

MURRAY GRAY

GEODIVERSITY

Valuing and Conserving Abiotic Nature

Second Edition



WILEY Blackwell

Table of Contents

[Title Page](#)

[Copyright](#)

[Preface to Second Edition](#)

[Preface to First Edition](#)

[Part I: What is Geodiversity?](#)

[Chapter 1: Defining Geodiversity](#)

[1.1 A diverse world](#)

[1.2 Biodiversity](#)

[1.3 Geodiversity](#)

[1.4 Aims and structure of the book](#)

[Chapter 2: Geodiversity: the Global Scale](#)

[2.1 Origin the Earth](#)

[2.2 Early history of the Earth](#)

[2.3 Plate tectonics](#)

[2.4 Landscapes of plate interiors](#)

[2.5 Evolution of biodiversity and geodiversity](#)

[2.6 Conclusions](#)

[Chapter 3: Geodiversity: the Local Scale](#)

[3.1 Earth materials](#)

[3.2 Processes and landforms](#)

[3.3 Conclusions](#)

[Part II: Values and Threats](#)

[Chapter 4: Valuing Geodiversity in an 'Ecosystem Services' Context](#)

[4.1 Introduction](#)

[4.2 Intrinsic or existence value](#)

[4.3 Regulating services](#)

[4.4 Supporting services](#)

[4.5 Provisioning services](#)

[4.6 Cultural services](#)

[4.7 Knowledge services](#)

[4.8 Geodiversity and the 'ecosystem services' approach](#)

[4.9 Conclusions](#)

[Chapter 5: Threats to Geodiversity](#)

[5.1 The Nature of the threats](#)

[5.2 Mineral extraction](#)

[5.3 Landfill and quarry restoration](#)

[5.4 Land development and urban expansion](#)

[5.5 Coastal management and engineering](#)

[5.6 River management, hydrology and engineering](#)

[5.7 Forestry, vegetation growth and removal](#)

[5.8 Agriculture](#)

[5.9 Other land management changes](#)

- [5.10 Recreation/tourism pressures](#)
- [5.11 Removal of geological specimens](#)
- [5.12 Climate and sea-level change](#)
- [5.13 Fire](#)
- [5.14 Military activity](#)
- [5.15 Lack of information/education](#)
- [5.16 Cumulative impacts and sensitivity to change](#)
- [5.17 Conclusions](#)

[Part III: Geoconservation: the 'Protected Area' Approach](#)

[Chapter 6: International Geoconservation: an Introduction](#)

- [6.1 Beginnings of the conservation movement in North America](#)
- [6.2 Early British experience](#)
- [6.3 The 'Protected Area' and legislative approaches](#)
- [6.4 The UN](#)
- [6.5 The IUCN](#)
- [6.6 Geosites](#)
- [6.7 Geomorphosites](#)
- [6.8 GSSPs](#)
- [6.9 PaleoParks](#)
- [6.10 The European dimension](#)
- [6.11 Other International agreements](#)
- [6.12 Conclusions](#)

Chapter 7: World Heritage Sites

7.1 The World Heritage Convention

7.2 Nomination and inscription of sites

7.3 Criteria for selection

7.4 Endangered sites

7.5 Towards a 'representative, balanced and credible' list

7.6 Validity of inscription criteria

7.7 Case studies

7.8 Conclusions

Chapter 8: Global Geoparks

8.1 History

8.2 Principles

8.3 The European Geopark Network (EGN)

8.4 Other 'geoparks'

8.5 Geoparks and geodiversity

8.6 Other geopark case studies

8.7 Conclusions

Chapter 9: National Geoconservation

9.1 Introduction

9.2 United States

9.3 Canada

9.4 United Kingdom

9.5 Republic of Ireland

9.6 The rest of Europe

9.7 Australia

9.8 New Zealand

[9.9 The rest of the world](#)

[9.10 Conclusions on protected area
geoconservation](#)

[Part IV: Geoconservation: the 'Wider Landscape' Approach](#)

[Chapter 10: Geoconservation in the 'Wider Landscape'](#)

[10.1 The need for a 'wider landscape' approach](#)

[10.2 The physical landscape layer](#)

[10.3 Geoconservation initiatives in 'the wider
landscape'](#)

[10.4 Georestoration](#)

[10.5 Landform design](#)

[10.6 Conclusions](#)

[Chapter 11: Geoconservation and Land- use Planning](#)

[11.1 Land-use planning systems](#)

[11.2 Environmental Impact Assessment](#)

[11.3 Conclusions](#)

[Chapter 12: Geoconservation and Policy](#)

[12.1 Sustainable mining and mineral policies](#)

[12.2 Agricultural Policy](#)

[12.3 Soil Policy](#)

[12.4 Geoconservation and climate change](#)

[12.5 Geodiversity audits and action plans](#)

[12.6 Strategies, codes and charters](#)

[12.7 Conclusions](#)

[Part V: Putting It All Together](#)

[Chapter 13: Geodiversity and Geoconservation: an Overview](#)

[13.1 Geodiversity as a basis for geoconservation](#)

[13.2 Geoconservation Management Aims and Methods](#)

[13.3 'Point' and 'diffuse' threats and their management](#)

[13.4 Conclusions](#)

[Chapter 14: Comparing and integrating geodiversity and biodiversity](#)

[14.1 Criticisms of 'geodiversity'](#)

[14.2 Measuring geodiversity](#)

[14.3 Integrating geodiversity and biodiversity](#)

[14.4 Integrated land management](#)

[14.5 Potential geodiversity/biodiversity conflicts](#)

[14.6 Conclusions](#)

[Chapter 15: A Future for Geodiversity?](#)

[15.1 Valuing and conserving geodiversity](#)

[15.2 Predictions reassessed](#)

[15.3 The benefits of geodiversity](#)

[15.4 The future](#)

[References](#)

[Index](#)

Geodiversity

Valuing and Conserving Abiotic Nature

Second Edition

Murray Gray

School of Geography, Queen Mary, University of London, UK

WILEY Blackwell

V V A L L A B I O R V O N

This edition first published 2013 © 2013 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

Registered office: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial offices: 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell.

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author(s) have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of

this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Gray, J. M.

Geodiversity : valuing and conserving abiotic nature / Murray Gray.—2e.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-470-74214-3 (cloth)—ISBN 978-0-470-74215-0 (pbk.) 1. Geodiversity. 2. Biodiversity. 3. Conservation of natural resources. I. Title.

QE38.G73 2014

551- dc23

2013014153

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Cover image: Diversity of colour in the sedimentary strata at Paria, Utah, USA.

Cover design by Jeffrey Goh

Preface to Second Edition

It is almost 10 years since I completed the first edition of this book. This second edition has been extensively revised given the progress that has been made in the subjects of geodiversity and geoconservation over this time. For example, I have created separate chapters for 'World Heritage Sites' and 'Global Geoparks', given the increased interest and success of these site networks. Several of the other chapters have been split to make the subject matter more digestible. Chapter 4 on *Valuing Geodiversity* has been restructured to reflect the 'ecosystem services' approach. Chapter 6 has a new section on the need for conservation of the Global Stratotype Section & Points (GSSP) network. Most of the other chapters have been updated, and I have given greater attention to topical issues such as climate change and marine conservation. And overall, I have tried to make a clearer case for geodiversity being a fundamental basis for geoconservation, geotourism, geoparks, etc.

Since writing the first edition, I have been invited to give presentations in many countries including the Netherlands (twice), Norway, USA (twice), Canada, Portugal, Romania, Poland, Austria, Malaysia, Hong Kong and throughout the UK. I have also attended further conferences in Norway and Portugal as well as in Italy, Croatia, France and Greece. This has given me the opportunity to broaden my experience of geoconservation in these and other countries and this is reflected in new text or illustrations in almost every chapter. In particular, Chapter 13 is a new summary that draws upon this experience of geodiversity and geoconservation methods. I am very grateful for these invitations and opportunities and for the kind comments that colleagues have made about the first edition. I have developed my own

ideas on geodiversity significantly over the last few years and incorporated these in this new edition. Inevitably these additions have had to be accompanied by some pruning of material, particularly in reducing the section on geoconservation of geomaterials.

I am very grateful to the many scientists with whom I have had discussions on issues in the book over many years. I am also grateful to Fiona Seymour and Lucy Sayer at Wiley Blackwell for their patience and encouragement when the preparation of this edition overran significantly. Jasmine Chang (Production Editor, Wiley, Singapore) and Sangeetha Parthasarathy (Laserwords, Pvt Ltd, India) skillfully managed the production of the book, assisted by Mitch Fitton (copyeditor) and Gill Whitley (permissions). Ed Oliver, Cartographer in the School of Geography at Queen Mary, University of London kindly drew all the diagrams, and I am grateful to the many publishers and individuals who gave permission to reproduce figures and photographs in this book. As usual I thank Pauline for putting up with other things not being done while I completed this edition. Given my advancing years, I can assure readers that there will not be a third edition. So this is about my final contribution to our subject. I hope you find it interesting and useful and that younger generations can continue to advance a subject that deserves to be much better known and understood.

Murray Gray

Norfolk, January 2013

Preface to First Edition

I began writing this book on 11 September 2001 and completed it as the Iraq War drew to an end in April 2003. The nineteen months spent writing the book were dominated by the 'war on terror' and during that time much was written about globalisation, religious conflicts and cultural diversity. While Fukuyama (2001) sees these events as only blips in the trend towards modernity, Sacks (2002) believes that we should not just be tolerant of difference but should celebrate it. 'Only when we realise the danger of wishing that everyone should be the same—the same faith on the one hand, the same McWorld on the other—will we prevent the clash of civilizations. ...' (Sacks, 2002, p. 209).

This book is about the value of difference, diversity and distinctiveness in the natural world. A few weeks after 9/11, when Osama bin Laden appeared on television to denounce the aerial bombing of Afghanistan, I predicted that specialists in that country's geology would be able to identify his whereabouts from the bedrock lithology and colour shown in the background. And sure enough, it was not long (*The Times*, 19 October 2001) before newspapers were reporting that Dr John Ford Shroder of the University of Nebraska had identified the rocks as being from the Pliocene Shaigalu or Eocene Siahhan Shale Formations of the Katawaz Basin in south-east Afghanistan. This allowed search activities to be focused in these areas. This is a very unusual, but perfectly clear example of one of the applications of the principles of geological diversity. If Afghanistan had been composed of a single rock type, bin Laden's general whereabouts would have been much more difficult to locate.

On the first anniversary of 9/11, I was attending a conference on the geological foundations of landscape in Dublin Castle, Ireland. And as I stood for the minute's silence I was reminded too of Ireland's tragic past and present, but also of the way in which geology and landscape transcend administrative boundaries and can bring people together to value their heritage, conserve its integrity and overcome political barriers and national bureaucracies. In this case, the Royal Irish Academy and Geological Surveys of both the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland worked together to organise the conference and field trips.

The mountains and tectonics of Afghanistan, the deserts and rivers of Iraq and the bogs and coastline of Ireland, illustrate landscape geodiversity very clearly, but there is also diversity in their economic geology resources. The oil wealth of Iraq is well known and is attributed by some as the underlining reason for the war. But Afghanistan also has a huge geological wealth, as yet largely unexploited. This includes an estimated 300 million barrels of oil, about 100 million tonnes of coal and large reserves of copper, gold, iron, chromite and industrial minerals (Stephenson and Penn, 2003). We also know about the Afghan gemstones—the lapis lazuli, ruby and spinel of Badkshshan, the emeralds in the Panjshir valley, the rubies and sapphires of Jegdalek and Gandamak and the pegmatites of Nuriston (Bowersox and Chamberlin, 1995). I hope that the people of Afghanistan will be able to realise the future projected for them by Bowersox and Chamberlin (1995, p. xv) when they said that 'At present, the Afghan gemstone wealth is undetermined, mostly undiscovered, and certainly unexploited. We believe the potential of the country is so great as to promise an acceptable standard of living for every man, woman and child. ...'

This book is aimed at several types of reader. First, I hope it will be of interest to those closely involved in

geoconservation whether in universities, nature conservation agencies, geological surveys or other organisations around the world. The book is intended to highlight best practice and I hope there are ideas in it that specialists can use. I apologise if I have omitted brilliant initiatives in geoconservation in one country or another and hope that I will be told about these (j.m.gray@qmul.ac.uk) for a possible second edition. Secondly, the book is intended to stimulate discussion and thought on geoconservation by those whose primary concern has been wildlife conservation. It is therefore at least partly written with biologists and other non-geologists in mind. Thirdly, I hope it will stimulate further university courses in geodiversity and geoconservation and will become an established university textbook for second and third year undergraduates or postgraduate courses.

The book would not have been written without my three decades of experience working in the geosciences and public life, during which time I have benefited from the ideas of countless people. I was born and educated in Edinburgh and must thank my late parents for the educational opportunities they gave me. At the University of Edinburgh I studied both geography and geology and gained greatly from that education. There can be few better places to study the geosciences and conservation than Edinburgh and Scotland given their diverse geology and geomorphology, their association with founders of the subject like James Hutton, John Playfair and Charles Lyell, and the innovative conservation efforts that have been nurtured there from the famous nineteenth century American conservationist, John Muir, who was born in Dunbar, only 30 km east of Edinburgh, to the modern-day geoconservation work of Scottish Natural Heritage.

In public life, I have been a member of South Norfolk District Council and Chairman of its Planning Committee for

many years and have served on regional planning panels and Environment Agency committees for the East of England. I am grateful to these organisations for the invaluable opportunities they have given me to understand how geoconservation can be promoted through local and regional government.

The book was largely written during sabbatical leave from my teaching duties at Queen Mary, University of London in 2001–2002 and I wish to thank the College authorities and my Head of Department, Professor Roger Lee, for their generous support and encouragement for this project. My geography colleagues not only covered my teaching, but also made many valuable suggestions for improving the book. Ed Oliver, cartographer in the Geography Department at Queen Mary, skilfully drew all the diagrams for this book to an unfairly short timescale.

During the sabbatical year I benefited from discussions with many people including John Gordon (Scottish Natural Heritage), Stewart Campbell (Countryside Council for Wales), Colin Prosser (English Nature), and by e-mail with Kevin Kiernan, Mike Pemberton and Chris Sharples in Tasmania, Archie Landals and David Welch in Canada and Vince Santucci in the United States. I spent May 2002 in the Canadian and American Rockies learning about geoconservation in those two countries and benefited from discussions with Dave Dalman (Banff National Park), Archie Landals (Alberta government), Dan Fagre (USGS, Glacier National Park), Arvid Aase (Fossil Butte National Monument), Hank Heasler and Lee Whittlesey (Yellowstone National Park), Bill Dolan (Waterton Lakes National Park) and numerous others. Other people, too numerous to mention have helped by providing detailed information or supplying diagrams or photographs and I am grateful to all of them.

The following people kindly read and commented on drafts of all or part of the book—Matthew Bennett, Cynthia Burek,

Lars Erikstad, John Gordon, Archie Landals, Andrew McMillan, Mike Pemberton, Colin Prosser, Vince Santucci and Chris Sharples—and I am extremely grateful to all of them for their encouragement and valuable suggestions. This is a better book as a result of their comments. Cynthia Burek kindly allowed me to participate in the workshops aimed at creating a Local Geodiversity Action Plan (LGAP) in Cheshire.

I am grateful to many copyright holders for permission to reproduce figures. The sources are acknowledged in the figure captions, and where I received no reply I have assumed that there is no objection to inclusion of the material in this book.

I must thank John Wiley & Sons for their faith in this project and particularly to Sally Wilkinson and Keily Larkins for their efficient handling of the book material. Finally, my thanks to Pauline for putting up with my obsession with the geosciences in general and this book in particular over a long period of time.

Murray Gray
Norfolk, April 2003

Part I

What is Geodiversity?

Chapter 1

Defining Geodiversity

If the Lord Almighty had consulted me before embarking upon creation, I should have recommended something simpler.

Alfonso X, King of Castile and Leon (1252–1284), quoted in Mackay (1991)

1.1 A diverse world

Let us begin by imagining the very simplest of planets (see [Figure 1.1](#)). A planet composed of a single monomineralic rock such as a pure quartzite. A planet that is a perfect sphere with no topography and where there is no such thing as plate tectonics. Although it has weather, this is very similar everywhere with solid cloud cover, light rain and no winds, so that there is little variation in surface processes or weathering. Consequently the soil is also very uniform. The absence of gradients and surface processes means that there is little erosion, transportation or deposition of sediments. This planet has seen few changes in its 4.6 thousand million year history and there is, in any case, no sedimentary record of these changes. To say the least, this is not a diverse or dynamic planet.

Figure 1.1 'Knowledge', the sculpture by Wendy Taylor in Library Square at Queen Mary, University of London, United Kingdom. The steel ball at the centre represents the Earth. Fortunately the real Earth is not like this (see text).



It has to be admitted that our imagined planet has certain attractions. In fact, Alfonso X was a forerunner of many Medieval and Renaissance writers who deplored the rough and disorderly shape of the Earth and 'infestation by mountains which prevented it from being the perfect sphere that God must surely have intended to create' (Midgley, 2001, p.7). Furthermore, there are no natural hazards such as earthquakes or avalanches to cause death and destruction. Civil engineering is very simple given the

predictability of the ground conditions. Walking is easy with no gradients to negotiate or rivers to cross. But think of the disadvantages. In a planet made entirely of quartz there are no metals and therefore no metallic products. And in any case, since there is no coal, oil or natural gas, and no geothermal, wave, tidal or wind power, the energy to produce any goods or electricity is lacking. Everywhere looks the same so getting lost is easy and there is no sense of place. Employment and entertainment are limited, given the absence of materials and lack of environmental diversity. The quartzite is too hard and massive to quarry in the absence of mechanical equipment or explosives, so the buildings are primitive, being constructed from soil and the simple vegetation types that exist on our planet. For in the absence of physical diversity and habitat variation, little biological evolution of advanced plants and animals has been able to take place. This means that we humans would probably not exist on this planet, but if we did we would certainly find this to be a very primitive and boring place.

Thankfully our world is not like this. It is highly diverse in almost all senses—physical, biological and cultural—and although this produces problems for society and even conflicts and war, would we really want a less diverse and interesting home? The diversity of the physical world is huge and humans have put this diversity to good use even if we often fail to fully appreciate this fact. Diversity also brings with it flexibility of technologies and a greater ability to adapt to change.

Although our medieval ancestors hated the physical chaos of the Earth, our modern aesthetic appreciation of planetary diversity is probably deeply buried in our evolutionary psyche so that we often value it more than uniformity. The broad diversity of places, materials, living things, experiences and peoples not only makes the world a more useful and interesting place, but probably also stimulates

creativity and progress in a wide range of ways. Diversity therefore brings a range of values, and it is the thesis of this book that things of value ought to be conserved if they are threatened. And, as we shall see, there are many threats to planetary diversity induced by human actions both directly and indirectly.

The term 'conservation' is used in preference to 'preservation' in this book since the latter implies protection of the *status quo*, whereas nature conservation must allow natural processes to operate and natural change to occur. Unfortunately, human action has often accelerated or tried to stop natural processes, and has thus destroyed much that is valuable in the natural environment. While change through human action is inevitable, we should at least understand the consequences of our actions and hopefully minimise the impacts and losses. Conservation is therefore about the management of change.

In the Preface to the first edition of this book I referred to a growing respect for diversity and a realisation that there is value in difference. Since then, the 'diversity' agenda has taken hold and there has been a blossoming appreciation for the value of local environmental, social and cultural distinctiveness and diversity. This book represents an undervalued aspect of this trend and aims to raise the profile of 'geodiversity'.

1.2 Biodiversity

Nowhere has the trend towards the value of diversity been more evident than in the field of biology. In recent decades the growing concern about species decline and extinction, loss of habitats and landscape change led to a realisation of the multi-functioning nature of the biosphere. For example it acts as a source of fibre, food and medicines, it sustains concentrations of atmospheric gases, it buffers

environmental change and it contains millions of species of plants and animals, most of which have unknown value and ecosystem function and deserve respect in their own right. Yet of the 1.5–1.8 million known species, it is estimated that up to a third could be extinct in the next 30 years (Grant, 1995).

Concern for species and habitat loss led to some important international environmental agreements and legislation including the Ramsar Convention on wetland conservation (1971), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) (1973) and the Bonn Convention on Conservation of Migratory Species (1979). More recently the European Union has played an active role in biological conservation, for example through the Habitats Directive and Birds Directive.

An International Convention on Biological Diversity was first proposed in 1974 and during the 1980s the phrase ‘biological diversity’ started to be shortened to biodiversity. An important meeting of the US National Forum on Biodiversity took place in Washington DC in 1986 under the auspices of the American National Academy of Sciences and Smithsonian Institution. The conference papers (Wilson, 1988) mark an important milestone in the history of nature conservation and caused the issue to be taken seriously by politicians both inside and beyond America.

International recognition of the need for biosphere conservation led to the UN Convention on Biodiversity agreed at the Rio Earth summit in 1992, ratified in 1994 and signed by over 160 countries. The agreement was far reaching and the main features are listed in [Table 1.1](#). Since then great attention has been given at international, national, regional and local levels to protecting and enhancing the biological diversity of the planet. These are usually classified into genetic diversity (conserving the gene pool), species diversity (reducing species loss) and

ecosystem diversity (maintaining and enhancing habitats and their biological systems). And biodiversity is not just about numbers of species or ecosystems but about the countless interconnections between them. A wealth of strategies and action plans are being implemented to carry forward the aims of the UN Convention. Every signatory country must prepare a national plan for conserving and sustaining biodiversity, has a responsibility for safeguarding key ecosystems and is responsible for monitoring genetic stock. International designations include Ramsar sites (under the Ramsar Convention) Special Protection Areas (under the European Union Birds Directive) and Special Areas for Conservation (under the European Union Habitats Directive). The International Union for Nature Conservation (IUCN) has helped over 75 countries to prepare and implement national conservation and biodiversity strategies. Jerie, Houshold and Peters (2001, p. 329) have referred to this as 'the torrent of effort being put into the management of biodiversity'. Even some ecologists have spoken of the obsession with loss of species and habitats rather than focusing on the more important issue of functional significance of species in a variety of ecosystems (Dolman, 2000).

Table 1.1 Main features of the Convention on Biological Diversity (after Mather and Chapman, 1995).

- Development of national plans, strategies or programmes for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity.
- Inventory and monitoring of biodiversity and of the processes that impact on it.
- Development and strengthening of the current mechanism for conservation of biodiversity both within and outside protected areas, and the development of new mechanisms.
- Restoration of degraded ecosystems and endangered species.
- Preservation and maintenance of indigenous and local systems of biological resource management and equitable sharing of benefits with local communities.
- Assessment of impacts on biodiversity of proposed projects, programmes and policies.

- Recognition of the sovereign right of states over their natural resources.
- Sharing in a fair and equitable way the results of research and development and the benefits arising from commercial and other utilisation of genetic resources.
- Regulation of the release of genetically modified organisms.

In the UK, *Biodiversity: the UK Action Plan* (HMSO, 1994), *Working with the Grain of Nature*, a biodiversity strategy for England (DEFRA, 2002a), *Conserving Biodiversity—the UK approach* (DEFRA, 2007) and *Biodiversity 2020* (DEFRA, 2011a) have been supplemented by many regional and local initiatives including Local Biodiversity Action Plans (LBAPs), Species Recovery Programmes (SRPs) and Habitat Action Plans (HAPs). These are being implemented by the national conservation bodies (Natural England, Scottish Natural Heritage, Natural Resources Wales, Northern Ireland Environment Agency) in collaboration with local authorities and a wide range of wildlife and conservation organisations (e.g. County Wildlife Trusts, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, Campaign to Protect Rural England). By 2009 there were 1150 priority species and 65 priority habitats in the UK. In addition, Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) requires all public bodies in England and Wales to have regard to biodiversity when carrying out their functions, and is now referred to as the ‘biodiversity duty’.

About 100 books have appeared with ‘biodiversity’ in the title (from Wilson, 1988 to Waterton, Ellis and Wynne, 2012). Wilson (1997, p. 1) refers to ‘biodiversity’ as ‘one of the most commonly used expressions in the biological sciences and has become a household word’. ‘Biodiversity science’ and ‘biodiversity studies’ have been born and the origin and maintenance of biodiversity ‘pose some of the most fundamental problems of the biological sciences’ (Wilson, 1997, p. 2). The Rio+20 conference in 2012 confirmed the importance of biodiversity and the threats to it.

1.3 Geodiversity

Geological and geomorphological conservation (geoconservation) have a long history. In 1668 the Baumannshöle cave in Germany was the subject of a nature conservation decree by Duke Rudolf August (Erikstad, 2008). In the first 20 years of the nineteenth century the quarrying of stone from Salisbury Crags in Edinburgh, Scotland, was having such a serious impact on the city landscape that legal action was taken in 1819 to prevent further deterioration (McMillan, Gillanders and Fairhurst, 1999; Thomas and Warren, 2008). An erratic boulder in Neuchâtel, Switzerland was protected in 1838 (Reynard, 2012). The first geological nature reserve in the world was established at Drachenfels/Siebengebirge in Germany in 1836 and other German hills were protected at Totenstein (1844) and Teufelsmauer (1852). Yosemite was protected by the State of California, United States, in 1864 and Yellowstone was established as the world's first National Park in 1872 largely for its scenic beauty and geological wonders (see Box 6.1). Also in the 1870s, Fritz Muhlberg campaigned to protect giant erratic boulders in Switzerland that were being exploited as kerbstones (Jackli, 1979), and in Scotland the 'Boulder Committee' was established, under the direction of David Milne Home, to identify all remarkable erratics and to recommend measures for their conservation (Milne Home, 1872a, 1872b; Gordon, 1994). Some of the first specific geological sites to be protected were also in Scotland where City Councils acted to enclose Agassiz Rock striations in Edinburgh (1880) and the Fossil Grove Carboniferous lycopod stumps in Glasgow (1887). Other initiatives have followed and many countries now have areas and sites protected at least partially for their geological or landscape interest. But despite many international conferences and books in the past 20 years

(e.g. G. Martini, 1994; O'Halloran *et al.*, 1994; Stevens *et al.*, 1994; Wilson, 1994; Barrentino, Vallejo and Gallego, 1999; Gordon and Leys, 2001a; Gray, 2004; Burek and Prosser, 2008; Brocx, 2008; Wimbledon and Smith-Meyer, 2012), in most countries geoconservation is weakly developed and lags severely behind biological conservation.

Geologists and geomorphologists started to use the term 'geodiversity' in the 1990s to describe the variety within abiotic nature. The major attention being given to biodiversity and wildlife conservation was simply reinforcing the longstanding imbalance within nature conservation policy and practice between the biotic and abiotic elements of nature. Although geological and geomorphological conservation had been practised for over 100 years, these were usually the 'Cinderella' of nature conservation (Gray, 1997a). Many international nature conservation organisations, although using the general term 'nature conservation' appeared to see this as synonymous with 'wildlife conservation' and focused most or all of their attention on the latter. Milton (2002, p. 115) summarised the situation well in stating that 'Diversity in nature is usually taken to mean diversity of living nature ...'. Pemberton (2001a) believed that nature conservation agencies and governments across Australia, and overseas, 'tend to emphasize the need for the conservation of biodiversity whilst virtually ignoring the geological foundation on which this is built and has evolved'. He attributed this to the lack of training of earth scientists in geoconservation theory, policy and practice. He made the interesting observation that 'The majority of earth scientists are trained and employed in the extractive industries. To be involved in conservation could be seen to be contrary to the goals of the profession. ...' and he compares this with the biological sciences where conservation is a major graduate employer. 'This has generally meant that geoconservation

has remained something of an oddity, divorced from mainstream nature conservation, and so it has generally had low priority within land management agencies' (Pemberton, 2001a). Although geoconservation has not yet been accorded great prominence in Australian nature conservation, Kiernan (1996, p.6) believed that 'few professional land managers would, having been made aware that a particular landform was, say, the only example in Australia of its type, seriously argue against the validity of safeguarding it, just as they would wish to safeguard the continued existence of a biological species.'

A similar situation has existed in the United Kingdom, where although geoconservation policy and practice have long been actively pursued and developed by several groups and organisations, this has not always been recognised by the wider nature conservation community or public. Therefore, some geologists and geomorphologists saw 'geodiversity' not only as a very useful new way of thinking about the abiotic world, but also as a means of promoting geoconservation and putting it on a par with wildlife conservation (Prosser, 2002a). An example of using 'nature' and 'wildlife' synonymously came in Sir John Lawton's report (2010) *Making Space for Nature* which was subtitled *A review of England's wildlife sites and ecological network*. Sadly and amazingly, it was followed by a government White Paper on the natural environment *The Natural Choice: Securing the Value of Nature* (DEFRA, 2011b), that manages, in its 76 pages, to discuss nature in England and Wales without once referring to geology, geomorphology or geodiversity!

In the United States, most nature conservation effort has been directed through the national parks system, and although several units of the system have been established for their geological or geomorphological interest (see Section 9.2.1), this is not always recognised. For example,