



A GENEALOGICAL DICTIONARY
OF THE FIRST SETTLERS
OF NEW ENGLAND

VOLUME 1:
SURNAMENES A-C

A Genealogical
Dictionary of the
First Settlers of
New England

Volume 1

JAMES SAVAGE

*A genealogical dictionary of the first settlers of New England, Volume 1, J.
Savage*

*Jazzybee Verlag Jürgen Beck
86450 Altenmünster, Loschberg 9
Deutschland*

ISBN: 9783849648879

*www.jazzybee-verlag.de
admin@jazzybee-verlag.de*

*The text of this book is available under the Creative Commons
Attribution/Share-Alike License 3.0 Unported. Details of this licence can be
found under <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/>. The text is based
on the content of the WeRelate project, where it can be found and used under
the a.m. licence
([http://www.werelate.org/wiki/Transcript:Savage%2C_James._Genealogical_Dict
ionary_of_the_First_Settlers_of_New_England](http://www.werelate.org/wiki/Transcript:Savage%2C_James._Genealogical_Dictionary_of_the_First_Settlers_of_New_England)).*

CONTENTS:

[PREFACE](#)

[ABBREVIATIONS](#)

[A](#)

[B](#)

[C](#)

PREFACE

SOME explanatory introduction to so copious a work, as the following, will naturally be required; but it may be short. In 1829 was published, by John Farmer, a Genealogical Register of the first settlers of New England. Beside the five classes of persons prominent, as Governors, Deputy-Governors, Assistants, ministers in all the Colonies, and representatives in that of Massachusetts, down to 1692, it embraced graduates of Harvard College to 1662, members of the Ancient and Honorable Artillery Company, as also freemen admitted in Massachusetts, alone, to this latter date, with many early inhabitants of other parts of New England and Long Island from 1620 to 1675. Extensive as was the plan of that volume., the author had in contemplation, as explained in his preface, calling it "an introduction to a biographical and genealogical dictionary, "a more ambitious work, that should comprehend sketches of individuals known in the annals of New England, and "a continuation of eminent persons to the present time." Much too vast a project that appeared to me; and the fixing of an absolute limit, like 1692 (the era of arrival of the new charter), for admission of any family stocks, seemed more judicious. I suppose nineteen twentieths of the people of these New England colonies in 1775 were descendants of those found here in 1692, and probably seven eighths of them were offspring of the settlers before 1642.

My scope is wider than that of Farmer, of course, as it includes every settler, without regard to his rank, or wealth, since we often find, in the second or third generation, descendants of the most humble (thank God we

are all equal before the law) filling honorable stations and performing important services.

But far more narrow is my plan than his projected dictionary, because, in a grandson of the first settler, it excludes every other incident after his birth. Space for another than is here given, would have demanded six volumes, while ten volumes would have been needed for a fifth generation; and since we now count eight, nine, or even ten generations of offspring from not a few of the earlier planters on our shores, fifty volumes, each as ponderous as the present, might be filled with details, whereof one tenth would seem ridiculous, one quarter worthless, and one half wholly uninteresting.

That New England was first occupied by a civilized people in so short a period before the great civil war broke out in our mother country, though half a century and more after its elementary principles began to ferment, especially in Parliament, and almost in every parish of the kingdom, was a very fortunate event, if it may not be thought a providential arrangement for the happiness of mankind. Even if our views be restricted to the lineal origin of those people here, when the long protracted impolicy of Great Britain drove our fathers into open hostility and forced them to become a nation in 1776, in that century and a half from its colonization, a purer Anglo Saxon race would be seen on this side of the ocean than on the other. Within forty years a vast influx of Irish, with not a few thousand Scotch and Germans has spread over this new country, but certainly more than four fifths of our people still count their progenitors among the ante-revolutionary colonists. From long and careful research I have judged the proportion of the whole number living here in 1775, that deduce their origin from the kingdom of England, i.e. the Southern part of Great Britain, excluding also the principality of Wales, to exceed ninety-eight in a hundred. Every county, from Northumberland to Cornwall, Kent to Cumberland, sent its

contribution of emigrants, and the sparse population of the narrow shire of Rutland had more than one offshoot in New England. But, during that interval, great was the diversity of circumstances between the old and the new country so far as the increase of their respective numbers by incoming of strangers was affected. In 1660 the restoration of Charles II.--in 1685 the expulsion of the two hundred thousand Protestants from France, the desired invasion of William and Mary in 1689, and the settlement of the House of Hanover in 1714, each brought from the continent an infusion upon the original stock, the aggregate of which may not have been less than five or six per cent. of that into which it was ingrafted. Yet hardly more than three in a thousand, for instance, of Scottish ancestry, almost wholly the migration of the heroic defenders of Londonderry, that came, as one hundred and twenty families, in 1718 and 19, could be found in 1775 among dwellers on our soil; a smaller number of the glorious Huguenot exiles above thirty years longer had been resident here, and may have been happy enough by natural increase (though I doubt it) to equal the later band. If these be also counted three in a thousand, much fewer, though earlier still, must be the Dutch that crept in from New York, chiefly to Connecticut, so that none can believe they reach two in a thousand, while something less must be the ratio of Irish. Germany, Italy, Sweden, Spain, Africa and all the rest of the world, together, did not outnumber the Scotch, or the French singly. A more homogeneous stock cannot be seen, I think, in any so extensive a region, at any time, since that when the ark of Noah discharged its passengers on Mount Arafat, except in the few centuries elapsing before the confusion of Babel.

What honorable ancestry the body of New England population may assert, has often been proclaimed in glowing language; but the words of William Stoughton, in his Election sermon 1668, express the sentiment with no

less happiness than brevity: GOD SIFTED A WHOLE NATION THAT HE MIGHT SEND CHOICE GRAIN INTO THE WILDERNESS.

By an instinct of our nature, we all love to learn the places of our birth, and the chief circumstances in the lives of our progenitors. More liberal than that is the sentiment by which our curious spirit desires knowledge of the same concomitants in the case of great benefactors of mankind; and the hope of ascertaining to a reasonable extent the early history of John Harvard was certainly one of the chief inducements of my visit to England early in 1842. I would have gladly given five hundred dollars to get five lines about him in any relation, private or public. Favored as I was, in this wish, by the countenance and aid of His Excellency, E. Everett, then our minister at London, no trace could be found, except in his signature to the rules on taking his degrees at the University, when he is titled of Middlesex. Perhaps out of such research sprang my resolution to prosecute the genealogical pursuits of John Farmer.

In fulfillment of this great undertaking more than fifteen years are already bestowed, and near two years longer may be necessary. Yet the rule imposed, of admitting upon these pages only the dates of birth and marriage, and names of children, of a child born on our side of the ocean to a settler whose tent was pitched here before May 1692, is severely adhered to, with the exception only of so distinguished a man as Cotton Mather; and even this variety may seem forced upon me by Farmer, who had received him to the copious honors of marriage and family. Yet, in many cases, will be named great grandchildren of first comers, and even in a very few, another generation, making a fifth. Explanation of this apparent deviation from my own law is easy. When Gov. Bradford and Gov. Winthrop came here, each brought a son, or sons, and the same is seen of Gov. Dudley and numberless others. Now each child

must be rated as an emigrant no less than its father, so that John Bradford, John and Adam Winthrop, and Samuel Dudley are equally entitled as their parents to have their grandchildren entered in these pages; but William and Joseph Bradford, and Joseph Dudley, sons of the Govs. born on our side of the water, shall not have grandchildren in their respective lines.

My apparatus for this work will sometimes be found incomplete, yet to a great extent, the public records of Colonies, Counties, and towns, where accessible, have been examined by myself or friends. Of the first ten folio volumes of our Suffolk registry of deeds I had an abstract always lying near me, and these embraced near one third of all the names of New England and more than half those in Massachusetts Colony; indeed for very many years, after the emigration from Europe ceased, only two other counties, Essex and Middlesex had been constituted. It will be recollected, that large parts of Plymouth, New Hampshire, and Maine were occupied by those who removed from Massachusetts, as was almost the whole of Rhode Island, Connecticut, and New Haven colonies. But modern labors of distinguished antiquaries furnish us almost in full their early records; and more than nine tenths of the names in these separate communities, I think, must have been acquired for this work. But even in my native city of Boston three or four in a thousand may have escaped me, yet probably in the second or third ages from its foundation.

For the time of births, marriages, or deaths in each family I have labored assiduously to be correct, in hundreds of cases finding wrong dates given, and commonly without hesitation supplying the true. Where baptism is fixed, by a decent record, weeks, and even months before the date of birth, no fear of injuring the town clerk's credit can restrain belief in his mistake. But the copious source of vexation is the variety growing out of the Old and New Styles. In many

thousand instances, I have turned to the perpetual almanac, to be sure that the day of baptism was truly, or not, recorded for Sunday, since the rite could, in the first century of New England, be performed only on that day. By this many printed errors may be corrected. As children are often seen to be baptized in January or February of the same year, by the ancient legal reckoning, that gives the parents' marriage in April or May, several weeks before, in our modern reckoning of the months, instead of so many months after, it is easy enough to put that right by calling those winter months not the eleventh and twelfth of the old year, as the statute absurdity required. Uniformly my chronology begins the year with 1 January; but to produce harmony between dates for the month of March is sometimes very difficult. A few town officers began to change the numerals for the year with the opening of the month, daring to ask, why the first month of 1679 should allow 24 of its 31 days to be drilled under old 1678, while the perverse will of the rulers in fatherland postponed the new-year's day until the 25th; and some records may be found, where the year ended in December; but this monstrous innovation did not begin before 1700, and the startling truth made irregular progress up to 1752, when Lord Macclesfield enlightened the legislature, and Chesterfield charmed it into consistency.

No apology would be necessary for filling room with enumeration of contributions from many friends other than such as are open to all in printed volumes; but much of what is now within every one's reach had been furnished in MS. to me, and still more is from the same hands, in many cases, given first to the light on my pages. Our town histories are crowding forward, and sometimes in less compact space than might be wished. Windsor, though its History is large, has not equalled ancient Woodbury in bulk, yet seems to contain all, with three-fold of the interest, that might have contented us in the other. The point of research

may occupy long time, and be expressed at last in brief phrase, so that no comparison can be made between the result in different parts of the same field of battle from taking only the numbers engaged in each. One initial letter in this dictionary required a year and a quarter for its complete preparation, more than three months were given to each of several names, like Hall or Williams, and the progress of a page has often demanded a week. It seemed my duty to expose every error in our genealogy that has got imbedded in any reputable book; and the suspicion of any such may lead to a long train of inquiries before the refutation can be reached. If my success has been less than my ambition, it has not been owing to lack of industry, or to hurried operation. Printing of the first volume began in Dec. 1858, and was prosecuted without interruption of a day to this time; while for the next volume the careful amanuensis has ready for the compositor two hundred pages, a part of which will be given to the press to-morrow. For the access of new information that reaches us almost every month, a constant watch is kept; and life and health being continued, my contract with the community may be decently discharged in the autumn of 1861.

A very extensive catalogue of gentlemen, that might be graced by one of more than half a dozen ladies, could here be supplied, were it useful to mention the smaller as well as the greater contributors to these sheets. To Goodwin, Bond, Harris, father and son, Kingsley, Abbot, Day, Shattuck, Lunt, and Kilbourne, of the respectable file who have passed out of active service, it would not be easy to state the respective proportions of indebtedness; nor could I specify the ratio of benefit derived in my pages from benevolence of the living Babson, Boltwood, Brayton, Budington, Clapp, Day, Edwards, Felt, Field, Herrick, Hoadley, Jackson, Judd, Kelly, King, Kellogg, Lincoln, Locke, Otis, Paige, Patterson, Riker, Sargent, Sewall, Shurtleff, R. D. Smith of Guilford, Staples, Vinton, Wentworth,

Whitmore, Willard, Wyman, and twice as many more. Not one of the living or dead could complain of my declaration, that from the distinguished antiquary of Northampton the acquisition exceeds that of any other ten contributors. Early in 1846 I had solicited the benefit of uniting his name with mine in producing these volumes; but while he shrank from the responsibility of such unbroken labor, I can offer several hundred pages of letters to vouch for his sympathy, and encourage my perseverance.

ABBREVIATIONS

BY the number of more or less imperfect words, that can be not much less than three hundred thousand in these volumes, very great saving, of space was expected. Caution was given me, in the Genealog. Reg. XII. 362 against the woeful disfiguring that would follow, if the specimen, offered by the publishers to attract subscribers, were to be taken for a sample. As most of these curtailments were common however in similar works, I dared to adhere to the plan, which has not, perhaps, repelled a dozen patrons; and even enlarged my list by addition of one that would occur about two thousand times. The word freeman, or freemen, may be seen, in its new shape, freem. without offence, I hope, to the taste of any subscriber. Familiar to all readers must be the short form given to our names of the months, nine in twelve, only May, June, and July having their whole beauty; and yet of these nine words the recurrence would probably show the mutilations on my pages to be fifteen or twenty thousand. Titles are always permitted even in other books to appear in brief, as Gov. or Esq. and when rep. may stand for representative, most who turn over a dictionary of this sort will approve the economy. It may happen that, by the accident of the printer's type, or my own carelessness, some word may be abbreviated that had better been printed in full, yet I submit, that the page will be seldom disfigured by such liberty, and probably the reader would not change more than once in five hundred examples. Confusion will not be caused so often as that, I hope; but if a pause be necessary, we all feel the same thing in turning to an English dictionary for definition of words only.

Nobody reads continuously from page to page, even in the affluent vocabulary of Johnson; and when a sincere desire to verify a genealogy, or ascertain a special relationship, is felt, the time will not be grudgingly reckoned, if a sentence be not printed out in every word, but with one third or more of those words curtailed. In the following list every word thus abbrev. may not be found, because the shortening may by a judicious reader be referred to: class comprising many, as the adverbial terminations, ly, bly, wanting after casi. And honora.; or the perfect tense or participles of verbs, ed; or in substantives, er and ent.; or in either part of speech, ensu. mak. preced. and tak. without ing or en. For many having, different meanings, as ch. for child, or children, or church; d. for death, died, or daughter; gr. for grand, great, grant, or graduate; mo. for mother or month, the one intended may be trusted to the student's sagacity.

a. = about.	easi. = easily.
abbrev. = abbreviation or ted.	educ. = education or ted.
acc. = according to.	Eng. = England.
acco. = account.	eno. = enough.
accu. = accurate.	ens. = ensign.
adj. = adjoining.	ensu. = ensuing.
adm. = admission or admitted.	est. = estate.
admin. = administration or tor.	establ. = establishment.
aft. = after.	exc. = except.
alleg. = allegiance.	f. = father.
ano. = another.	fam. = family.
approx. = approximately.	fidel. = fidelity.
ar. co. = artillery company.	foll. = following or ed.
ascert. = ascertain or ained.	freem. = freeman or en.
b. = born or birth.	giv. = given or giving

bapt. = baptized or sm.
 grant or graduate.
 bec. = because or became.
 gr.f. = grandfather.
 gr.mo. = grandmother
 gr.s. = grandson.
 hers. = herself
 or ivity.
 H. C. = Harvard College.
 hims. = himself.
 Hist. = History.
 hist. = historian.
 hon. = honorable.
 honor. = honorary.
 Collections.
 honora. = honorably.
 ign. = ignorant.
 Ind. = Indians.
 inf. = infant or informed.
 inhab. = inhabitant.
 inq. = inquiry.
 cins. = insert.
 inv. = inventory.
 judic. = judicial or judicious.
 k. = killed or king.
 kn. = known.
 daughter.
 ld. = land.
 lieut. = lieutenant.
 liv. = lived or ing.
 m. = married or age.
 maj. = major.
 mak. = making.
 ment. = mentioned.
 milit. = military.
 min. = minister.
 gr. = grand, great,
 bef. = before.
 bot. = bought or bottom.
 br. = brother.
 bur. = buried.
 capt. = captain, captured,
 catal. = catalogue.
 ch. = child, children, or church.
 clk. = clerk.
 Co. = County.
 Col. = Colony or Colonel.
 Coll. = College or
 comp. = company.
 confer. = conferred.
 conject. = conjecture.
 cont. = continued.
 contr. = contract.
 corp. = corporal.
 couns. = counsellor.
 cous. = cousin.
 coven. = covenant.
 ct. = court.
 d. = died, death, or
 Dart. = Dartmouth College.
 deac. = deacon.
 decis. = decision.
 degr. = degree.
 devis. = devised.
 discipl. = discipline.
 div. = division or divided.
 docum. = document.
 ds. = deaths or daughters.

mo. = mother or month.	nam. = named.
scatt. = scattering or ed.	N. E. = New
England.	
sec. = second.	not. = noted.
serb. = sergeant.	o. = oath.
sett. = settlers or settler.	O. E. = Old England.
serv. = service or servant	offic. = official.
sev. = several.	oft. = often.
sh. = share or ship	ord. = ordained.
sis. = sister.	orig. = origin.
spell. = spelling or ed.	peo. = people.
surg. = surgeon.	petitn. = petition.
sw. = swear or swore.	preced. = preceding.
syl. = syllable.	pro. = probate or proved.
tak. = taken.	prob. = probable or ly.
tho. = though.	prop. = property.
thot. = thought.	propound. = propounded.
thro. = through.	propr. = proprietors or
proprietor.	
transcr. = transcribed.	provis. = provision.
unit. = uniting or ed.	pub. = public.
unm. = unmarried. R	at. = rated.
var. = various or variation.	rec. = record.
w. = wife.	rep. = report or representative.
wh. = who or which.	repud. = repudiated.
wks. = weeks.	respectiv. = respectively.
wid. = widow.	s. = son or sons.
yr. = year.	

A

ABBEE. See Abby.

ABBOT. ARTHUR, Marblehead, perhaps rem. to Ipswich, join. Winthrop 1634, in the settlem. of that town, was liv. in 1671, and prob. d. bef. 1679. We kn. of issue, only Philip, whose descend. have tradit. that he came from Totness in Co. Devon, where he left good est. of wh. for sev. yrs. after migrat. the income was enjoy. by him. ARTHUR, Ipswich, perhaps s. of the preced. in 1671 made freem. then call. jr. and, in 1674, 35 yrs. old; by w. Elizabeth wh. d. 17 Feb. 1738, aged 90, had Elizabeth b. 6 June 1686, and prob. other ch. of wh. only Moses (f. of Rev. Hull of Charlestown), and Arthur of Ipswich, and Susanna, are nam. with prob. evidence. He d. bef. his w. BENJAMIN, Andover, s. of the first George of the same, m. 22 Apr. 1685, Sarah, eldest d. of Ralph Farnum of the same, had Benjamin, b. 11 July 1686; Jonathan, Sept. 1687; David, 29 Jan. 1689; and Samuel, 19 May 1694; and d. 30 Mar. 1703. DANIEL, Cambridge, came, prob. in the fleet with Winthrop 1630, req. adm. as freem. 19 Oct. of that yr. and was rec. 18 May foll. at the same Ct. was fin. 5 sh. for refus. to watch & c. rem. a. 1639 to Providence, there d. a. 1650. DANIEL, Providence, perhaps s. of the preced. took o. of alleg. 1668 to the k. did not rem. during Philip's war, and may have been the town clk. there 1680. DANIEL, Branford, s. of Robert of the same, had Joseph, and prob. Stephen, and Hannah. EBENEZER, Andover, youngest s. of the first Thomas of the same, m. Elizabeth Tucker, had Sarah, b. 7 June 1717; Elizabeth 6 May 1719; Ebenezer, 6 Sept. 1721;

John, 28 Feb. 1723; Philip, 11 Sept. 1720; Thomas, 28 May 1728, d. young; Sarah, again, 15 July 1730; Thomas, again, 22 Feb. 1733; Samuel, 16 June 1736; and Benjamin, 26 Jan. 1738. His w. d. Apr. 1743, and he m. Mary Ingalls. EDWARD, Taunton, 1643. Baylies, II. 267. GEORGE, Rowley, brot. from Eng. s. George, Nehemiah, and Thomas, and d. 1647. GEORGE, Windsor 1640, fin. for sell. to an Ind. a pistol, and powder; prob. was after at Norwalk among early sett. 1600, had there two ws. of wh. the latter was Joanna, and he outliv. her 8 yrs. but the ch. ment. in his will of 2 May 1689, pro. 11 Mar. foll. were by the former. They were Dorothy, w. of a Root; Priscilla, w. of a Clason; George, b. a. 1669; Daniel, a. 1672, liv. 1709, yet not kn. to have issue; Mary, m. after d. of her f. a Jackson; John; and Jonathan. GEORGE, Andover, 1643, had been some yrs. at Roxbury, m. 12 Dec. 1646, a maiden said, in reasonab. tradit. to have come in the same sh. with him, Hannah (call. Mary on town rec. of R.), d. of William Chandler of R. had John, b. 2 Mar. 1648; Joseph, 11 Mar. 1649, d. next yr. 24 June, the first d. on rec. of A. (where he is call. s. of Henry by mist.); Hannah, 9 June 1650; Joseph, again, 30 Mar. 1652, wh. was k. by the Ind. 8 Apr. 1676, the earliest victim of the war in that town; George, 7 June 1655; William, 18 Nov. 1657; Sarah, 14 Nov. 1652; Benjamin, 20 Dec. 1661; Timothy, 17 Nov. 1663; Thomas, 6 May 1666; Edward, a. young; Nathaniel, 4 July 1671; and Elizabeth 9 Feb. 1673. He d. 24 Dec. 1661; and his wid. m. Rev. Francis Dane, as his third w. outliv. him, and d. 11 June 1711, aged 82. Hannah, m. 20 Dec. 1676, John Chandler; Sarah, m. 11 Oct. 1680, Ephraim Stevens; and Elizabeth m. 24 Nov. 1692, Nathan Stevens. His s. Benjamin was afflict. by Elizabeth Johnson, a witch, as she confess. in 1692; and she implicat. goody Currier in the diabolic. work. Yet the nature or degree of the afflict. is nowhere shown. Currier was execut. on acco. of other charges. The confess. of the nonsense, wh. prob. was the cause of Johnson's impun. is seen in 3

Mass. Hist. Coll. I. 124. Of this first George of Andover, said to have come from Yorksh. descend. are very num. of wh. forty-four with the fam. name, beside forty-nine others thro. fem. Abbots, had been gr. at some coll. in 1844. Seven s. and three ds. m. and resid. at A. while of 73 gr.ch. five sett. at Concord, N. II. four went to Conn. and two liv. at Billerica. Of seven farms, on wh. his s. liv. four were occup. by descend. in our day. GEORGE, Andover, s. of George of Rowley, b. in Eng. m. 26 Apr. 1658, Sarah Farnum, perhaps sis. of Ralph of A. had George, b. 28 Jan. foll. Sarah, 6 Sept. 1660; John, 26 Aug. 1662; Mary, 29 Mar. 1664; Nehemiah, 20 July 1667; Hannah, 20 Sept. 1668; Mehitable, 17 Feb. 1671, d. young; Lydia, 29 Sept. 1675; Samuel, 30 May 1678; and Mehitable, again, 4 Apr. 1680. He d. 22 Mar. 1689; and his wid. m. 1 Aug. foll. Henry Ingalls, outliv. him, and d. 1728, aged 90. Sarah m. 19 Oct. 1682, John Faulkner; Mary m. 13 May 1687, Stephen Barker; Hannah m. 16 Apr. 1695, James Ingalls; and Lydia, m. 28 Nov. 1695, Henry Chandler. GEORGE, Andover, s. of George the first of the same, m. 17 Apr. 1668, Dorcas, eldest d. of Mark Graves of the same, had Sarah, b. 1679, d. soon; Joseph, 7 Oct. 1680, d. young; ano. ch. Nathan, or Martha, 12 Feb. 1683, d. young; Hannah, 26 Feb. 1685; Daniel, 10 Jan. 1688; Elizabeth 25 July 1690; George, 22 Dec. 1632; Henry, 12 June 1696; and Isaac, 4 Apr. 1699. GEORGE, Andover, eldest s. of George the sec. of the same, and gr.s. of George of Rowley, m. 1689, Elizabeth Ballard, had George, b. 17 July 1691; Uriah, 26 Nov. 1692; Jacob, 19 Mar. 1694; Elizabeth 6 Nov. 1695; Sarah; and Hannah. His w. d. May 1706; and he had sec. w. Hannah Easty. GEORGE, Norwalk, s. of George of the same, by w. Hannah, it is said, had George, Samuel, Ebenezer, Benjamin, Israel, Hannah, and Elizabeth Success did not attend the diligent inq. of Hall in find. dates for the ch. or whether the f. had not rem. from N. JOHN, Hadley 1668, rem. early in the next yr. but no more is kn. JOHN, Andover, eldest s. of George the first of

the same, m. 17 Nov. 1673, Sarah, eldest d. of Richard Barker of the same, had John, b. 2 Nov. 1674; Joseph, 29 Dec. 1676; Stephen, 16 Mar. 1678; Sarah, 7 Dec. 1680; Ephraim, 15 Aug. 1682; Joshua, 16 June 1685; Mary, 2 Jan. 1687; Ebenezer, 27 Sept. 1689; and Priscilla, 7 July 1691; was selectman, deac. and d. 19 Mar. 1721. His wid. d. 10 Feb. 1729. JOHN, aged 16, and Mary, 16, came in the Hopewell, Capt. Bundocke, from London, 1635; but whose ch. they were, is unkn. and prob. not of any resid. in our country. JOHN, Saco, adm. an inhab. of that town 12 June 1680, and ens. then chos. town clk. bef. wh. time the planta. had been so much disturb. by Ind. war, that Folsom, in his valu. Hist. 177, says, the rec. are lost. JOHN, Norwalk 1687, wheelwright, s. of George of the same, by w. Ruth, it is said, had John, Esther, and Mary. JOHN, Sudbury, s. of George the sec. of Andover, by w. Jemima had Jemima, b. 10 Oct. 1699; John, 3 Oct. 1701; Sarah, 10 Sept. 1704; Mary; and Hannah; rem. to Watertown, there was a millwright, and d. 24 Mar. 1718. His wid. m. John Beeks. JOHN, Andover, s. of the first Thomas of the same, m. Apr. 1710, Hannah Chubb, perhaps d. of Pascoe of the same, had Hannah; Sarah, b. Mar. 1712, d. young; Mary, 1716, d. young; John, Feb. 1718; Sarah, 16 Aug. 1722; Mary, again, 23 Nov. 1727; and his w. d. 3 June 1733. He m. 1734, sec. w. Hepzibah Frye. JONATHAN, Norwalk, s. of George of the same, m. 5 June 1696, Sarah, d. of John Olmstead, had Jonathan, b. C Apr. 1697; Sarah, 16 June 1699; Eunice, 23 Jan. 1702; Mary, 8 July 1704; Deborah, 3 Dec. 1707; Keziah, 17 Apr. 1711; Lemuel, 21 Mar. 1714; Jane, 5 Oct. 1716; and Mindwell, 21 Dec. 1718. JOSEPH, New Haven 1683, s. prob. of Robert. JOSEPH, Marblehead, s. of the first Thomas of Andover, by w. Sarah had Susanna, bapt. Aut., 1701; Joseph; Sarah; Ann; and Hannah. NATHANIEL, Andover, youngest s. of George the first of the same, m. 22 Oct. 1695, Dorcas Hibbert, prob. d. of Robert of Beverly, had Nathaniel, b. 1696; Mary, 8 Feb. 1698; a s. b. 20 June

1700, d. same day; Joseph, 2 Feb. 1705; Tabitha, a. 1707; Jeremiah, 4 Nov. 1709; Joshua, 1711; Sarah; Hannah; Elizabeth and Rebecca, 1717. His w. d. 7 Feb. 1743, and he d. 1 Dec. 1749. NATHANIEL, perhaps of Ashford, s. of the first Thomas of Andover, m. 1710 Mercy Hutchinson of Ashford, had Nathaniel, b. 1714; and no more is told of him. NEHEMIAH, Ipswich, s. of George of Rowley, was brot. by his f. from Eng. freem. in Mass. 1669, deac. at Topsfield 1686, d. Mar. 1707, leav. only s. Nehemiah. NEHEMIAH, Topsfield, s. of the preced. m. 21 Jan. 1630, Remember, d. of John Fiske of Wenham, had John, b. 9 Apr. 1691; Nehemiah, 19 Oct. 1692; Sarah; Mary; and Mehitable, 17 Oct. 1700. Tho. arrest, on a warrant, with sev. other inno. persons, in Apr. 1692, for witchr. he escap. proced. perhaps as not old eno. for the devil's prey. His w. d. 12 July 1703, and he d. 1736. NEHEMIAH, Andover, s. of George the sec. of the same, m. 1691 Abigail Lovejoy, prob. youngest d. of John the first of the same, had Nehemiah, b. 19 Jan. 1692; Abiel, 10 Aug. 1693; Zebadiah, 6 Apr. 1695; John, 31 Oct. 1697; Abigail, 30 Sept. 1699; Mary, 24 Mar. 1701; and Joseph, wh. alone of the seven d. young. PETER, Fairfield, s. of Robert, k. his w. Elizabeth d. of John Evarts, and attempt. to k. Hannah, his only ch. for wh. 16 Oct. 1667 he was execut. tho. it may hardly be doubt. that he was insane, as he had been sev. yrs. bef. as at Branford, in 1658, whither he went to help his f. and was taken the first day with insan. See New Haven Col. Rec. II. 300. PHILIP, Ipswich, s. of Arthur of the same, by w. Mary had Arthur, b. 3 Feb. 1694; Frances, 18 May 1696; Susanna; and Mary, 26 July 1701. His wid. d. 11 Jan. 1730, but the date of his d. is not seen.. RICHARD, Kittery 1663, was keep. of the prison in New Hampsh. 1684, as in Farmer's Ed. of Belkn. I. 485, appears. ROBERT, Watertown, freem. 3 Sept. 1634,. when Col. Rec. gives the name Abbitt, was of Wethersfield 1640, and New Haven 1642, where John, his s. b. many mos. bef. was bapt. 7 Oct. 1649; Abigail, b. 2 Oct. 1649, and Robert,

brot. from Branford, where the f. liv. bapt. 1 June 1651; Joseph, b. 20 Apr. 1652; Benjamin, 10 Jan. 1654, d. soon; Daniel, 12 Feb. 1655, and Mary, 13 May 1657; beside other ch. bef. 1649, as Peter, bef. ment. Sarah, wh. m. Matthew Rowe; and Deborah. He d. Sept. 1658; and his wid. Mary m. 4 Nov. 1659, John Robins; and Deborah m. 1661, Nathan Andrews. His land was in that part, call. E. Haven; and a. 1649 he rem. to Branford. His est. was distrib. 1660, in small portions to ch. Peter, Deborah, John, Daniel, Abigail, and Mary. His s. Robert had d. 30 Sept. 1658, it is said; but Joseph was alive, and perhaps provid. for otherwise, as by sev. yrs. of liv. with his mo. Matthew Rowe had the part of his w. tho. this fact may not certain. show that his w. was d. An Elizabeth A. wh. m. at Guilford 3 Mar. 1654, Gabriel Harris of New London is, by the author of the Hist. of that city, in her p. 86, thot. to have been ano. of the ds. But the suggest. is embarrass. with obstinate difficult. and contradict. whelm the tradit. in self-destruct. SAMUEL, Sudbury, youngest s. of George the sec. of Andover, gr.s. of George of Rowley, by w. Joyce had Joyce, b. 18 Aug. 1706; Martha, 10 Mar. 1712; Samuel, 21 Aug. 1716; and George. THOMAS, Rowley, presum. to be youngest s. of George of the same, b. in Eng. is said to have m. but d. without issue 7 Sept. 1659. THOMAS, Andover, whose f. is not kn. may have come later from Eng. than others of the name, or been b. here, m. 15 Dec. 1664, Sarah Steward, whose f. is not nam. had Joseph, b. 16 Mar. 1666, d. next yr. Thomas, 1668; Sarah, 8 Jan. 1671; Joseph, again, 16 Aug. 1674; Dorothy, 2 Jan. 1676, d. at 2 yrs.; Nathaniel, 9 Jan. 1678; John, Oct. 1681; Dorothy, again; Mary, 22 July 1686; and Ebenezer, 23 Nov. 1690. He was a capt. and d. 6 May 1695; and his wid. d. Feb. 1716. Sarah m. 26 Nov. 1691, Joseph Chandler; and Dorothy m. 1710, one with so strange a name, as Braviter Gray. THOMAS, Kittery, perhaps s. of Richard of the same, was ens. 1688. THOMAS, Andover, s. of George the first of the same, m. 7 Dec. 1697, Hannah

Gray, prob. not d. of Robert of the same, had Hannah, b. 10 Sept. 1700; Edward, 9 June 1702; Deborah, 1 Dec. 1704; George, 7 Nov. 1706; Zebadiah, 25 Jan. 1709; Benjamin, and Catharine, tw. 31 Mar. 1711 ; Aaron, 8 Aug. 1714; and Isaac, 24 Feb. 1717; and d. 28 Apr. 1728. His wid. d. 1763, aged 89. THOMAS, Andover, s. of Thomas the first of the same, m. Jan. 1707, Elizabeth French, had only Thomas, wh. d. 9 Mar. 1729; and of the f. no more is told. TIMOTHY, Andover, s. of George the first of the same, taken by the Ind. when 12 yrs. old, and held sev. mos. 1676, m. 27 Dec. 1689, Hannah, d. of Mark Graves of A. had Timothy, b. 1 July 1693; Hannah, 19 Oct. 1695; and Dorcas, 6 May 1698. His w. d. 5 Nov. 1726, and he d. 9 Sept. 1730. WALTER, Exeter 1640, a vintner, d. Jan. 1667, leav. w. Sarah, wh. m. Henry Sherburne, and ch. Peter, William, Walter, John, Elizabeth and ano. d. wh. m. a Wills, and gr.ch. Thomas, Joseph, and Sarah Wills. Possib. John of Saco, the ens. 1680, and Thomas of Kittery, the ens. 1680, were s. and gr.s. of this man. WILLIAM, Andover, S. of George the first of A. m. 19 June 1682, Elizabeth d. of Nathaniel Geary of Roxbury, had Elizabeth b. 29 Apr. 1683; William, 17 Mar. 1685; George, 19 Mar. 1687, d. at 2 yrs. Ezra, 7 July 1689; George, again, 22 Dec. 1691, d. soon; Nathan, 10 Dec. 1692; James, 12 Feb. 1695; Paul, 28 Mar. 1697; Philip, 3 Apr. 1699; Hannah, a Apr. 1701; Caleb, 1704; and Zebadiah, 1706. His. w. d. Dec. 1712, and he d. 24 Oct. foll. From the Abbots' Geneal. Reg. pub. 1847, great assist. has been obt. and in it very few errors been detect.

ABBY, ABBEY, or ABBEE, JOHN, Salem 1637, when gr. of ld. was made to him, of Reading later, and freem. 1685, then call. sen. so that perhaps he had s. of the same name, and very prob. is it, that he had others. JOHN, Wenham, an early sett. d. late in life, 1700, leav. wid. Hannah, and ch. Richard, b. 9 Feb. 1683; prob. others. OBADIAH Enfield, 1682, m. Sarah, wid. of Joseph Warriner, to wh. she was

sec. w. had no ch. to be nam. in his will, 1752, the yr. he d. SAMUEL, Wenham, perhaps br. of John of the same, d. 1698. leav. wid. Mary, and ch. Mary, aged 25; Samuel, 23; Thomas, 20; Eleazer, 18; Ebenezer, 16; Mercy, 14; Sarah, 13; Hepzibah, 10; Abigail, 8; John, 7; Benjamin, 6; and Jonathan, 2. His wid. m. Abraham Mitchell. He was of Salem vill. now Danvers, when adm. freem. 1690. Only the youngest ch. was b. at W. and some discrepance. from the pro. rec. as to the ages of most of the ch. is furnish. me (by Mr. Felt) in the rec. of b. as that Ebenezer was b. 31 July 1683 ; Mary, 1 Mar. 1685 ; Sarah, 6 July 1686; Hepzibah, 14 Feb. 1689; Abigail, 19 Nov. 1690; John, 4 June 1692; and Benjamin, 4 June 1694. THOMAS, Enfield, perhaps br. of Obadiah, had Sarah, b. 31 Mar. 1684; Thomas, 1686; Mary, 3 Feb. 1689, wh.d.bef. her f. and John 1692; beside Tabitha. He d. 1728, leav. w. Sarah, and in his will of Dec. 1720, she and the two s. are nam. as also two ds. call. Sarah Geer and Abigail Warner. His s. Thomas had s. Obadiah, and Thomas; and John had John, Thomas, Daniel, and Richard; but prob. no gr.ch. ought here to be ins.

ABEY, MATTHEW, Boston, came in the Abigail, 1635, from London, was a fisherman; by w. Tabitha, d. of Robert Reynolds of B. wh. d. 1661, had Mary, b. 24 May 1648; and Tabitha, 24 Nov. 1652; beside Matthew, nam. in the will of his gr.f. R. He next m. 24 May 1662, Alice Cox, perhaps d. of Moses of Hampton. His s. foll. the same trade, was so poor, that the petty bequests in his will are hardly to be thot. unfairly caricatur. in the humorous poem by Rev. John Seccombe, with the title of Father Abby's will, of wh. in the admirdb. Cyclopedia of Amer. Literature, by Duyckincks, Vol. I. 126, is extract.

ABELL, BENJAMIN, Norwich, 1670. CALEB, Dedham, 1665, may have been s. of the preced. rem. 1668, to Norwich, there m. July 1669 Margaret, prob. d. of John Post

of Saybrook, had a d. b. 1671, d. soon, Samuel, Oct. 1672; Experience, Dec. 1674; Caleb, Apr. 1677; John, Dec. 1678; Theophilus, Nov. 1680; Joanna, Nov. 1683; Abigail, Mar. 1689; and Hannah, Oct. 1692. His w. d. Nov. 1700, and he m. 1701, Mary, wid. of Stephen Loomer of New London, wh. surv. him. He d. 17 Aug. 1731. JOSHUA, Norwich, perhaps br. of the preced. m. 1 Nov. 1677, Experience, d. of Nehemiah Smith of New London, and perhaps had sec. w. d. of John Gager. PRESERVED, Rehoboth, 1668, had Dorothy b. 16 Nov. 1677; Joanna, 11 Jan. 1682; was lieut. of the comp. under Samuel Gallop in the romantic expedit. of Sir William Phips, 1690, against Quebec. ROBERT, Weymouth, came, prob. in the fleet with Winthrop, desir. adm. 19 Oct. 1630, and was made freem. 18 May foll. had Abraham, bur. 14 Nov. 1639; Mary, b. 11 Apr. 1642; rem. next yr. to Rehoboth, there d. Aug. 1663, leav. wid. and four more ch. beside Mary.

ABERNETHY, WILLIAM, Wallingford, m. 1673 or 4, Sarah, d. of William Doolittle, had William, and Samuel, and d. 1718, when his two s. admin. on his est. Early this name was writ. Ebenetha, or Abbenatha, acc. Hinman; but in mod. days the descend. use the spell. here giv.

ABINGTON, WILLIAM, Maine, 1642. Coffin.

ABORNE. See Eborne.

ACRERLY, ACCORLEY, or ACRELY, HENRY, New Haven 1640, Stamford 1641 to 53, Greenwich 1656, d. at S. 17 June 1668, wh. is the date of his will. His wid. Ann, was 75 yrs. old in 1662. Haz. II. 246. ROBERT, Brookhaven, L. I. 1655, adm. freem. of Conn. jurisdic. 1664. See Trumbull, Col. Rec. I. 341,428. SAMUEL, Brookhaven, 1655, perhaps br. of the preced.

ACKLEY, or **ACLY**, JAMES, Haddam, s. of Nicholas, by w. Elizabeth had James, b. 17 July, bapt. 17 Aug. 1707; Nicholas, 17 Dec. 1708, bapt. 6 Mar. foll.; Nathaniel, bapt. 30 Dec. 1711, but the town rec. gives him b. 7 Nov. foll.; Gideon, 14 Apr. bapt. 20 May 1716; Desire, 24 Feb. bapt. 30 Mar. 1718; Elizabeth 16 Jan. bapt. 18 Feb. 1722; and Benajah, b. 10 July 1729. JOHN, Haddam, br. of the preced. m. 23 May 1699, Rebecca, eldest d. of John Spencer, was serg. and d. 25 Aug. 1736, leav. s. John to admin. his est. NICHOLAS, Hartford 1655, rem. as early sett. to Haddam, and d. 29 Apr. 1695, leav. wid. Miriam, and ch. John; Thomas; Nathaniel, wh. d. 27 Feb. 1710, perhaps unm.; Samuel; James; Hannah; Elizabeth; Mary; Sarah, wh. m. William Spencer; and Lydia; but it is believ. all the ch. were by a former w. SAMUEL, Haddam, s. of the preced. by w. Bethia had Samuel, b. 8 Dec. 1703, bapt. with f. and mo. 6 Oct. 1706; Jerusha, 29 Mar. bapt. 4 May 1707; Deborah, 11 July, bapt. 14 Aug. 1709; Lydia, 14 Aug. bapt. 30 Sept. 1711; Simeon, 10 Jan. bapt. 21 Feb. 1714; Stephen, 25 Jul, bapt. 26 Aug. 1716; Elijah, 28 Mar. bapt. 3 May 1719; Isaac, 6, bapt. 8 Oct. 1721; Bezaleel, 4 Feb. bapt. 8 Mar. 1724; and Nathaniel, 14 June, bapt. 17 July 1726. He d. 27 Apr. 1745, and his wid. d. 12 Mar. 1764. THOMAS, Haddam, s. of Nicholas, d. 16 Jan. 1704, leav. wid. Hannah, and ch. Hannah, b. 24 Oct. 1696; Ann, 17 Sept. 1698; Thomas, 28 Jan. 1701; and Job, 14 Mar. 1703.

ACREMAN, or **AKERMAN**, STEPHEN, Newbury, m. 17 Dec. 1684, Sarah, prob. wid. of Amos Stickney.

ACRES, often **ACKERMAN**, HENRY, Newbury, m. 13 Mar. 1674, Hannah, d. of Thomas Silver, had Catharine, b. 17 Mar. 1675; John, 2 Oct. 1678; Mary, 8 Oct. 1680; and John, again, 20 Jan. 1694; perhaps others. JOHN, Boston 1656, liv. at that part call. Muddy riv. now Brookline, m. prob. bef. 1664, Desiretruth, d. of William Thorne of Boston, had

bapt. at Roxbury, where his w. join. the ch. 8 July 1666, Elizabeth and Desiretruth, perhaps not tw. on 15 July 1666, of wh. one, at least, d. soon; Elizabeth 22 Nov. 1668; Deborah, 26 Feb. 1671; John, 10 Aug. 1673; William, 29 June 1679; and Mary, 20 May 1683; but this last was b. after he had rem. to Dunstable, so that she may have been older, when bapt. than the others. At D. he had also Joanna.

ACTON, JOHN, North Yarmouth, a. 1685. Sullivan, 185.

ACY, Or ACIE, JOHN, Rowley 1663-77, was perhaps s. of William. THOMAS, Hadley 1678, rem. soon. WILLIAM, Rowley 1643, after at Boston, where he had Joseph, bapt. at first ch. 28 June 1657, went again to R. there was liv. 1677.

ADAMS, ABRAHAM, Boston, cooper, perhaps s. of Nathaniel of Weymouth, had liv. at Falmouth, where bef. 1667 he m. Sarah, d. of Arthur Macworth, and from his wid. had gift of an isl. in Casco Bay. Willis, I. 75. Later in life he was an innholder, and one of that band of volunteers wh. took, Oct. 1689, a piratic. vessel, in the Vineyard Sound, after some resist. and brot. her into B. His w. was Abigail, d. of Nicholas Wilmot, wh. in his will of 27 Sept. 1684, provides for her sh. of his est. From the will of Adams, made 6, pro. 18 Apr. 1700, we learn, that he had by a former w. i. e. Macworth's d. two ds. Sarah Grant and Jane Snelling, and by w. Abigail, made extrix. had Zechariah, Samuel, Abraham, Mary, Abigail, and Elizabeth ABRAHAM, Newbury, eldest s. of Robert, m. 16 Nov. 1670, Mary, d. of Richard Pettingell of the same, had Mary, b. 16 Jan. 1672; Robert, 12 May 1674; Abraham, 6 May 1676; Isaac, 26 Feb. 1679; Sarah, 13 Apr. 1681; John, 7 Mar. 1684; Matthew, 25 May 1686; Israel, 25 Dec. 1688; Dorothy, 25 Oct. 1691; and Richard, 22 Nov. 1693. His w. d. 19 Sept. 1705; and he d. 12 Dec. 1714. ALEXANDER, Boston, a shipwright, freem.

16 18, ar. co. 1652, m. as is said, Mary Coffin, sis. of Tristram the first, had Mary, b. 19, bapt. 25 Jan. 1646; rem. to Dorchester, there had Susanna, 14 May 1648; John, 26 Feb. 1653; and Samuel, 7 May 1656; beside Elizabeth wh m. William Parkman. ANDREW, Hartford 1643, then employ. as Hinman, 12, tells, in place of sch. master. CHARLES, Dover 1648, liv. in 1669 in that part, call. Oyster riv. was of the gr. jury 1688; had w. Temperance, s. Charles, and perhaps John; and was k. by the Ind. 1694. Evidence is rec. 1712, to prove, that he possess. lds. there "above sixty yrs." CHARLES, Dover, s. of the preced. had Charles, b. 1668; Sarah, 1671. CHRISTOPHER, Braintree, a petition. with many others, in 1645, for a planta. on the land of Pumham. He rem. E. and had fine est. at Kittery, where in his will in Suffk, Reg. X. 105 of 13 June 1686, pro. 21 Sept. 1687, more than eight mos. after his d. by Gov. Andros, he names w. Margaret, and four ch. John, Mark, Ann, and Mary, beside cous. Isaac Goodwright, to wh. are giv. two cows. DANIEL, Simsbury, 1683, m. prob. in 1687, Mary, d. of Samuel Pinney of the same, had Mary, bapt. 16 Jan. 1698; Thankful, 10 Apr. foll. and other ch. perhaps bef. and after, certain. Ephraim, 25 May 1701; beside Daniel, Samuel, Benjamin, Joseph, and Thomas; and d. 1713, aged 61. EDWARD, New Haven 1640, Milford 1646, and Fairfield 1650, by his will of 7 Aug. 1671, gave est. to w. Margaret, ch. Samuel; Abraham; Mary Merwin, wh. was b. a. 1647; Nathaniel; John; and Nathan. John and Nathaniel d. without issue. EDWARD, Windsor, m. 25 May 1660, Elizabeth Buckland, d. perhaps, of Thomas, had, says Stiles, Edward, wh. d. bef. his f. and Mary, 6 Aug. 1671, wh. m. John Matson, as Porter tells me. EDWARD, Medfield, s. of Henry the first. b. in Eng. freem. 1654, by w. Lydia had Lydia, b. 12 July 1653; Jonathan, 4 Apr. 1655; John, 18 Feb. 1657; Eliashib, 18 Feb. 1659; Sarah, 23 May 1660; James, Jan. 1662; Henry, 29 Oct. 1663; Mehitable, 20 Mar. 1665; Elisha, 25 Aug. 1666 d. next mo.; Edward, 28 June 1668;

Bethia, 12 Apr. 1671, d. in few ds.; Bethia, again, 18 Aug. 1672, d. in few ds.; Abigail, 25 Jan. 1675; and Miriam 26 Feb. 1676; both d. soon. He was much employ. in public duties, ens. selectman for many yrs. rep. in the two first Gen. Cts. 1689, after overthrow of Andros, and d. 12 Nov. 1716. His w. had d. 3 Mar. 1676; but he had sec. w. whose name is not seen. His will of 19 May 1715, pro. 3 Dec. 1716, in our reg. XIX. 225, taking notice that his w. was provid. for bef. their m. and that his s. Jonathan, and John were, formerly, supplied by him with lds. and Edward with movab. and money, directs now, that his prop. be div. in nine equal parts, whereof the childr. of his s. Eliashib dec. to have two, James, and Henry, ea. two, and his d3. Lydia Daniel, Sarah Turner, and Mehitable Faxon, ea. one. EDWARD, Windsor, m. 25 May 1660, Elizabeth d. of Thomas Buckland, and d. 15 Aug., 1683, leav. only ch. Mary, b. 28 Aug. 1671. ELEAZER, Medfield, eldest s. of the first Henry of the name, by w. Elizabeth had Elizabeth b. 1672; and Eleazer, 1673. ELIASHIB, Bristol, s. of Edward of Medfield, was Unm. Feb. 1689. FERDINANDO, Dedham, 1637, a shoemaker from London, freem. 13 May 1640, by w. Ann had Abigail, b. 15 Sept. 1638; Bethia, 10 June 1640; and Nathaniel, 16 Mar. 1643. In Aug. 1641 he had leave to go home on business; but if he went, he came back next yr. Worthington, 103. Again he went home, and, in Oct. 1651, by his atty. sold est. at D. GEORGE, Branford, m. 5 Sept. 1657, Mary wid. of Lesley Bradfield, I presume, as sec. w. and d. prob. 1675. His will of 1670 names w. and s. John only, but this s. was by former w. it may be suppos. for he made his will 10 Oct. 1677, and d. that yr. giv. his prop. to Noah Rogers and others, hav. no near relat. GEORGE, Watertown, by w. Frances had John, b. 6 Aug. 1645; George, 1647; Daniel; Joseph, 6 Mar. 1657; and Mary; rem. to Cambridge farms, now Lexington, and d. 10 Oct. 1696. GEORGE, Cambridge, that part now Lexington, s. of the preced. m. 20 Jan. 1684, Martha, d. of John Fiske of

Watertown, had George, b. 28 Apr. 1685; Martha, 10 Jan. 1687; John, 2 Sept. 1688; of wh. three the Watertown ch. rec. at various times, show the bapt. Nathaniel; Sarah; both bapt. 12 June 1698 at W.; Benjamin, b. 20 Dec. 1701; and Bond thinks there may have, also, been Abigail, and Ann. HENRY, Braintree, came early to our country, and tradit. says from Braintree, in Co. Essex in 1632, but widely diverse is the origin by ano. tradit. had gr. in Feb. 1641, of 40 acres by vote of Boston, of wh. Braintree was part, i. e. the portion for ten heads. Perhaps he was the first clk. of the town, after separat. from Boston, tho. more likely is it that his s. of the same name had the honor; and he d. or was bur. 8 Oct. 1646, leav. by tradit. eight s. yet only five are nam. in his will, 1646, pro. 8 June 1647, where appear Peter, John, Joseph, Edward, Samuel, and d. Ursula. Of this d. as tradit. took no notice, it may be that the number eight applied to s. means in truth ch. seven s. and one d. but names eno. for the s. may be seen, and certainly one s. good, if not two beyond the deviees in the will, as Henry, Thomas, Jonathan, in some reports call. William. The inscript. on the monu. erect. by his descend, John Adams, sec. Presid. of the U. S. exhibits the popular story with characterist. strength: ¶In mem. of Henry Adams wh. took his flight from the Dragon persecution, in Devonsh. Eng. and alighted with eight s, near Mt. Wollaston. One of the s. ret. to Eng. and, after tak. some time to explore the country, four rem. to Medfield, and the neighb. towns, two to Chelmsford. One only, Joseph, wh. lies here at his left hand, remain, here; wh. was an orig, prop. in the townsh. of Braintree incorpo. 1639." HENRY, Braintree, s. of the preced. b. in Eng. a. 1604 as is thot, may, rather than his f. be regard. as the town clk. of 1640, m. 17 Nov. 1643, Elizabeth d. of Moses Paine, had Eleazer, b. 5 Aug. 1644; Jasper, 23 June 1647; rem. to that part of Dedham, wh. bec. Medfield, of wh. also he was first town clk. there had Elizabeth 11 Nov. 1649; John, and Henry, tw. 14 July 1652;