

# Data Analysis in Vegetation Ecology

Otto Wildi

WILEY-BLACKWELL

# Contents

<u>Preface</u>

**List of Figures** 

List of Tables

**<u>1 Introduction</u>** 

## **<u>2 Patterns in Vegetation Ecology</u>**

2.1 Pattern recognition 2.2 Interpretation of patterns 2.3 Sampling for pattern recognition

**<u>3 Transformation</u>** 

3.1 Data types 3.2 Scalar transformation and the species enigma 3.3 Vector transformation 3.4 Example: Transformation of plant cover data

### **<u>4 Multivariate Comparison</u>**

4.1 Resemblance in multivariate space4.2 Geometric approach4.3 Contingency testing4.4 Product moments4.5 The resemblance matrix

4.6 Assessing the quality of classifications

5 Ordination

5.1 Why ordination? 5.2 Principal component analysis (PCA) 5.3 Principal coordinates analysis (PCOA) 5.4 Correspondence analysis (CA) 5.5 The horseshoe or arch effect 5.6 Ranking by orthogonal components

6 Classification

6.1 Group structures 6.2 Linkage clustering 6.3 Minimum-variance clustering 6.4 Average-linkage clustering: UPGMA, WPGMA, UPGMC and WPGMC 6.5 Forming groups 6.6 Structured synoptic tables

7 Joining Ecological Patterns

7.1 Pattern and ecological response
7.2 Analysis of variance
7.3 Correlating resemblance matrices
7.4 Contingency tables
7.5 Constrained ordination

<u>8 Static Explanatory Modelling</u>

<u>8.1 Predictive or explanatory?</u> <u>8.2 The Bayes probability model</u> <u>8.3 Predicting wetland vegetation</u> (example)

### <u>9 Assessing Vegetation Change in</u> <u>Time</u>

<u>9.1 Coping with time</u>
<u>9.2 Rate of change and trend</u>
<u>9.3 Markov models</u>
<u>9.4 Space-for-time substitution</u>
<u>9.5 Dynamics in pollen diagrams (example)</u>

<u>10 Dynamic Modelling</u>

<u>10.1 Simulating time processes</u> <u>10.2 Including space processes</u> <u>10.3 Processes in the Swiss National Park</u> <u>(SNP)</u>

# <u> 11 Large Data Sets: Wetland Patterns</u>

11.1 Large data sets differ11.2 Phytosociology revisited11.3 Suppressing outliers11.4 Replacing species with new attributes11.5 Large synoptic tables?

### 12 Swiss Forests: A Case Study

<u>12.1 Aim of the study</u> <u>12.2 Structure of the data set</u> <u>12.3 Methods</u> <u>12.4 Selected questions</u> 12.5 Conclusions

Appendix A On Using SoftwareA.1 SpreadsheetsA.2 DatabasesA.3 Software for multivariate analysis

**Appendix B Data Sets Used** 

<u>References</u>

<u>Index</u>

# Data Analysis in Vegetation Ecology

#### Otto Wildi

WSL Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Birmensdorf, Switzerland



A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication

This edition first published 2010 © 2010 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

Wiley-Blackwell is an imprint of John Wiley & Sons, formed by the merger of Wiley's global Scientific, Technical and Medical business with Blackwell Publishing.

*Registered office:* John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Other Editorial Offices: 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at <u>www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell</u>

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book. This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

#### Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Wildi, Otto. Data analysis in vegetation ecology / Otto Wildi. p. cm. ISBN 978-0-470-66102-4 (pbk.) 1. Plant communities-Data processing. 2. Plant communities-Mathematical models. 3. Plant ecology-Data processing. 4. Plant ecology – Mathematical models. I. Title. QK911.W523 2010 581.70285 – dc22 2010004441 ISBN: 9780470661017 (HB) 9780470661024 (PB) A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library. *Plants are so unlike people that it's very difficult for us to appreciate fully their complexity and sophistication. Michael Pollan, The Botany of Desire* 

# Preface

When starting to rearrange my lecture notes I had a 'short introduction to multivariate vegetation analysis' in mind. It ended up as a 'not so short introduction'. The book now summarizes some of the well-known methods used in vegetation ecology. The matter presented is but a small selection of what is available to date. By focusing on methodological issues I try to explain what plant ecologists do, and why they measure and analyse data. Rather than just generating numbers and pretty graphs, the models and methods I discuss are a contribution to the understanding of the state and functioning of the ecosystems analysed. But because researchers are usually driven by their curiosity about the functioning of the systems I successively began to integrate examples encountered in my work. These now occupy a considerable portion of this book. I am convinced that the fascination of research lies in the perception of the real world and its amalgamation in the form of high-quality data with hidden content processed by a variety of methods reflecting our model view of the world. Neither my results nor my conclusions are final. Hoping that the reader will like some of my ideas and perspectives, I encourage them to use and to improve on them. There remains considerable scope for innovation.

The examples presented in this book all come from Central Europe. While this was not intended originally, I became convinced the topics they cover are of general relevance, as similar investigations exist almost everywhere in the world. An example is the pollen data set: pollen profiles offer the unique chance to study vegetation change over millennia. This is the time scale of processes such as climate change and the expansion of the human population. Another, much shorter time series than that of pollen data is found in permanent plot data originating from the Swiss National Park that I had the opportunity to look at. The unique feature of this is that it dates back to the year 1917, when Josias Braun-Banquet personally installed the first wooden poles, which are still in place. Records of the full set of species have been collected ever since in five-year steps. A totally different data set comes from the Swiss Forest Inventory, presented in the last chapter of this book. Whereas many vegetation surveys are merely preferential collections of plot data, this data set is an example of systematic sampling on a grid encompassing huae environmental gradients. It helps to assess which patterns really exist, and whether some of those described in papers or textbooks are real or merely reflect the imagination or preference of researchers scanning the landscape for nice locations. In this case the data set available for answering the question is still moderate in size, but handling of large data sets will eventually be needed in similar contexts. I used the Swiss wetland data set as an example for handling data of much larger size, in this case with n = 17608relevés. Although this is outnumbered by others, it resides on a statistical sampling design.

Some basic knowledge of vegetation ecology might be needed to understand the examples presented in this book. Readers wishing to acquire this are advised to refer, for example, to the comprehensive volumes *Vegetation Ecology* by Eddy van der Maarel (2005) and *Aims and Methods of Vegetation Ecology* by Mueller-Dombois and Ellenberg (1974), presently available as a reprint. The structure of my book is influenced by Orlócis (1978) *Multivariate Analysis in Vegetation Research*, which I explored the first time when proofreading it in 1977. Various applications are found in the books of Gauch (1982), Pielou (1984) and Digby and Kempton (1987) and many multivariate methods used in vegetation ecology are introduced in Jongman *et al.* (1995). To study statistical methods used in this book in more detail, I strongly recommend the second edition of Numerical Ecology by Legendre and Legendre, probably the most comprehensive textbook existing today. Several books provide an introduction to the use of statistical packages, which are referred to in the appendix. For many reasons I decided to omit the software issue in the main text; upon the request of several reviewers I added a section to the appendix where I reveal how I calculated my examples and mention programs, program packages and databases.

I would like to express my thanks to all individuals that have contributed to the success of this book. First of all Rachel Wade from Wiley-Blackwell, who strongly supported the efforts to print the manuscript in time and organized all the technical work. I thank Tim West for careful copyediting, and Robert Hambrook for managing the production process. My colleagues Anita C. Risch and Martin Schütz entire text, providing revised the corrections and suggestions. Meinrad Küchler helped in the computation of several examples. André F. Lotter provided the pollen data set. I cannot remember all the people who had an influence on the point of view presented here: many ideas came from László Orlóci through our long lasting collaboration, others from Madhur Anand, Enrico Féoli, Valério de Patta Pillar, Janos Podani and Helene Wagner. I particularly thank my family for encouraging me to tackle this work and for their tolerance when I was working at night and on weekends to get it completed.

> Otto Wildi Birmensdorf, 1 December 2009

# List of figures

**<u>2.1</u>** Portrait of Abraham Lincoln.

**2.2** Vegetation mapping as a method for establishing a pattern.

**2.3** Ordination of a typical horseshoe-shaped vegetation gradient.

**<u>2.4</u>** A natural and a man-made event.

**2.5** Primary production of the vegetation of Europe.

**<u>2.6</u>** Distribution pattern of oak haplotypes in Switzerland.

**2.7** The elements of sampling design.

**<u>2.8</u>** Organization scheme of sample data.

**<u>3.1</u>** An example of three data types.

**<u>3.2</u>** Scalar transformation of population size.

**<u>3.3</u>** Scalar transformation of the coordinates of a graph.

**<u>3.4</u>** Overlap of two species with Gaussian response.

**4.1** Presentation of data in the Euclidean space.

**4.2** Three ways of measuring distance.

**4.3** The correlation of vector *j* with vector *k*.

**4.4** The average distance of a distance matrix is a perfect measure for homogeneity.

**<u>4.5</u>** Similarities within and between the forest types of Switzerland.

**<u>5.1</u>** Three-dimensional representation of similarity relationships.

5.2 Main functions of PCA.

**<u>5.3</u>** Projecting data into ordination space in PCA.

**<u>5.4</u>** Numerical example of PCA.

**<u>5.5</u>** Main results of a PCA using real data.

**<u>5.6</u>** Comparison of PCA and PCOA.

**5.7** Comparison of CA and PCA.

**<u>5.8</u>** Performance of two species along a gradient.

**5.9** The principle of detrending and FSPA.

**5.10** Comparison of CA and DCA.

**5.11** Comparison of PCA and NMDS.

**5.12** Comparison of PCOA and FSPA.

**5.13** Relevés chosen by RANK for permanent investigation.

<u>6.1</u> Two-dimensional group structures.

**6.2** A dendrogram from agglomerative hierarchical clustering.

**<u>6.3</u>** Comparing single- (SL), average- (AL) and complete-linkage (CL) clustering.

**<u>6.4</u>** Variance within and between groups.

**<u>6.5</u>** Cutting dendrograms at different levels of dissimilarity.

**<u>6.6</u>** Structuring the meadow data set of Ellenberg.

**7.1** Distinctness of group structure.

**7.2** F-values of selected site factors.

**7.3** Spatial arrangement of measurements (pH) and correlogram.

**7.4** Projecting distances in one direction.

**7.5** Evaluating the direction of the floristic gradient.

**7.6** Correlograms of site factors.

**7.7** Ordination of group structure in the test data set 'nzzm5'.

**7.8** Comparison of RDA and CCA.

**8.1** Occurrence probability of three hypothetical vegetation types.

- **8.2** Schematic illustration of a Bayes probability model.
- **8.3** Site suitability computed with the Bayes model.
- **8.4** Occurrence probability of three selected species.
- **8.5** Similarity of field relevés and simulated data.
- **<u>9.1</u>** Type of environmental study needed to assess change.

**<u>9.2</u>** Measuring rate of change in time series of multistate systems.

**<u>9.3</u>** Ordination of data from eight plots in the Swiss National Park.

<u>9.4</u> Rate of change in 2 plots, Swiss National Park.

<u>9.5</u> A Markov model of the Lippe *et al.* (1985) data set.

**<u>9.6</u>** PCOA ordination of the Lippe succession data.

**<u>9.7</u>** Markov model of the time series of the Swiss National Park.

**<u>9.8</u>** The principle of space-for-time substitution.

**<u>9.9</u>** The similarity of time series.

**<u>9.10</u>** *Pinus mugo* on a former pasture in the Swiss National Park.

**9.11** Minimum spanning tree (data from the Swiss National Park).

**9.12** Ordering of 59 time series from the Swiss National Park.

**<u>9.13</u>** Succession in pastures of the Swiss National Park.

**9.14** Tree species in the pollen diagram from Soppensee (Lotter 1999).

**<u>9.15</u>** Velocity profile of the Soppensee pollen diagram.

**<u>9.16</u>** Time trajectory of the Soppensee pollen diagram.

**<u>9.17</u>** Velocity profiles from quantitative towards qualitative.

**9.18** Time acceleration trajectory of the Soppensee pollen diagram.

**10.1** Attempt to get a dynamic model under control (Wildi 1976).

**10.2** Numerical integration of the exponential growth equation.

**10.3** Logistic growth of two populations, model 1.

**10.4** Logistic growth of two populations, model 2.

**10.5** Logistic growth of two populations, model 4.

**10.6** The mechanism of spatial exchange.

**10.7** Overgrowth of a plot by a new guild.

**10.8** Spatial design of SNP model.

**<u>10.9</u>** Original (left) and simulated (right) temporal succession.

**10.10** Results of the spatial simulation of succession, Alp Stabelchod.

**<u>11.1</u>** Six alliances represented in a random sample of the Swiss wetland vegetation data.

**<u>11.2</u>** Six alliances represented in a relevé sample best fitting the phytosociological classification.

**<u>11.3</u>** Frequency distribution of nearest-neighbour pairs of relevés.

**<u>11.4</u>** Ordination of a random sample of the Swiss mire vegetation data (left) and the same with outliers removed (right).

**<u>11.5</u>** Projecting a given sample into a new resemblance space.

**<u>11.6</u>** Ordination of the wetland sample in the indicator space.

**<u>11.7</u>** Indicator values superimposed on ordinary ordination.

**<u>11.8</u>** Similarity matrices of 10 alliances.

**12.1** Two ordinations of the Swiss forest data set.

**<u>12.2</u>** Vegetation map (eight groups).

**12.3** The effect of different plot sizes on similarity pattern.

**12.4** Vegetation probability map (eight groups).

**12.5** Distribution of four selected tree species.

**12.6** Ordination of forest stands. Four selected tree species marked.

**12.7** Ecograms of forest stands. Four selected tree species marked.

**12.8** Tree and herb layers of three species in ecological space.

# List of tables

- **<u>2.1</u>** Terms used in sampling design.
- **<u>3.1</u>** Effects of different vector transformations.
- **3.2** Numerical example of vector transformation (two vectors).
- **<u>3.3</u>** Transformation of cover-abundance values in phytosociology.
- **<u>4.1</u>** Notations in contingency tables.
- **4.2** Resemblance measures using the notations in <u>Table 4.1</u>.
- **4.3** Product moments.
- **<u>5.1</u>** Notation used in correspondence analysis with examples.
- **5.2** Data set for illustrating the RANK algorithm.
- **<u>5.3</u>** Ranking relevés of the 'Schlaenggli' data set.
- **<u>5.4</u>** Ranking species of the 'Schlaenggli' data set.
- **<u>6.1</u>** Properties of four popular clustering methods.
- **<u>6.2</u>** Steps involved in sorting synoptic tables.
- **7.1** The structured data set 'nzzm5'.
- **<u>7.2</u>** Variance ranking of species.
- **7.3** Transformations used in the variance-testing example.
- **7.4** Autocorrelation in a one-dimensional gradient.
- **7.5** Computed correlogram.
- **7.6** Mantel test of the site factors analysed in Section 7.2.3.
- **7.7** The structured data set 'nzzm5'.
- **8.1** Notation used in Bayes modelling.
- **8.2** Mean and standard deviation within groups of pH and water level.
- <u>9.1</u> Markov process, simulated data.
- **<u>9.2</u>** Numerical example demonstrating a Markov process.
- **<u>10.1</u>** Approximating integration by numerical integration.
- **10.2** Comparison of models 2 and 3.
- **10.3** Initial values in the times-series data.
- **10.4** Six discrete vegetation states (Figure 10.8) used as initial conditions.
- **<u>11.1</u>** Synoptic table of a random sample of the Swiss mire vegetation data.

- **<u>11.2</u>** Synoptic table of a selective sample of the Swiss mire vegetation data.
- **<u>12.1</u>** Composition of eight vegetation types.
- **12.2** F-values of site factors based on eight forest vegetation types.
- **<u>12.3</u>** Number of plots where selected tree species occur.

## Introduction



This book is about understanding vegetation systems in a scientific context, one topic of vegetation ecology. It is written for researchers motivated by the curiosity and ambition to assess and understand vegetation dynamics. Vegetation, according to van der Maarel (2005) 'can be loosely defined as a system of largely spontaneously growing plants.' What humans grow in gardens and fields is hence excluded. The fascination of investigating vegetation resides in the mystery of what plants 'have in mind' when populating the world. The goal of all efforts in plant ecology, as in other fields of science, is to learn more about the rules governing the world. These rules are causing patterns, and the assessment of patterns is the recurrent theme of this book.

Unfortunately, our access to the *real world* is rather restricted and – as we know from experience – differs among individuals. To assure progress in research an image of the real world is needed: the *data world*. In this we get a description of the real world in the form of numbers. (An image can be a spreadsheet filled with numbers, a digital photograph or a digital terrain model.) Upon analysis we then develop our *model world*, which represents our understanding of the real world. Typical elements are orders, patterns or processes governing systems. It is the aim of most analytical methods to identify patterns as elements of our model view.

Finding models reflecting the real world is a difficult task due to the complexity of systems. Complexity has its origin in a number of fairly well known phenomena, one being the scale effect. Any regularity in ecosystems will emerge at a specific spatial and temporal scale only: at short spacial distance competition and facilitation among plants can be detected (Connell & Slatyer 1977); these would remain undetected over a range of kilometres. In order to study the effect of global climate change (Orlóci 2001, Walther et al. 2002) the scale revealed by satellite photographs is probably more promising. Choosing the best scale for an investigation is a matter of decision, experience and often trial and error. For this a multi-step approach is needed, in which intermediate results are used to evaluate the next decision in the analysis. Poore (1955, 1962) called this successive approximation and Wildi & Orlóci (1991) flexible analysis. Hence, the variety and flexibility of methods is nothing but an answer to the complex nature of the systems. Once the proper scale is found there is still a need to consider an 'upper' and a 'lower' level of scale, because these usually also play a role. Parker & Pickett (1998) discuss this in the context of temporal scales and interpret the interaction as follows: 'The middle level represents the scale of investigation, and processes of slower rate act as the context and processes of faster rates reflect the mechanisms, initial conditions or variance."

A second source of complexity is uncertainty in data measured. Data are restricted by trade-offs and practical limitations. A detailed vegetation survey is time-consuming,

and while sampling, vegetation might already be changing (Wildi et al. 2004). Such data will therefore exhibit an undesired temporal trend. A specific bias causes variable selection. It is easier to measure components above ground than below ground (van der Maarel 2005, p. 6), a distinction vital in vegetation ecology. Once the measurements are complete they may reflect random fluctuation or chaotic behaviour (Kienast et al. 2007) while failing to capture deterministic components. It is a main objective in data distinguish random from analysis to deterministic components. Even if randomness is controlled there is nonlinearity in ecological relationships, a term used when linearity is no longer valid. This would not be a problem if we knew the kind of relationship that was hidden in the data (e.g. Gaussian, exponential, logarithmic, etc.), but finding a proper function is usually a challenging task.

Further, spatial and temporal interactions add to the complexity of vegetation systems. In space, the problem of order arises, as the order of objects depends on the considered. ecosystems, the direction In most environmental conditions, for example elevation or humidity, change across the area. Biological variables responding to this will also be altered and become spacedependent (Legendre & Legendre 1998). If there is no general dependency in space, a local phenomenon may exist: *spatial autocorrelation*. This means that sampling units in close neighbourhood are more similar than one could expect from ecological conditions. One cause for this comes from biological population processes: the chance that an individual of a population will occur in unfavourable conditions is increased if another member of the same population resides nearby. It will be shown later in this book how such a situation can be detected (Section 7.3.3). Similarly, correlation over time also occurs. In analogy to space, there is temporal dependence and temporal *autocorrelation*. This comes from the fact that many processes are temporally continuous. The systems will usually only change gradually, causing two subsequent states to be similar. Finally, time and space are not independent, but linked. Spatial patterns tend to change continuously over time. In terms of autocorrelation, spatial patterns observed within a short time period are expected to be similar. Similarly, a time series observed at one point in space will be similar to another series observed nearby.

In summary, all knowledge we generate by analysing the data world contributes to our model world. However, this is aimed at serving society. When translating this into practice we experience yet another world, the man-made world of values. This is people's perception and valuation of the world, which we know from experience is continuously changing. The results we derive in numerical analysis carry the potential to deliver input into value systems, but we should keep in mind what Diamond (1999) mentioned when talking about accepting innovations: 'Society accepts the solution if it is compatible with the society's values and other technologies.' Proving the existence of global warming, as an example, can be a matter of modelling. Convincing people of the practical relevance of the problem is a question of evaluation and communication, for which different skills may be required.

### Patterns in vegetation ecology



# 2.1 Pattern recognition

Why search for patterns in vegetation ecology? Because the spatial and temporal distribution of species is non-random. The species are governed by rules causing detectable, regular patterns that can be described by mathematical functions, such as a straight line (e.g. a regression line), a hyperbola-shaped point cloud, or, in the case of a temporal pattern, an oscillation. But it might also be a complex shape that is familiar to us: Figure 2.1 shows the portrait of former President Abraham Lincoln. Although drastically US simplified, we immediately recognize his face. Typically, this picture contains more information than just the face: there is also the regular grid, best seen in the image on the right. This geometrically overlayed pattern tends to dominate our perception. The entire central image including the grid is blurred, helping the human brain to recognize the face more easily. So patterns are frequently overlayed, and this also happens in ecosystems, where it is actually the rule. One of the aims of pattern recognition is in fact to separate

superimposed patterns by partitioning the data in an A well-known appropriate wav. application of pattern (vegetation) mapping. recognition is The usually inhomogeneous and complex vegetation cover of an area is reduced to a limited number of types. The picture in Figure 2.2 shows the centre of a peat bog in the Bavarian Pre-Alps. types of decreasing Three vegetation wetness are distinguished from the foreground to the background. Before drawing such a map the types have to be defined, a difficult task discussed in more detail in Chapter 6.

**Figure 2.1** Portrait of Abraham Lincoln. Pixel image (left), blurred (centre), with superimposed raster (right).





Patterns are often obscured not just by overlay, but by random variation (sometimes referred to as statistical noise) hiding the regularities. Methods are needed to divide the total variation into two components, one containing the regularity and one representing randomness.

One (statistical) property of any series of measurements is variance ( $s^2$ ):

$$s^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x - \overline{x})^2$$

This is the sum of the squared deviation of all elements from the mean of vector  $\vec{x}$ . The mean can be interpreted as the deterministic component and the deviations as the random component of a measurement. Even in the simplest natural system the existence of a deterministic pattern and a random component can be expected. A typical example in vegetation ecology is the representation of a vegetation gradient as an ordination. A continuous change in underlying conditions, time or environmental factors leads to a nonlinear change in vegetation composition. When a vegetation gradient of this type is analysed, it will not manifest as a straight line but as a curve instead, also known as a horseshoe (see Section 5.5). What deviates from this can be considered statistical noise, but it can also come from yet another pattern. The issue is sketched in Figure 2.3 with data from a gradient in the Swiss National Park depicting the change from nutrient-rich pasture towards reforestation by Pinus montana. In this ordination the main pattern is the curved line and the random component comes from the deviations of the data points from this line. Alternatively, one may detect another pattern in the point cloud. As will be shown in Chapter 6, applying cluster analysis will result in determination of groups. This might be the preferred pattern for some practical applications like vegetation mapping.

**Figure 2.3** Ordination of a typical horseshoe-shaped vegetation gradient in the Swiss National Park. Relevés on the left-hand side are taken from the forest edge, those at

the right-hand side from the centre of a pasture. If the arrow is assumed to represent the true trend then the distance of any one point from the arrow is caused by noise.



I have shown so far that patterns refer to different kinds of regularities, some in space, some in time, others related to one-dimensional the similarity of obiects. or multidimensional. deterministic or random. This book presents a strategy towards recognition of patterns. In Section 2.3 I refer to the sampling problem, a big issue as sampling yields the data and only these are subjected to analysis. Mathematical analysis starts with Chapter 3 on transformation, a step in any analysis that allows adjustment of the data to the objective of the investigation, while also partly overcoming restrictions imposed by the measurements. First, transformations address individual measurements (scalars), such as species cover, abundance or biomass, for which I frequently use the neutral term species performance. Second, vectors are subjected to transformation. A relevé vector includes all measurements belonging to this, including species performance scores and site factors. A species vector considers performance scores in all relevés where it occurs. In a synoptic table (Section 6.6) a relevé vector is a column and a species vector a row.