Spencer Chainey

Director of Geographic Information Science, Jill Dando Institute of Crime Science, University College London

Jerry Ratcliffe

Department of Criminal Justice, Temple University, Philadelphia



Mastering GIS: Technology, Applications and Management series

Location-based Services and Geomatic Engineering, Allan Brimicombe and Chao Li (forthcoming)

Geodemographics, GIS and Neighbourhood Targeting, Richard Harris, Peter Sleight and Richard Webber

Landscape Visualisation: GIS Techniques for Planning and Environmental Management, Andrew Lovett, Katy Appleton and Simon Jude (forthcoming)

Integration of GIS and Remote Sensing, Victor Mesev (forthcoming)

GIS for Public Sector Spatial Planning, Scott Orford, Andrea Frank and Sean White (forthcoming)

GIS Techniques for Habitat Management, Nigel Waters and Shelley Alexander (forthcoming)

Spencer Chainey

Director of Geographic Information Science, Jill Dando Institute of Crime Science, University College London

Jerry Ratcliffe

Department of Criminal Justice, Temple University, Philadelphia



Copyright © 2005	John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate,
	Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England

Telephone (+44) 1243 779777

Email (for orders and customer service enquiries): cs-books@wiley.co.uk Visit our Home Page on www.wiley.com

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise, except under the terms of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 or under the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1T 4LP, UK, without the permission in writing of the Publisher. Requests to the Publisher should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England, or emailed to permreq@wiley.co.uk, or faxed to (+44) 1243 770620.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The Publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold on the understanding that the Publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Other Wiley Editorial Offices

John Wiley & Sons Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

Jossey-Bass, 989 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103-1741, USA

Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Boschstr. 12, D-69469 Weinheim, Germany

John Wiley & Sons Australia Ltd, 33 Park Road, Milton, Queensland 4064, Australia

John Wiley & Sons (Asia) Pte Ltd, 2 Clementi Loop #02-01, Jin Xing Distripark, Singapore 129809

John Wiley & Sons Canada Ltd, 22 Worcester Road, Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada M9W 1L1

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Chainey, Spencer.

GIS and crime mapping / Spencer Chainey, Jerry Ratcliffe.
p. cm. — (Mastering GIS)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN-13 978-0-470-86098-7 (cloth : alk. paper) — ISBN-13 978-0-470-86099-5 (pbk. : alk. paper)
ISBN-10 0-470-86098-7 (cloth : alk. paper) — ISBN-10 0-470-86099-5 (pbk. : alk. paper)
I. Crime analysis—Data processing. 2. Geographic information systems. 3. Digital mapping.
I. Ratcliffe, Jerry. II. Title. III. Series.
HV7936.C88C48 2005
363.25—dc22

2004028500

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-470-86098-7 (HB) 978-0-470-86099-5 (PB) ISBN-10 0-470-86098-7 (HB) 0-470-86099-5 (PB)

Typeset in 11/13pt Times by Integra Software Services Pvt. Ltd, Pondicherry, India Printed and bound in Great Britain by TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall This book is printed on acid-free paper responsibly manufactured from sustainable forestry in which at least two trees are planted for each one used for paper production. Spencer Chainey: To Victoria and Oscar Jerry Ratcliffe: To Philippa

Ac	cknow	ledgements	xiii
1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	The geography of crime	1
	1.2	A brief history of GIS and crime mapping	2
	1.3	Using GIS in policing and to prevent crime	3
	1.4	The audience for this book	4
	1.5	The content and structure of the book	5
	1.6	Putting it all in perspective	7
	Case	e study: Crime mapping in Lincoln, Nebraska	7
	Furt	her reading	10
	Refe	erences	11
2	Mapping and the Criminal Justice Environment		13
	Lea	ming Objectives	13
	2.1	Introduction	13
	2.2	The terminology of services in the criminal justice	
		environment	14
	2.3	The spatial hierarchy of the criminal justice system	
		and crime reduction services	19
	Case	e study: Policing across the spatial hierarchy in the	
		UK – The National Intelligence Model	21
	2.4	The geographical jurisdiction of law enforcement	
		and crime reduction services	22
	2.5	The use of crime mapping in law enforcement and	
		crime reduction	24
	Case	e study: Using GIS to monitor the effect of	
		alley-gating schemes	28
	2.6	Summary	31
	Furt	her reading	33
	Refe	erences	33

3	The l	Basics of Crime Mapping	37
	Learr	ning Objectives	37
	3.1	What is a GIS?	38
	3.2	How does a GIS work?	40
	3.3	GIS files	41
	3.4	Coordinate systems and projections	43
	3.5	Getting crime data into a GIS	46
	Case	study: Using GPS technology to capture	
		environmental crime incidents in	
		North London, England	50
	3.6	Geocoding in the real world	52
	3.7	Address data cleaning	56
	3.8	Address reference files	56
	Case	study: Geocoding crime data at the point of	
		record entry in Dumfries and Galloway, Scotland	58
	3.9	Geocoding functions	59
	3.10	Geocoding and fitness for purpose	60
	3.11	Measuring geocoding accuracy	61
	Case	study: Handling uncertainty and incompleteness in	
		crime records	64
	3.12	Mapping and unreported crime data	65
	3.13	Editing data in a GIS	68
	3.14	Performing queries on data in a GIS	69
	3.15	Performing spatial functions and integrating data	
		in a GIS	69
	3.16	Asking spatial questions before mapping or	
		analysing data	70
	3.17	Summary	75
	Further reading		75
	References		76
4	Spati	al Theories of Crime	79
	Learning Objectives		79
	4.1	Introduction	79
	4.2	Early environmental criminology	81
	4.3	The space and time of offences	86
	4.4	Offender-offence interaction	96
	4.5	Spatial crime theory in practice	107
	4.6	Summary	109
	Further reading		109
	Refe	rences	110

5	Spat	ial Statistics for Crime Analysis	115
	Lear	ning Objectives	115
	5.1	Introduction	116
	5.2	Spatial processes	116
	5.3	Centrographic statistics	119
	5.4	Estimates of spatial dependence	126
	Case	study: The application of Moran's I on burglary at the	
		state level in the United States of America	132
	5.5	Spatial regression models	134
	Case study: A spatial lag model of anonymous narcotics tips		
		in Philadelphia, USA	137
	Case	study: Local spatial processes with Geographically	
		Weighted Regression	138
	5.6	Summary	140
	Furth	er reading	141
	Refe	rences	142
6	Identifying Crime Hotspots		145
	Lear	ning Objectives	145
	6.1	Introduction	145
	6.2	When is a hotspot 'hot'?	147
	6.3	Point maps	148
	6.4	Geographic boundary thematic mapping	150
	6.5	Grid thematic mapping	153
	6.6	Continuous surface smoothing methods	155
	Case study: Mapping hotspots of thefts of vehicles		
		in Camden, London	162
	6.7	Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA)	
		statistics	163
	6.8	Considering the underlying population	172
	Case study: Identifying street crime risk hotspots		
		in the West End of London using pedestrian counts	174
	6.9	Predictive crime mapping	177
	6.10	Summary	179
	Further reading		180
	References		180
7	Map	ping Crime with Local Community Data	183
	Lear	ning Objectives	183
	7.1	Introduction	184
	7.2	What are crime reduction partnerships?	184
	7.3	Mapping and the benefits of partnership working	186

	Case	e study: Comparing the perception of where crime	
		happens with where crime actually happens	187
	7.4	Partnership data	189
	Case	e study: Crime And Disorder Information Exchange	
		(CADDIE), Sussex, England	195
	7.5	Information sharing	199
	Case	e study: The Amethyst Crime and Disorder Information	
		Hub, Cornwall, England	211
	7.6	Combining data from different geographic units	213
	7.7	Summary	219
	Furt	her reading	220
	Refe	erences	221
8	Maj	pping and Analysing Change Over Time	223
	Lear	ning Objectives	223
	8.1	Introduction	223
	8.2	The timeline	225
	8.3	Temporal resolution and querying a temporal	
		database	228
	8.4	Comparing two distributions	231
	8.5	Mapping temporal change with graphs	235
	8.6	Using animation	240
	8.7	Quantifying change over time	245
	8.8	Aoristic analysis	251
	Case	e study: Aoristic analysis of vehicle crime in Sydney's	
		Eastern Suburbs	253
	8.9	Summary	254
	Furt	her reading	255
	Refe	erences	255
9	Maj	oping for Operational Police Activities	257
	Lea	ning Objectives	257
	9.1	Introduction	258
	9.2	CompStat	259
	Case	e study: CompStat mapping in the Philadelphia Police	
		Department	264
	Case	e study: CompStat from a management perspective	268
	9.3	Intelligence products in the UK	271
	9.4	Repeat victimisation	274
	9.5	The hotspot matrix	277
	Case	e study: A street crime hotspot matrix	281
	9.6	Summary	283

	Further reading	283
	References	284
10	Tactical and Investigative Crime Mapping Applications	287
	Learning Objectives	287
	10.1 Introduction	288
	10.2 Understanding offenders	290
	10.3 The journey to crime	296
	Case study: The journey to crime and the	
	'self-containment index'	302
	10.4 Geographic profiling	302
	Case study: Geographic profile for Operation Lynx	305
	10.5 Using maps as evidence	309
	Case study: Using maps as evidence in a murder trial	
	in Florida	310
	10.6 Detecting offenders through their self-selection	312
	Case study: Self-selection of offenders through illegal	
	narking in disabled parking bays	315
	10.7 Summary	317
	Further reading	318
	References	318
11	Policing the Causes of Crime	
	Learning Objectives	323
	11.1 Introduction – the level of strategic crime control	324
	11.2 Policing for crime reduction	325
	Case study: Supporting strategic crime analysis in London,	
	England	332
	11.3 Analysing the underlying drivers of crime	333
	11.4 The geography of neighbourhood studies	340
	Case study: Street corner geography for street corner	
	problems?	345
	11.5 Summary	348
	Further reading	348
	References	349
12	Crime Map Cartography	353
	Learning Objectives	353
	12.1 Introduction – the purpose of the map	353
	12.2 Design considerations	355
	12.3 Visual variables and colour	366

	12.5	Thematic maps of point data	380
	12.6	Getting away from paper: The digital age	381
	12.7	Summary	385
	Furth	er reading	386
	Refer	rences	386
13	The l	Management and Organisation of Crime Mapping	
	Servi	ces	389
	Learn	ing Objectives	389
	13.1	Introduction	390
	13.2	Implementing crime mapping	391
	13.3	Understanding the role of crime analysis	399
	Case study: Crime mapping and analysis in the Glendale		
		Police Department, Arizona	406
	13.4	Organising the production of crime mapping	
		products	409
	Case	study: Project Spectrom – a new operational policing	
		model for West Midlands Police, England	412
	Case	study: The importance of management to support	
		crime analysis	415
	13.5	Summary	418
	Furth	er reading	418
	Refer	ences	419
Ind	ex		423

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the following who have provided case study material, comment on the book's content and supported us in its production: George Rengert, Philippa Ratcliffe, Ron Wilson, Rachel Weeden, Suzanne Siegel, Patricia Giorgio-Fox, Charles Brennan, Tom Casady, Ned Levine, Michael McCullagh, Brian Flood, Ralph Taylor, Jim LeBeau, Stewart Fotheringham, Gloria Laycock, Kate Bowers, Shane Johnson, Kim Rossmo, Mark Partick, Lee Kilroy, Stuart Arnott, Tim Hemsley, Phil Davies, Sylvia Chenery, Andy Brumwell, Steve Rose, Nancy LaVigne, Julie Wartell, Bryan Hill and Dave Flitcroft.

1 Introduction

1.1 The geography of crime

Crime has an inherent geographical quality. When a crime occurs, it happens at a place with a geographical location. For someone to have committed a crime they must have also come from a place (such as their home, work or school). This place could be the same location where the crime was committed or is often close to where the crime was perpetrated (Frisbie *et al.*, 1977; Brantingham and Brantingham, 1981; Rossmo, 2000; Wiles and Costello, 2000). 'Place' therefore plays a vital role in understanding crime and how crime can be tackled.

The study of crime has traditionally been the preserve of other disciplines such as sociology and psychology (Georges, 1978) and it was not until the late 1970s that the 'place' and the spatial dimension to crime began to be more fully explored. The police have long recognised the inherent geographical component of crime by sticking pins into maps displayed on walls, where each pin represented a crime event, but it was studies such as those from the 'Chicago School' of the 1930s (Shaw and McKay, 1931) that first demonstrated the importance of geography in understanding crime.

What has taken time, and only seriously surfaced in the 1970s, was the realisation that crime could be explained and understood in more depth by exploring its geographical components. New techniques emerged – techniques that included identifying patterns and concentrations of crime; the exploration of the relationships between crime and environmental or socio-economic characteristics; and techniques to assess the effectiveness

GIS and Crime Mapping Spencer Chainey and Jerry Ratcliffe © 2005 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

of policing and crime reduction programmes that are targeted to geographical areas. What has materialised from this emergence of academic and practitioner activity is the field of crime mapping – a progressive blend of practical criminal justice issues with the research field of Geographical Information Systems (GIS).

1.2 A brief history of GIS and crime mapping

Since the 1960s GIS has emerged as a discipline in its own right. From its origins in land use applications in Canada to an all-pervasive technology used today in applications as diverse as in-car navigation, retail store site location, customer targeting, risk management, construction, weather forecasting, utilities management and military planning, GIS has become ubiquitous in modern life.

The infancy of GIS grew through applications such as planning for the US Census of Population in 1970 (and other national censuses in many other countries since) and from the national mapping agencies that began using this technology to help automate their cartographic draughting. Imagery of the earth from satellites has also played a significant role in the development of GIS, particularly through the military where GIS was the platform into which imagery could be displayed and analysed for the purpose of intelligence gathering. Indeed it was the military that were responsible for the first uniform system of measuring location, driven by the need for accurately targeting missiles. The military were also initially responsible for the development of the Global Positioning System (GPS). However, it was not until the 1980s that reductions in the price of computer technology created a conducive environment for the development of the GIS software industry and the subsequent growth in cost-effective GIS applications (Longley *et al.*, 2001).

These reductions in the cost of computer hardware were complemented by improved operating systems, electronic storage media and developments in computer software, and have had a wide and significant impact in introducing GIS technologies to new areas, such as policing and crime reduction. The computerisation of police records has come with a realisation that this material can be used for crime and intelligence analysis (Ratcliffe, 2004), and in turn used to better recognise patterns of crime that can be targeted for action, patterns that evidence suggests police officers are not necessarily aware of (Ratcliffe and McCullagh, 2001).

The early use of GIS for mapping crime was often held back by organisational and management problems (Openshaw *et al.*, 1990), issues

with sharing information (Chainey, 2001), technical problems (Hirschfield *et al.*, 1995) and geocoding problems (Craglia *et al.*, 2000). These problems were shared with many of the other industries and disciplines trying to implement GIS, and it took several innovators to resolve these issues and show how they could be overcome. In reality many of these problems have not simply gone away, and several new ones have emerged, so in this book we try to help the reader by pointing to ways in which many of these technical and organisational issues can be overcome.

Much of the innovation in crime mapping was driven in the United States by the National Institute of Justice's Crime Mapping Research Center (CMRC). Renamed in 2002 as the Mapping and Analysis for Public Safety (MAPS) programme, the impact of this US government initiative was not isolated to the USA, but has also been the foundation for the development of crime mapping in many other countries, including the United Kingdom, Australia, South Africa and across South America. The MAPS programme has raised awareness of crime mapping through arranging seminars, conferences and producing publications, and by developing crime mapping software tools and funding new fields of crime mapping research. The MAPS programme, joined now by many other institutions and organisations, continues to be an active player in supporting the development of crime mapping with the result that crime mapping is now more widely recognised by government and law enforcement services as a tool to aid policing and crime reduction.

Many argue that the 'systems' development of GIS has in recent years been overtaken by the 'scientific' development of the discipline (Goodchild, 1992, 1997; Longley *et al.*, 2001). This geographical information science has seen the development of analytical methodologies, techniques and processes for the advancement of spatial understanding, and as a result has contributed to many disciplines where understanding space and place is important, such as with crime (Ratcliffe, 2004). The geographical analysis of crime has also shown strong parallels with the field of spatial epidemiology and continues today to learn from this related field, using many of the analysis techniques that were originally designed for the study of disease patterns. We cover a number of these techniques in this book.

1.3 Using GIS in policing and to prevent crime

Crime mapping can play an important role in the policing and crime reduction process, from the first stage of data collection through to the monitoring and evaluation of any targeted response. It can also act as an important mechanism in a more pivotal preliminary stage, that of preventing crime by helping in the design of initiatives that are successful in tackling a crime problem. In subsequent sections of this book we present and discuss a wide range of applications for crime mapping, but as a resumé to demonstrate how it can support many central processes to policing and crime reduction we include the following application areas:

- Recording and mapping police activity, crime reduction projects, calls for service and crime incidents;
- Supporting the briefing of operational police officers by identifying crimes that have recently occurred and predicting where crime may occur in the future;
- Identifying crime hotspots for targeting, deploying and allocating suitable crime reduction responses;
- Helping to effectively understand crime distribution, and to explore the mechanisms, dynamics and generators to criminal activity, through pattern analysis with other local data;
- Monitoring the impact of crime reduction initiatives; and
- Using maps as a medium to communicate to the public crime statistics for their area and the initiatives that are being implemented to tackle crime problems.

Crime mapping is becoming central to policing and crime reduction in the 21st century, and this book aims to make a contribution to its continued growth. As Clarke (2004, p. 60) notes, 'Quite soon, crime mapping will become as much an essential tool of criminological research as statistical analysis is at present.'

1.4 The audience for this book

This book has been written to appeal to a wide range of professionals, academics and students interested in crime mapping. The book's style and content is one that should interest analysts working in policing and law enforcement, and analysts working in other services that support crime reduction, such as those who work in a crime reduction partnership, or those that are involved in analysing or researching crime problems within national or regional government bodies. The book should also appeal to academics and lecturers in GIS, crime science, crime prevention, criminal justice, law enforcement, policing, community safety or criminology, and

to researchers and students studying in these disciplines. The book also aims to be of international appeal by focusing on generic themes in GIS and crime mapping, and by drawing from worldwide experiences and developments in the active areas of crime mapping.

1.5 The content and structure of the book

This book has been written to support readers with the essential theory, scientific methodologies, analysis techniques and design processes that they require for applying crime mapping as a tool to help understand crime. The book's content is also illustrated with examples and case studies designed to bring depth to the explanation of certain principles and to demonstrate crime mapping at work. The book can also be used as a reference text which can be dipped into on relevant occasions, and to complement existing texts on the subject.

The book is divided into four main parts: Part One covers the basics required for mapping crime; Part Two covers crime mapping and GIS techniques; Part Three presents and discusses a comprehensive range of methodologies and applications of crime mapping; and Part Four aims to bring together much of what has been described and discussed by explaining how to make maps work to their best effect, and the organisational and management arrangements that can help to ensure that these outputs are used.

'The basics' included in Part One begins in the next chapter which describes the different professional areas where crime mapping is being applied, clarifies the definitions of terms and professions and explains the geographic jurisdictional and hierarchical boundaries of policing and crime reduction services. Chapter 3 further explores the basics of crime mapping by describing the starting point for converting raw crime data into a computer map. This chapter also explains some of the key concepts of GIS and geographic data, and in particular the chapter discusses and addresses the issues with geocoding crime data. Chapter 4 covers the essential theoretical concepts for interpreting and understanding the geographical aspects of crime. It includes an explanation of how thinking about crime and space has developed into the field of environmental criminology and demonstrates many of the key theoretical concepts in a practical sense. This chapter provides the essential backcloth to why there is value in crime mapping.

Part Two emphasises geographic information techniques and processes that can be applied to crime mapping. This part begins by providing

a grounding in spatial statistics, from descriptive spatial statistics through to more advanced techniques such as spatial autocorrelation and spatial regression. The theme of spatial statistics continues in Chapter 6 where a range of different methods are discussed for identifying crime hotspots. The concept of using crime data with non-police data is then explored in Chapter 7, which discusses the use of non-crime data sources to complement the picture of criminal behaviour and the possible causes that account for that behaviour. The chapter also explores the data that these other sources may have available to share, and reviews the information-sharing challenges and the technical processes for combining geographic information. The analytical techniques for understanding crime patterns in space are complemented in Chapter 8 where we explore ways to visualise the temporal and spatio-temporal patterns of crime. This includes helping the reader to recognise the value that the temporal dimension contributes to understanding crime as well as equipping the reader with a range of tools that can be used to identify and interpret temporal and spatio-temporal patterns.

Part Three focuses on crime mapping applications and approaches in its use, grouping these applications into three separate chapters. Chapter 9 describes the ways in which maps are incorporated into the operational thinking of police organisations, and are used to inform police officers wishing to reduce crime. This is illustrated with examples that describe the principles and functions of the CompStat process; describe the intelligence products of Britain's National Intelligence Model; discuss the importance of repeat victimisation identification in crime prevention research and outline the components of the 'Hotspot Matrix' as a way to understand and establish suitable responses to crime problems based on their spatial and temporal characteristics. Chapter 10 describes how crime mapping can support the tactical and investigative requirements of law enforcement and crime reduction, particularly in terms of catching offenders or gathering facts that can be useful in targeting diversion schemes, focusing the control of behaviour and directing crime prevention strategies. The chapter includes analytical methods for understanding offenders; discusses the importance of understanding the journey to crime; presents the main concepts of geographic profiling and how this important tool has been used to help serial crime investigations; describes the key requirements to consider when producing maps for prosecution evidence and explains the concept of offender self-selection. Part Three's final chapter begins by re-examining the main spatial crime theoretical structures to examine the different types of geographical scale and strategies that provide the link between theoretical understanding of crime and its spatial extent. This chapter includes an overview of the current paradigms in policing and

crime reduction and whether these can be effectively employed in a spatial sense to prevent crime. These discussions then extend to the analysis of neighbourhoods and understanding the underlying drivers of crime.

Part Four focuses on pulling together the outputs of crime mapping into a form that makes them work, be effective and reach the right audience. Chapter 12 examines the end of the crime mapping process – getting the message across to the audience. The main focus of this chapter is gearing the output to the needs of the client or audience, and in this chapter we offer the reader tips, techniques and theories to ensure that their effort is not wasted. More specifically, the chapter looks at key principles of cartographic design, the effective use of colour and the incorporation of maps into presentations. The book's final chapter helps to identify the ways in which crime mapping can be managed and organised in policing and crime reduction services. It discusses the GIS and crime mapping implementing process, the role of crime mapping (and analysis) and its effective use and the integration of crime mapping products into information-driven processes.

1.6 Putting it all in perspective

To put this book into a functional perspective, the following case study illustrates the developmental process of getting a crime mapping system up and running. It also shows the myriad of ways in which mapping technology can aid the policing and crime reduction effort. Chief Casady's experiences are probably similar to that of many innovative police departments and show how effective use of mapping does not instantly occur, but rather happens as a result of a combination of technological advancement and organisational change, both of which have been achieved through Chief Casady's leadership.

Case study: Crime mapping in Lincoln, Nebraska

Material supplied by Tom Casady - Chief of Police, Lincoln Police Department

Geography is basic to policing, and all good police officers are intimately familiar with the lay of the land in their area. They know their beat like the back of their hand. Perhaps because 'place' is such an important component of policing, maps are a common tool. Police officers cut them

into manageable pieces, they punch them for a ring binder, they clip them out of phone books, they laminate them, and they fold them into their pockets.

Back at police headquarters in Lincoln, someone was sticking coloured pins in a map on the wall when Teddy Roosevelt was President. In those days the pins represented saloons, or horse thefts, stick-ups or burglaries, maybe accidents, houses of ill repute, homes of officers, or any of hundreds of other events, facts or people. The map was a picture in time of a phenomenon, and told its story with a glance.

Today, the pin map has often been replaced by a much more powerful analytical tool – a GIS. Almost all printed maps are produced by high tech computer software today, but the real power of a GIS lies not in the printed output, but in the ability to interact with the data. Law enforcement is a relative latecomer to the use of GIS, but the use of GIS in policing has grown dramatically in the past several years. As this field grows, more and more police departments are discovering GIS as an incredibly valuable resource that in many cases is already at their disposal in their own community (if not hidden elsewhere in their own police department, it can often be found in the city hall or county government office).

This is exactly what happened to the Lincoln Police Department in the 1990s. Well before we began our crime mapping and analysis programmes, the Lancaster County Engineer and the Lincoln Public Works and Planning Departments had developed an extensive GIS, and were anxious to share their mapped data with other government agencies. In 1997 we began our first GIS applications in policing, making extensive use of the accurate basemap components, such as streets, land parcels, aerial photographs, and many other layers of geographic information that had already been developed and were being maintained by several city and county agencies. What we added to this cartographic mix was our police data about places.

Virtually everything we do as a police department revolves around an address or location. All of our dispatch records, incident reports, citations, intelligence reports have a place, and all of these are records collected in the ordinary course of business. GIS software allows mappers to use these computerised records of such things as crimes, by automatically placing the 'pins' on the map.

Each day, the preceding 24 hours of dispatch records, incident reports and field interviews are electronically mapped, as well as the addresses of gang members, registered sex offenders and parolees. The power of the GIS software allows our analysts to query this data rapidly to illuminate trends and patterns that would be lost in the sheer volume of

Introduction

events. With 400 dispatches on a typical day, no one has the full picture of everything that has occurred. Even in a much smaller agency, the differences in shifts and days off make it quite possible that two officers investigating similar thefts from the laundry rooms of two side-by-side apartment buildings are each unaware of the other's case. A GIS pulls these connections together out of stacks of reports by enlisting the power of the computer to extract data through time, date, modus operandi, crime type queries and queries that are geographically based.

We primarily use our GIS for operational and tactical purposes: locating crime series and intervening in these quickly. But we also use these data in many other ways. We often print large maps of crime scenes and the surrounding area as a visual aid in major crime investigations. GIS also helps identify other crimes that may be the work of the same suspect. Adding geography to the modus operandi can reveal information about the offender's target selection. Following an arrest, GIS analysis can help identify other cases that are prospects for a multiple clearance.

GIS also supports strategic decision-making. The power of GIS, for example, dramatically simplifies the time-consuming task of redistricting or adjusting boundaries in patrol areas. In 1998 we were studying the need to add a fifth patrol team area, and considering the need to move one of our major patrol boundaries. Working on one single scenario, our planners spent a full week examining the workload implications of redrawing one boundary between the Center and Northeast Team Areas. When we applied GIS to this analysis, we were able to examine dozens of alternatives and their impacts during a 4-hour management staff meeting. We have used GIS analysis to support decisions on locating substations, targeting crime in fragile neighborhoods, and developing problem-oriented policing projects.

Crime mapping has changed dramatically during the years since we produced our first GIS crime map in December, 1997. Among the major developments for us have been automating our geocoding process, simplifying our interface, and deploying our mapping applications to a much wider range of personnel. With our new applications, anyone in the department who knows how to book their airline tickets online is perfectly capable of doing their own geographic analysis. Officers and employees with basic Internet skills can produce high-level GIS analysis with nothing more than an Internet connection and a browser. Our easy-to-use Intranetbased mapping application is available to everyone on the department at anytime of the day or night. Officers can query crime type, date range, certain MO patterns, and proximity to addresses or landmarks and quickly obtain both maps and tables of matching incidents. In a matter of moments, our employees can produce analysis and maps that a few years ago would

have required expensive software, high-powered computers, and extensive GIS expertise.

We also make mapping available to the general public through the Internet. Our public mapping application allows citizens to select crime types and date ranges, and to produce both tables and maps of incidents near a specific address, a landmark such as a school, or within the boundaries of a specific neighborhood association. Although the query functions of this public Internet application are intentionally throttled back and the data reduced considerably to protect the confidentiality of crime victims, it remains a valuable asset to landlords, neighborhood associations, and other interested members of the public. At a recent meeting of the Lincoln City Council, a neighborhood association was testifying during a public hearing on a zoning issue. Their representative was using data and maps about crimes to bolster the association's case.

I recognized the layouts and the data as being from our public web mapping application.

Further reading

- LaVigne, N.G. and Groff, E.R. (2001). The evolution of crime mapping in the United States. In A. Hirschfield and K. Bowers (eds) *Mapping and Analysing Crime Data*, pp. 203–221. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Weisburd, D. and McEwen, T. (eds) (1997). Introduction: Crime mapping and crime prevention. In *Crime Mapping and Crime Prevention*, pp. 8, 1–23. New York: Criminal Justice Press.

These two references offer a comprehensive history of how crime mapping has developed from its infancy to its use in modern policing and crime reduction.

The United States National Institute of Justice's Mapping and Analysis for Public Safety programme http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij/maps/briefingbook.html.

The National Institute of Justice's Mapping and Analysis for Public Safety (MAPS) programme's website offers a 'briefing book' that outlines many of the key ways in which crime mapping is used. The MAPS website also offers a number of other resources on crime mapping including links to software, online publications and details of crime mapping conferences.

Leipnik, M.R. and Albert, D.P. (2003) GIS in Law Enforcement: Implementation Issues and Case Studies. London: Taylor & Francis.

Leipnik and Albert offer a useful crime mapping resource list of internet sites, key publications and agencies that support crime mapping.

References

- Brantingham, P.J. and Brantingham, P.L. (eds) (1981). *Environmental Criminology*. London: Sage.
- Chainey, S.P. (2001). Combating crime through partnership: Examples of crime and disorder mapping solutions in London, UK. In A. Hirschfield and K. Bowers (eds) *Mapping and Analysing Crime Data*. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Clarke, R.V. (2004). Technology, criminology and crime science. European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, 10(1), 55–63.
- Craglia, M., Haining, R. and Wiles, P. (2000). A comparative evaluation of approaches to urban crime pattern analysis. *Urban Studies*, 37(4), 711–729.
- Frisbie, D.W., Fishbine, G., Hintz, R., Joelson, M. and Nutter, J.B. (1977). Crime in Minneapolis: Proposals for prevention. St Paul, MN: Community Crime Prevention Project, Governor's Commission on Crime Prevention and Control.
- Georges, D.E. (1978). The geography of crime and violence: A spatial and ecological perspective. Association of American Geographers: Resource papers for college geography, 78(1).
- Goodchild, M.F. (1992). Geographical information science. International Journal of Geographical Information Systems, 6(1), 31-45.
- Goodchild, M.F. (1997). What is Geographic Information Science?, NCGIA Core Curriculum in GIScience. http://www.ncgia.ucsb.edu/giscc/units/u002/u002.html, posted 7 October 1997.
- Hirschfield, A., Brown, P. and Todd, P. (1995). GIS and the analysis of spatiallyreferenced crime data: Experiences in Merseyside, UK. International Journal of Geographical Information Systems, 9(2), 191–210.
- Longley, P., Goodchild, M., Maguire, D. and Rhind, D. (2001). Geographic Information Systems and Science. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Openshaw, S., Cross, A., Charlton, M. and Brunsdon, C. (1990). Lessons learnt from a post mortem of a failed GIS. The 2nd National Conference and Exhibition of the Association for Geographic Information, Brighton.
- Ratcliffe, J.H. (2004). Crime mapping and the training needs of law enforcement. *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research*, 10(1), 65–83.
- Ratcliffe, J.H. and McCullagh, M.J. (2001). Chasing ghosts? Police perception of high crime areas. *British Journal of Criminology*, 41(2), 330–341.
- Rossmo, K. (2000). Geographic Profiling. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- Shaw, C.R. and McKay, H.D. (1931). Social Factors in Juvenile Delinquency. Washington: US Government Printing Office.
- Wiles, P. and Costello, A. (2000). The road to nowhere: The evidence for traveling criminals. Home Office Research Study 207, Research, Development and Statistics Directorate, Home Office. http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/pdfs/ hors207.pdf.

2

Mapping and the Criminal Justice Environment

Learning Objectives

In this chapter we identify how crime mapping fits into the professions and organisation levels of the criminal justice environment. We begin by removing some of the ambiguity regarding the definitions of certain terms, so that the reader has a clearer sense of the terminology used in criminal justice. This includes defining crime prevention, community safety, law enforcement, crime control and crime reduction. We also examine the structuring of criminal justice in terms of its geographic jurisdictional domains and hierarchical boundaries of policing and crime reduction services. The chapter offers a foundation that helps the crime mapper locate how their work can be applied and the contributions that they can offer. We conclude by introducing several situations in which crime mapping is applicable.

2.1 Introduction

The criminal justice environment uses a wide-ranging set of terminology and is structured across many organisations and agencies that act across various levels of hierarchy. To the reader who is new to crime mapping it is useful to begin by reviewing how the application of mapping crime can be applied in different professions and at the various hierarchical and organisational levels in policing and government. For the experienced crime mapper, a review of

GIS and Crime Mapping Spencer Chainey and Jerry Ratcliffe © 2005 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

this type may also help them orientate their skills more effectively into this working environment and identify others whose skills they can complement.

The broad arena of criminal justice runs the gamut of services from early intervention activity to the work of the courts, prison and probation. While we recognise that mapping applications have been applied in other areas, this book concerns itself with crime mapping, and so in the following discussion we will limit the focus to those areas of the criminal justice system that are concerned with the mapping of crime for crime prevention, reduction and detection.

The criminal justice, policing and crime reduction services environment is awash with a range of terms that are used and interchanged so liberally that it can often leave the crime mapper confused as to what it is they actually do and how their craft can be applied in an appropriate context. The terms 'law enforcement', 'crime prevention', 'community safety', 'crime control' and 'crime reduction' often suffer from definitional ambiguity, are commonly misused and misunderstood by those working in or supporting these professions, can suffer from weak distinction, are frequently interchanged without reason and are even used to compete against each other - leaving an environment of clouded contradiction (Brantingham and Faust, 1976). Indeed Wiles and Pease (2000) refer to crime prevention and community safety anecdotally as 'Tweedledum and Tweedledee', referring to the identity crisis between the two terms, which are viewed by some as identical, and by others as two individual positions. These definitional ambiguities can easily lead to a situation where the professional who simply wants to apply their trade can easily become a victim, confused over how their skills can be applied and the context in which they should be working. Here we seek to provide some clarity and explain these terms in a manner that is explanatorily concise and clear in meaning. These definitions can also provide useful food for thought that help point the crime mapper in the direction in which they can apply their mapping skills. In this chapter we start by defining the differences between crime prevention and community safety, and other closely related terms that are used in criminal justice.

2.2 The terminology of services in the criminal justice environment

The criminal justice, policing and crime reduction environments use a number of terms that describe the services they provide or what they aspire to achieve. These include: