. His research and writing focus on analysing the design of the tax and benefit system, including income tax and National Insurance, capital gains tax, property taxation, tax credits, work incentives and redistribution, support for families with children and local government finance.

**Pete Alcock** is Professor of Social Policy and Administration at the University of Birmingham. He has been teaching and researching in social policy for over thirty years, and has written widely on social policy, the voluntary sector, social security, poverty and social exclusion, and anti-poverty policy.

Rob Baggott is Professor of Public Policy and Director of the Health Policy Research Unit at De Montfort University. His main research interests, on which he has published widely, include public health and preventive medicine, patient and public involvement, the role of business and voluntary organisations in the policy process and global health policy and health systems.

**Saul Becker** is Pro-Vice-Chancellor and Head of College of Social Sciences at the University of Birmingham and Professor of Social Policy and Social Work. He has been recognised as the world leader in research on children who are informal family carers ('young carers').

**Derek Birrell** is Professor of Social Policy and Administration at Ulster University. His main research and teaching interests include the government of Northern Ireland, social policy and devolution, the governance of welfare, health and social care policy, and cross-border relations.

**Catherine Bochel** is Reader in Policy Studies at the University of Lincoln. Her main research interests include the policy process, participation and petitions systems, on which she has published widely. She teaches on a range of policy-related courses.

**Hugh Bochel** is Professor of Public Policy at the University of Lincoln. His wide-ranging teaching and research interests across social policy come together around concerns with the policy process and the politics of social policy.

**Edward Brunsdon** is an Honorary Research Fellow in Social Policy at the University of Birmingham and has taught a range of Social Policy, Research Methods and Human Resource Management courses. His main areas of research include workplace welfare, pensions policy, executive reward and the mixed economy of welfare.

Claire Callender is Professor of Higher Education Policy both at Birkbeck and University College London, Institute of Education and Deputy Director of the ESRC/HEFCE Centre for Global Higher Education at UCL. Her research focuses on issues about student funding and finances in higher education and related topics which has informed the deliberations of government-commissioned inquiries into student funding.

**Eleanor Carter** is a PhD student at the University of Sheffield who has worked in policy roles in the voluntary sector. Her research centres on post-2010 welfare-to-work reforms in the UK, and also includes the broader application of outcome-based commissioning and the use of social investment in public services.

Paul Chaney is Professor of Politics and Policy at Cardiff University and Co-Director of Wales Institute of Social, Economic Research and Data. His research and teaching interests include territorial politics, public policy, civil society, and equality and human rights.

**Jochen Clasen** is Professor of Comparative Social Policy at the University of Edinburgh where his teaching centres on European social policy and the political economy of the welfare state. He has researched and written widely in the areas of social security, labour-market policy and the crossnational analysis of welfare states.

**Daniel Clegg** is Senior Lecturer in Social Policy at the University of Edinburgh. His research and teaching focus on the comparison of social policies across European countries, particularly in the areas of unemployment and working-age poverty.

**Bob Coles** is an Honorary Fellow at the University of York. He has a long-standing interest in youth policy and helped to establish it as a sub-area within social policy, developing links between policy, research and practice. His research has focused on vulnerable young people.

**Guy Daly** is Professor and Executive Dean, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences at Coventry University. His research interests are in social care, housing policy, local government and the governance of public services generally, on which he has published widely.

**Howard Davis** is Professor of Social and Local Policy at Coventry University. His interests include communities and community well-being, and the challenges and opportunities of an ageing society and later life. More widely, he has long been involved in advising on and evaluating the modernisation and improvement of public services in the UK and internationally.

**Hartley Dean** is Professor of Social Policy at the London School of Economics. Before his academic

career he was a welfare rights worker in one of London's most deprived multicultural neighbourhoods. His principal research interests stem from concerns with poverty and social justice.

**Peter Dwyer** is Professor of Social Policy at the University of York. His teaching and research focus on issues related to social citizenship, inclusion/exclusion, welfare and migration and welfare conditionality.

**Nick Ellison** is Professor of Social Policy at the University of York. His research and teaching interests are wide ranging and include UK welfare politics in historical and contemporary perspective, citizenship in theory and practice, and global and international social policy.

Jane Falkingham is Professor of Demography and International Social Policy at the University of Southampton, where she is Dean of the Faculty of Social and Human Sciences and Director of the ESRC Centre for Population Change. Her research interests lie at the intersection of demographic change and social policy and span both developed and developing country contexts, with a particular focus on ageing and the changing life course.

Kevin Farnsworth is Senior Lecturer in Comparative, International and Global Social Policy at the University of York. His work focuses on broad questions relating to the political economy of welfare states, including the influence of business on social policy, welfare states and economic crisis and corporate welfare.

**Tony Fitzpatrick** is a Reader at the University of Nottingham. His main interests lie in the fields of social, ethical and political theories of social policy; the implications of climate change and environmental issues for the welfare state.

**Deirdre Flanigan** is a trainee solicitor specialising in social welfare law and human rights. She has a background in human rights promotion and protection, working for the Scottish Human Rights Commission and a human rights NGO in Nepal, and has written widely on various aspects of human rights accountability.

Jon Glasby is Professor of Health and Social Care at the University of Birmingham. A qualified social worker and former board member of the Social Care Institute for Excellence, he leads a

national programme of research, consultancy and teaching to support more effective inter-agency working between social care and the NHS.

Howard Glennerster is Professor Emeritus of Social Policy at the London School of Economics. His research and teaching have focused on the finance and economics of social policy and its post-war history. He has published widely on these areas and also been an adviser to HM Treasury and the Secretary of State for Health.

Ann Marie Gray is a Senior Lecturer in Social Policy at Ulster University and Policy Director of Access Research Knowledge, a joint Ulster University/Queen's University research organisation. Her teaching and research interests are in the area of adult social care, devolution and social policy, and gender and social policy.

Ian Greener is Professor of Social Policy and Executive Director of the Wolfson Research Institute for Health and Wellbeing at Durham University. His research and teaching cross a range of areas, but focus particularly on health policy and healthcare, public management and governance and organisational change.

**Scott L. Greer** is Associate Professor of Health Management and Policy at the University of Michigan School of Public Health and a Senior Expert Adviser on Health Governance at the European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. His research focuses primarily on these areas.

Jackie Gulland is Lecturer in Social Work at the University of Edinburgh. Her research and teaching interests include socio-legal studies, citizens' disputes with the state, social security policy, ageing and disability. Before entering academia, she worked in the voluntary and local authority sectors as a welfare rights adviser and trainer.

**Kate Hamblin** is a Senior Research Fellow at the Oxford Institute of Population Ageing. Her research has included projects exploring how people combine work and care in European countries; older entrepreneurs in the technology sector; the role of museums and galleries in supporting an ageing population; and technology support for older adults living independently with frailty, dementia or dual-sensory impairment.

Linda Hantrais is Emeritus Professor in European Social Policy at Loughborough University. She has served on a number of European committees as expert adviser. Her main research interests are in international comparative research theory, methodology and practice, with particular reference to socio-economic change, social and family policy in Europe, and international perspectives on evidence-based policy.

**Bernard Harris** is Professor of Social Policy at the University of Strathclyde. In addition to the history of social policy, he has conducted research into different aspects of the history of health, height, morbidity and mortality.

**Tina Haux** is Lecturer in Social Policy at the University of Kent. Her main research and teaching interests are on families, parenting and welfare to work. Her recent work focuses on the role of fathers in family life before and after separation, as well as the biographies and influence of the second generation of social policy scholars.

John Hills is Richard Titmuss Professor of Social Policy at the London School of Economics, where he is also Director of the Centre for Analysis of Social Exclusion and Co-Director of the International Inequalities Institute. His research interests include inequalities of income and wealth, the distributional effects of public policy and the evolution of the welfare state.

**Chris Holden** is Reader in International Social Policy at the University of York where he teaches on a range of social policy courses. He has published widely on the relationships between the global economy, transnational corporations, and health and social policy.

Alison Hosie has been the Research Officer at the Scottish Human Rights Commission since its creation in 2008. Prior to this she taught and researched in social policy for over fifteen years, with a particular interest in young people's right to healthcare; pregnant and parenting teenagers' right to education and methodological approaches to researching sensitive questions.

**John Hudson** is Professor of Social Policy and Co-Director of the Centre for Research in Comparative and Global Social Policy at the University of York. His research and teaching interests include the politics of social policy, the policymaking process and the comparative political economy of welfare.

Shona Hunter is Lecturer in Sociology and Social Policy Governance at the University of Leeds. Her research and teaching interests span a range of critical social policy. She is particularly interested in the relationship between subjectivities, emotion, power and politics in welfare

**Zoë Irving** is Senior Lecturer in Comparative, International and Global Social Policy at the University of York. Her work includes publications on the social politics of economic crisis and austerity, the relationship between population size and social policy development, and the social policy of Iceland and other small island states.

Misa Izuhara is Reader and Head of the Centre for Urban and Public Policy Research at the University of Bristol. Her research centres, both nationally and internationally, in the areas of housing and social change, ageing and intergenerational relations, and comparative policy analysis.

Rana Jawad is Senior Lecturer in Social Policy at the University of Bath where she teaches on social policy and the sociology of religion. Her main research interests, on which she has published widely, are the welfare systems of the MENA region and the role of religion in social policy.

Jeremy Kendall is Senior Lecturer in Social Policy at the University of Kent. His research interests cover theories and models of civil society; voluntary organisations, charities and social enterprises, especially those operating in fields of welfare, nationally and internationally; and the social policy process and the third sector.

Patricia Kennett is Reader in Comparative and International Policy Studies, and Director of Research at the School for Policy Studies, University of Bristol. Her research interests intersect the fields of social policy, urban and transnational studies, with a particular focus on Asia and Europe.

Majella Kilkey is Reader in Social Policy at the University of Sheffield. She researches at the intersections between migration and family and labour market studies with a focus on policies and lived experiences, particularly in Europe. She has

undertaken research on intra-EU mobility in the context of enlargement and economic crisis, and also researches the outward migration of British citizens leaving to work abroad.

**Stephen McKay** is Professor of Social Research at the University of Lincoln. He conducts research on poverty, inequality, family change and the effects of social security policy. He has recently looked at the state of financial inclusion in the UK and conducted research on the system of child maintenance.

Nick Manning is Professor of Sociology at King's College London, following twenty years as Professor of Social Policy and Sociology at the University of Nottingham where he founded the Nottingham Institute of Mental Health and an International Centre for Mental Health in Shanghai. He has written widely on sociological aspects of social policy, health, mental health, Russia and China.

Margaret May is an Honorary Research Fellow in Social Policy at the University of Birmingham and has taught across the span of both Social Policy and Human Resource Management. Her research interests include employment policy and human resource management, occupational welfare, social security and comparative social policy.

**David Mullins** is Professor of Social Policy at the University of Birmingham where he leads the Housing and Communities Research Group, which undertakes research on community-led housing, social housing and the role of the private rented sector in housing low income groups. He has published widely on UK housing policy.

Catherine Needham is Reader in Public Policy and Public Management at the University of Birmingham. Her research focuses on public services in the UK, explaining why reforms take place and their impacts on front-line staff, citizens and broader notions of publicness. She teaches public policy, with a particular focus on evidence-based policy.

**Tim Newburn** is Professor of Criminology and Social Policy at the London School of Economics. His major areas of research centre on policing and security, comparative criminology and the history of criminal justice.

**Robert M. Page** is Reader in Democratic Socialism and Social Policy at the University of Birmingham. He has written on a wide range of social policy topics. His current work focuses on Conservative and Labour approaches to the welfare state since 1940.

Louisa Parks is Lecturer in Politics at the University of Lincoln. Her research and teaching has focused on social movements and their impacts on European Union legislation, anti-austerity protest, European politics and, more recently, local community organisations and environmental governance throughout the world.

**Richard Parry** is Honorary Fellow in the Centre on Constitutional Change at the University of Edinburgh. His main research interests are in politics and resource allocation in British social policy, especially the role of the Treasury and of the devolved administrations.

**Ruth Patrick** is a Postgraduate Researcher at the University of Leeds, where she worked with a group of out-of-work benefit claimants to make a short animated film highlighting key findings from her study of their experiences. Her research interests include participatory methods, welfare reform, social citizenship, poverty and disability.

**Linda Pickard** is an Associate Professorial Research Fellow at the London School of Economics. Her research interests are primarily concerned with unpaid care and long-term care policy on which she has conducted studies for the Royal Commission on Long Term Care and the Audit Commission.

Lucinda Platt is Professor of Social Policy and Sociology at the London School of Economics. She teaches social stratification, social advantage and disadvantage, ethnicity and immigration. She researches and publishes on ethnicity, immigration, child poverty and well-being, child and adult disability, and income and employment inequalities.

Lynne Poole is Lecturer in Social Policy at the University of the West of Scotland. She has researched and written on a range issues, including Scottish social policy and devolution, housing and health policy, the non-profit sector and Roma migration. Her current research interests include asylum-seeker policy and destitution.

Martin Powell is Professor of Health and Social Policy at the University of Birmingham. His main research interests and publications are in the areas of historical and geographical aspects of social and health policy, with specialism in the 'Third Way'.

Mark Priestley is Professor of Disability Policy at the University of Leeds and Scientific Director of the Academic Network of European Disability experts. He teaches courses in disability and public policy, and has published extensively in the disability policy field. His current research focuses mainly on disability policies in the EU and its member states.

**Carol Propper** is Professor of Economics at Imperial College London. Her research interests include the use of market and financial incentives to enhance quality, productivity and innovation in healthcare and the determinants of health.

Jessica Pykett is Senior Lecturer in Human Geography at the University of Birmingham. Her research to date has focused on the geographies of citizenship, education, behavioural forms of governance and the influence of applied and popular neuroscience on policy and practice. She teaches on the spatial politics of welfare, work and wealth.

**Tess Ridge** is Professor of Social Policy at the University of Bath. Her research interests include childhood poverty and social exclusion, and she has developed child-centred research methods which explore the lives and experiences of perspectives of low-income children themselves. She lectures on child and family policy and the sociology of childhood and the family.

Barra Roantree is a Research Economist at the Institute for Fiscal Studies. He researches income taxation, redistribution and the labour market, including the effects of social security contributions on earnings, redistribution across the life cycle, and how women's work choices have responded to incentives over time.

Karen Rowlingson is Professor of Social Policy, Deputy Director of the Centre for Household Assets and Savings Management, and Director of Research and Knowledge Transfer for the College of Social Sciences at the University of Birmingham. Her research interests lie in the financial security of individuals and families, including asset-based welfare, wealth and inequality, social security and financial capability.

**Phillip M. Singer** is a doctoral student at the University of Michigan School of Public Health. He studies the politics of healthcare and health reform. In particular, his research interests focus on state health policy, the politics of Medicaid waivers, and the implementation of the Affordable Care Act.

**Rebecca Surender** is Associate Professor in Social Policy at the University of Oxford and a Visiting Professor at Rhodes University in South Africa. Her research and teaching focus on health policy and policy and development, in particular South African social policy.

**Peter Taylor-Gooby** has been Professor of Social Policy at the University of Kent since 1989. His main research interests lie in social policy theory, attitudes to the welfare state and comparative social policy.

Athina Vlachantoni is Associate Professor in Gerontology at the University of Southampton. Her research interests span the broader areas of ageing and social policy, and she is currently involved in research projects on the topics of pension protection, informal care, social care, and living arrangements across the life course and in later life.

Aniela Wenham is Lecturer in Social Policy at the University of York. Her teaching and research interests include youth transitions, youth policy and qualitative longitudinal methods with 'hard to reach' groups.

**Anne West** is Professor of Education Policy and Director of the Education Research Group at the London School of Economics. Her research and many publications focus on educational policy, in particular market-oriented reforms in schools and their impacts on equity, financing education and accountability.

**Noel Whiteside** is Professor of Comparative Public Policy at the University of Warwick and Visiting Professor in Social Sciences at the University of Oxford. Her research focuses on systems of governance and public accountability in historical and comparative perspective. She has specific interests in labour markets and constructions of social dependency.

Adam Whitworth is Lecturer in Human Geography at the University of Sheffield. His research focuses on the analysis of activation reforms in the UK, their design, governance and concomitant outcomes for different claimants and geographical areas. More broadly, his interests lie in harnessing quantitative spatial methodologies to address applied policy concerns.

Jay Wiggan is Lecturer in Social Policy at the University of Edinburgh, where he teaches on the politics of public policy and social policy in an international context. His research interests and publications focus on the governance of public employment services and social security administration, lone parents, disabled people and 'welfare reform' and the politics of active labour market policy.

**Sharon Wright** is Senior Lecturer in Public Policy at the University of Glasgow, where she teaches social and public policy, specialising in the policy process, work, welfare and the politics of reform. Her research interests are in the lived experiences of poverty, social security, welfare reform and the implementation of employment services.

**Nicola Yeates** is Professor of Social Policy at the Open University. She teaches and has researched and published extensively on issues of social policy internationally and globally and has worked for the International Social Security Association, the World Bank, UNICEF, UNRISD and UNESCO.

## Introduction

This Student's Companion to Social Policy is a resource book that will be of practical use to students of social policy throughout their undergraduate or postgraduate study of the subject. It aims to acquaint students with the study of social policy by covering all the main themes and issues likely to be included in any curriculum in the UK and, indeed, in many other countries. Readers are introduced to current theoretical and ideological debates, historical developments, service areas, key policy issues and the broader international context in which social policy operates. Each chapter includes a short guide to further sources, which points to some of the literature that pursues the issues addressed in the chapter in more depth and also alerts readers to major web-based sources. The Companion will be of value to students studying social policy on its own, as part of other undergraduate or postgraduate programmes (for instance, sociology, politics, applied social science or management studies) or as part of a professional course in a related field (for instance, social care work, nursing and health studies, public and voluntary sector management or criminology).

This fifth edition of the *Companion* has been much expanded and updated from the previous editions. New sections on Devolution and Social Policy in the UK and Welfare Governance have been included, and new chapters have been added

to take account of recent policy developments and debates, and changing political and economic configurations. Existing authors have updated their contributions; and in some cases previous authors have been replaced with others leading in research and teaching in those areas.

As in the last edition, we have asked contributors to provide readers with a short bullet-point summary of key points at the beginning of each chapter and to conclude with some brief speculation on emerging issues. To provide further support for readers, as in the last edition, this fifth edition includes end of chapter review questions and is accompanied by a new dedicated website (www.wiley.com/go/alcocksocialpolicy). This provides a range of supplementary resources designed to facilitate further reading and reflection and to enable students to make the most of the text and their study of social policy. These include:

- Internet links to websites referred to in each chapter.
- Guides with internet links to key UK governmental, international and other useful resources.
- Help sheets
- Guidance on managing the main forms of assignments in social policy, including examples from the end of chapter questions.
- Careers advice.
- A glossary.

The Student's Companion to Social Policy, Fifth Edition. Edited by Pete Alcock, Tina Haux, Margaret May and Sharon Wright.

© 2016 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Published 2016 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

The glossary is based on and links to *The Blackwell Dictionary of Social Policy*. This is a sister volume to the *Companion*, offering short definitions of all key terms and concepts and longer discussion of major items, and, as with previous editions, we hope that readers will be able to use the two together.

There has been an expansion of the editorial tram for this fifth edition. Tina Haux has joined Pete Alcock, Margaret May and Sharon Wright. We are pleased that Tina has been able to join us, and her role has meant that the editorial process has remained much the same for this latest edition, with a spreading of the load to cover the growing scale of the book.

All the contributors to this book, both old and new, are researchers and teachers in the forefront of social policy studies in the UK. They were selected on the basis that their expertise in their particular areas would provide readers with an authoritative introduction to a range of thinking and scholarship. Because the book has been prepared as a handbook and guide, rather than as a single text that focuses on one or two main themes, not all readers will necessarily want to read it from cover to cover. Indeed, most readers are likely to use it as a source of reference for consultation; hence, the chapters have been written so that they can be read in any order, separately or in groups.

- Part I introduces students to the concepts and approaches that underpin the study of social policy. These include a brief history of the scope and development of the subject and the ways in which it is studied and researched, together with discussion of the key concepts that students are likely to encounter in their studies.
- Part II provides readers with a guide to the theoretical and ideological context of social policy. Readers are introduced to the central themes and perspectives that provide the intellectual foundations of debates about the focus and aims of the subject.
- Part III surveys key themes and issues in the historical development of social policy in the UK, including consideration of nineteenth-century welfare arrangements, the growth of state welfare in the first half of the twentieth century, and the policies of Conservative and Labour administrations over recent decades.

- Part IV examines the impact of the devolution of political powers to the separate administrations in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.
- Part V explores the social, political and economic context in which policies are developed and implemented, and some of the crucial challenges that they face.
- Part VI focuses on the organisation and production of social policy. The different providers of welfare are examined by looking at the five main sectors of welfare state, commercial, occupational, voluntary and informal setting these in the context of a brief examination of the ways in which welfare is financed and how taxation policy operates.
- Part VII considers different dimensions of the governance of welfare, including the role of local government and the European Union.
- Part VIII comprises chapters that examine the key domains of welfare service provision, with each providing up-to-date summaries of policy developments, planning and current debates.
- Part IX focuses on the provision of services to particular social groups and analyses the extent to which these groups are advantaged or disadvantaged by different aspects of policy provision.
- Part X explores the international context of social policy. There are introductory chapters on comparative analysis and policy learning and transfer, followed by a number of chapters summarising the differing policy experiences of different groups of nations across the world.

As editors we are very grateful for the work put into this volume by the contributors. The *Companion* first set out to produce a collection of chapters written by some of the most distinguished teachers and lecturers in social policy in the UK, and in this fifth edition we have followed this with an expanded range of contributions. We asked all our contributors to write in as accessible a way as possible, while introducing complex issues in a short space. Authors in social policy are no different from other authors, however; some write sharply and clearly, others are more difficult to follow and pack difficult ideas together. This collection reflects the range of styles of writing

and the array of ideological and political positions that students of social policy are likely to encounter. All the chapters, of course, also provide only a short summary of a wide range of issues and information in their area. The aim therefore is to encourage readers to investigate further and read more widely.

While we, as editors, made the difficult, and occasionally contentious, decisions about what should be included, what should be left out and who should be asked to write, we were successful in persuading many of our authors to contribute to the *Companion* because of its long-standing links with the Social Policy Association (SPA) – the professional association for academics in Social

Policy (see Appendix). We should also like to thank Justin Vaughan and Ben Thatcher at Wiley-Blackwell for their support in the production of this new edition, and the anonymous reviewers of the proposals for revision who all gave us such helpful advice. We hope that what we have produced is worthy of all this support and will continue to be of value to the social policy community as a whole. Any shortcomings in the collection as a whole are, however, our responsibility.

Pete Alcock Tina Haux Margaret May Sharon Wright

# PART I Concepts and Approaches

# What is Social Policy?

#### Pete Alcock

#### Overview

- Social policy is the use of policy measures to promote the welfare of citizens and social well-being.
- It is also the term for the academic study of these measures, having changed its name from 'social administration' to reflect a broadening concern with the theory as well as the practice of welfare arrangements.
- The welfare reforms in the UK in the period following the Second World War were critical in establishing the context for subsequent policy development.
- Social policy analysts adopt a range of theoretical perspectives, leading to varying conclusions about the viability and desirability of different measures and interventions
- Much social policy has been developed by national governments, but the role of international and global agencies has become more important, as have moves to shift policy to local and community levels.

#### The Subject of Social Policy

Social policy has a dual meaning. It is used to refer to the actions taken by politicians and policymakers to introduce or amend provisions aimed at promoting individual welfare and social well-being. Social policy is what societies do to promote welfare. However, it is also used to refer to the academic study of these policy actions and their outcomes. Students study social policy as an academic subject, perhaps in a single honours degree, or perhaps alongside other social science subjects such as sociology or politics, or as part of professional training for social work or nursing and a wide range of careers in public, commercial and voluntary organisations. In essence, social policy is both social action and the study of it.

The later chapters in this book explore in more detail some of the key concepts and perspectives that have underpinned the study of social policy, the major issues that inform policy development and the main areas of policy practice. Much social policy analysis concerns the actions of national governments; and most of the chapters focus on the national context of the UK. However, as is discussed in Part IV, since the turn of the century much policymaking in the UK has been devolved to the separate administrations in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland; and the national programmes pursued by the parliaments and assemblies there are increasingly different to the policies developed for England by the UK parliament at Westminster.

Social policy is not just a UK phenomenon, however. Most countries across the world have developed measures to promote the welfare of their citizens. Some, particularly in the developed West, follow similar patterns of public support to that found in the UK, although the organisational forms and political priorities differ significantly. In the global South and in East Asia, however, social policy often takes a very different form. The study of social policy includes the comparative analysis of these differences (and similarities) and the varying histories of policy development in countries across the world; and the chapters in Part X of this book take up some examples of this comparative and international research

This does not just involve exploring and comparing the different models of policy developed in different countries – sometimes referred to as welfare regimes. Comparative scholars also use statistical data gathered across different countries to analyse international trends in welfare arrangements. Such data are gathered by international bodies such as the Office for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), and in Europe by the Commission of the European Union (EU); and have been used to explore to what extent social policies may be 'converging' on a common model, or to what extent economic pressures may be leading to reduced commitments to policy action – sometimes referred to as welfare 'retrenchment'.

An introduction to some of these aspects of international and comparative analysis is provided in Chapter 63. And Chapter 64 explores another dimension of international policy development, the extent to which comparative analysis of different welfare regimes can be used to inform policy development in others, through 'policy transfer'. International bodies like the OCED and EU do not just gather comparative data about social policy action, however. In the case of the EU, the Commission has the power to introduce policy measures that apply across all member states, as highlighted in Chapters 46 and 65. There are other international bodies seeking to influence policy developments on a global scale, such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF); and, as discussed in Chapter 71, these agencies have become more powerful and influential in shaping social policy on an international scale.

The study of social policy therefore includes not just the actions of national governments and their impacts on the citizens living in their jurisdictions, but also the comparative analysis of different welfare regimes across the world, their influences on each other, and the role of international agencies seeking to shape policy development on a global scale. Although many of the chapters in this book focus on the UK, and in many cases England only, students of social policy will need to address the wider international dimensions introduced in the later chapters. The study of social policy in the UK, however, also needs to take account of both the history of policy development in this country and changes in its analysis, for to some extent current issues and current practices are a product of that historical journey.

#### The Development of Social Policy

Social policy action has a long history in the UK; for instance, the first Poor Laws were introduced in 1601 at the time of Elizabeth I (see Chapter 16). However, much recent policy development, in particular, public policy, has its roots in the political and policy debates of the early twentieth century and the reforms that followed from these.

At the centre of the arguments for public action at this time was the *Fabian Society*, established in 1884 to campaign for state intervention to tackle the social problems and economic inequalities

which its members argued had failed to be addressed by the capitalist markets of nineteenth-century Britain. Leading members of the Society were Sidney and Beatrice Webb. Sidney was a civil servant who later became a Labour MP. and Beatrice served on the Poor Law Commission discussed below. The Fabians used research evidence, such as the pioneering work by Booth and Rowntree, whose research revealed that the extent and depth of poverty in the UK at the end of the nineteenth century were both serious and widespread. This challenged conservative political assumption that markets could meet the welfare needs of all; and the Fabians used it to promote policy intervention through the state to protect people where the market had failed them.

As Sidney Webb's role as a Labour MP revealed, however, the Fabians' academic arguments were closely linked to the establishment and growth of the Labour Party as the political vehicle through which policy innovation and reform through the state could be achieved. In fact, it was some time before the Labour Party gained political power, and it was the Liberal governments of the early twentieth century who introduced some of the first major state measures for social policy.

These early reforms to social policy were informed by the recommendations of a Royal Commission established in 1905 to review the *Poor Laws*, the mainstay of nineteenth-century welfare policy. The commissioners themselves could not agree on the right way forward and so they produced two separate reports:

- a Minority Report, which was largely the work of Beatrice Webb; and
- a Majority Report, which was largely the work of Helen Bosanquet, who, with her husband Bernard, was a leading figure in the Charity Organisation Society (COS), a body which coordinated voluntary action to relieve poverty.

Both reports stressed the need for reforms to improve welfare provision; but, whilst the Minority Fabian report saw the public provision of state services as the means of achieving this, the Majority COS report envisaged a continuing central role for voluntary and philanthropic activity. This debate about the balance between state and non-state provision of welfare continued to

influence the development of social policy throughout the twentieth century, as the chapters in Part III reveal; and, as is discussed in subsequent chapters, the issue of securing the appropriate mix between public and other provision remains a key element in social policy planning.

In practice, however, it was the Fabian arguments of the Minority Report that largely won the day in the development of social policy in the early twentieth century. The Liberal government of Asquith and Lloyd George in the early twentieth century introduced a range of measures to provide public resources through the state to tackle the social and economic problems identified by the Fabian researchers (as is discussed in Chapter 17). What is more, academic study and research evidence were expanded to support this, in particular, by the establishment by the Webbs of the London School of Economics (LSE) and the incorporation within it of the COS's School of Sociology to form a new Department of Social Sciences and Administration. This was the first major academic base for the study of social policy. Its first new lecturer was Clement Attlee, who became prime minister in the reforming Labour government after the Second World War; and it remains a major centre for teaching and research on social policy today.

# The Welfare State and the Welfare Consensus

The welfare reforms of the early twentieth century were followed in the middle of the century by what was probably the most important period of policy reform in the UK. As mentioned, a Labour government under the leadership of Attlee was elected after the war with a manifesto commitment to introduce a range of comprehensive measures to provide for the welfare of citizens – to create what later came to be called a 'welfare state'.

This commitment had been prefigured to some extent in Beveridge's famous report on the need for comprehensive social security reform, published in 1942 and included in Labour's manifesto promises. Beveridge had written about the *Five Giant Social Evils* that had undermined British society before the war: ignorance, disease, idleness, squalor and want. He argued that it was in the interests of all citizens to remove these evils from British society, and it was the duty of the state,

as the representative body of all citizens, to act to do this.

In the years following, between 1945 and 1951, comprehensive state provision to combat each of Beveridge's evils was introduced:

- free education up to the age of 15 (later 16), to combat ignorance;
- a national health service (NHS) free at the point of use, to combat disease;
- state commitment to securing full employment, to combat idleness;
- public housing for all citizens to rent, to combat squalor;
- national insurance benefits for all in need, to combat want.

All these required the development of major state services for citizens, and they resulted in a major extension of state responsibility – and state expenditure. The reforms were not only supported by the Labour government, however; indeed, the state education plans were introduced by a Conservative member of the wartime coalition government (R. A. Butler) in 1944. And the Conservative governments that followed in the 1950s supported the spirit of the reforms and maintained their basic structure. This cross-party consensus on state welfare was so strong that it even acquired an acronym – *Butskellism* – comprising the names of the Labour Chancellor (Gaitskell) and his Conservative successor (Butler).

For Fabian social policy, therefore, the post-war welfare state could be seen as the culmination of academic and political influence on government, after which analysis and debate focused more on the problems of how to administer and improve existing state welfare programmes than on the question of whether these were appropriate mechanisms for the social promotion of wellbeing. However, this narrow Fabian focus within post-war social policy provision and analysis did not last for long. It was soon under challenge from other perspectives which queried both the success and the desirability of state welfare.

#### Theoretical Pluralism

From the 1970s onwards the focus of the study and analysis of social policy began to move beyond the narrow confines of the Fabian welfare state and to consider both non-state forms of provision and a wider range of political and policy issues. This was symbolised most dramatically by a change (at the annual conference of the academic association in 1987) in the name of the subject from social administration to social policy, primarily because it was felt that administration was associated too closely with a focus on analysing the operation of existing welfare services, whereas policy encompassed a more general concern with the political and ideological bases of welfare provision. This change was representative of more general trends within academic and political debate to embrace a wider range of conflicting perspectives challenging the orthodoxy of Fabianism, and moved the study of social policy towards a more open theoretical pluralism in which questions of whether or why to pursue state welfare became as important as questions of how or when.

#### The New Left

The predominant focus of Fabianism on the success and desirability of state welfare was challenged in the 1960s and 1970s by critics on the left. Drawing on Marxist analysis of capitalist society, they argued that welfare services had not replaced the exploitative relationships of the labour market; and that, although they had provided some benefits for the poor and the working class, these services had also helped to support future capitalist development by providing a secure base for the market economy to operate. Unlike the Fabian socialists of the early twentieth century, these New Left critics did not necessarily see the further expansion of the existing state welfare base of social policy as resolving this dilemma. Indeed, for them state welfare was in a constant state of contradiction, or conflict, between the pressure to meet the welfare needs of citizens and the pressure to support the growth of capitalist markets.

#### The New Right

In the 1970s and 1980s rather different criticisms of state welfare began to appear from the right of the political spectrum. Right-wing proponents of free market capitalism, most notably Hayek, had

been critical of the creation of the welfare state in the 1940s, but at the time these had been marginal voices in academic and political debate. In the 1970s, as the advent of economic recession revealed some of the limitations of state welfare. these voices became both more vocal and more widely supported - especially after the move to the right of the Conservative Party following the election of Margaret Thatcher as leader in 1975. The essence of the New Right critique is that the development of extensive state welfare services is incompatible with the maintenance of a successful market economy, and that this problem will get worse as welfare expands to meet more and more social needs. For its proponents the desirability of state welfare itself is called into question.

#### New social movements

The failings and limitations of state welfare were also questioned in the late twentieth century from perspectives outside the traditional left/right political spectrum. Most significant here was the challenge by feminism to the unequal treatment of men and women in the development and delivery of welfare services. As feminists point out, the provision of welfare is 'gendered'. Others have also challenged traditional analysis of state welfare to address a wider range of social divisions and social issues in analysing social policy. Anti-racists have pointed out that welfare services can be discriminatory and exclusive; disability campaigners have suggested that the needs of certain social groups can be systematically ignored; and environmentalists have argued that existing service provision is predicated upon forms of economic development which cannot be sustained.

#### The new pragmatism

The new radical voices that began to influence social policy towards the end of the twentieth century have widely varying, and sometimes mutually conflicting, implications. They challenged state welfare and the orthodoxy of Fabianism, but they were also critical of the New Left and the New Right. At the beginning of this century, these differing perspectives have resulted in a theoretical pluralism which has not only transformed academic study but also shifted the focus of policymaking itself. The Labour governments at

the beginning of the new century openly eschewed the policy programmes of the Fabian left and the New Right, and appealed instead to a 'third way' for social policy, combining private and public provision in a 'mixed economy' of welfare rather than a welfare state. They also argued that, rather than policy being determined by theoretical or ideological preferences, it should be based on empirical evidence of the impact of policy measures – captured in the phrase 'what counts is what works'.

#### Public austerity

Following the economic recession of 2007-8 and the change of government in the 2010 general election, however, the incremental expansion in social policy that had accompanied third-way pragmatism came under challenge. Under Labour public expenditure on welfare had begun to rise (see Chapter 20), and initially this was retained at the time of recession. However, as explained in Chapter 21, the Coalition government of 2010 was committed to reducing the growing public deficit left by Labour through extensive reductions in public spending - referred to by commentators as the introduction of a new public austerity. This was defended by the Coalition as the promotion of a Big Society approach to social policy development, to replace the 'big state' commitments to public spending; and it has continued under the Conservative government elected in 2015. In practice, however, the cuts in public spending, particularly on social security benefits and tax credits, have not been accompanied by any major expansion of community and citizen-led welfare support; and by 2015 the Big Society rhetoric had largely been abandoned by government.

#### Emerging Issues: the Future of Social Policy

Contemporary social policymaking and analysis has developed from its Fabian roots and its support for the welfare state reforms of the early post-war years to encompass a wide range of diverse – and conflicting – theoretical debates about both the value and the success of public welfare provision and a wider conceptualisation of the role of local and global action as well as

national politics in policymaking. Social policy is now characterised by theoretical and geographical pluralism. It is also characterised by 'welfare pluralism': the recognition that state provision is only one feature of a broader mixture of differing forms and levels of welfare service. This is sometimes referred to as the shift from the *welfare state* to the *welfare mix*.

Quite how this mix will continue to evolve in the future is always hard to predict, although some broad trends are likely to continue to be influential both in policymaking and implementation and its analysis. In particular, as the rest of the later chapters in this book suggest, there are likely to be further moves:

- away from centralised public services of the welfare state towards partnerships between public and other welfare providers, and a focus on the role of the state as a contractor, a subsidiser or a regulator of the actions of others;
- away from the 'provider culture' focus on who delivers welfare services, towards a greater emphasis on the role of citizens and users in defining and delivering welfare, including the transfer of power to service users through mechanisms such as personal budgets and coproduction and greater reliance on selfprovision;
- towards a 'hollowing out' of the welfare state to include a greater emphasis on the role of global forces and global actors in shaping social policy, and to address the impact of devolution on policymaking and the pressures for greater localism in the development and delivery of welfare services, even down to neighbourhood level.

#### Guide to Further Sources

There are no textbooks dealing with the history and development of the study of social policy, but M. Bulmer, J. Lewis and D. Piachaud (eds) (1989), *The Goals of Social Policy*, London: Unwin Hyman, is an interesting, if dated, review and history of the work of the leading department at the LSE. And an overview of the crucial role of collective investment in welfare as the core of social policy can be found in P. Alcock (2016),

Why We Need Welfare: Collective Action for the Common Good, Bristol: Policy Press.

A number of authors have sought to provide introductory guides to the subject. The most well established is M. Hill and Z. Irving (2009), *Understanding Social Policy*, 8th edn, Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, which provides a service-based review of welfare policy. P. Alcock, with M. May (2014), *Social Policy in Britain*, 4th edn, Basingstoke: Palgrave, takes a broader approach covering key questions of structure, context and issues, and also includes extensive coverage of the impact of devolution in the UK on social policy. J. Baldock, L. Mitton, N. Manning and S. Vickerstaff (eds) (2011), *Social Policy*, 4th edn, Oxford: Oxford University Press, is a collection covering both contextual issues and service areas.

F. Castles, S. Leibfried, J. Lewis, H. Obinger and C. Pierson (eds) (2010), *The Oxford Handbook of the Welfare State*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, is an extensive collection on the international and comparative analysis of social policy. P. Alcock and M. Powell (eds) (2011), *Welfare Theory and Development*, 4 vols, London: Sage, is an international collection of previously published key texts. The Policy Press publishes a major series of textbooks on social policy in their *Understanding Welfare* series, edited by Saul Becker. Finally, the Social Policy Association and the Policy Press produce an annual collection of topical essays, *Social Policy Review*.

A useful website providing introductory material on social policy is maintained by Paul Spicker at: www.spicker.uk.

## Review and Assignment Questions

- 1 What is *Fabianism* and how did it influence the development of social policy in the twentieth century?
- 2 What was *Butskellism* and how did it shape post-war policy development in the UK?
- 3 To what extent did the New Left and New Right agree that the 'welfare state' had failed?
- 4 What is *welfare pluralism* and how accurately does it describe current social policy planning?
- 5 Do we still have a 'welfare state' in the UK?