

Adrian Wallwork

English for Writing Research Papers

Second Edition

English for Academic Research

Series editor

Adrian Wallwork

Pisa

Italy

This series aims to help non-native, English-speaking researchers communicate in English. The books in this series are designed like manuals or user guides to help readers find relevant information quickly, and assimilate it rapidly and effectively. The author has divided each book into short subsections of short paragraphs with many bullet points.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13913>

Adrian Wallwork

English for Writing Research Papers

Second Edition

 Springer

Adrian Wallwork
English for Academics
Pisa
Italy

English for Academic Research
ISBN 978-3-319-26092-1 ISBN 978-3-319-26094-5 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-26094-5

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016933455

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media
(www.springer.com)

Preface

Who is this book for?

This book is part of the *English for Research* series of guides for academics of all disciplines who work in an international field. This volume focuses on how to write a research paper in English, though the majority of guidelines given would be appropriate for any language.

It is designed both for inexperienced and experienced authors.

EAP trainers can use this book in conjunction with: *English for Academic Research: A Guide for Teachers*.

How is this book organized? How should I read it?

The book is divided into two parts and the full contents can be seen in the Contents on page ix. This Contents page also acts as a mini summary of the entire book.

Part 1: Guidelines on how to improve your writing skills and level of readability.

Part 2: Guidelines about what to write in each section (Abstract, Introduction, Methodology etc.) and what tenses to use. Of course, not all disciplines use the same section headings, but most papers nevertheless tend to cover similar areas.

I recommend you read all of Part 1 before you start writing your paper. Then refer to specific chapters in Part 2 when you write the various sections of your paper.

Chapter 20 concludes the book and contains a checklist of things to consider before sending your manuscript to the journal.

How are the chapters organized?

Each chapter has the following three-part format:

1) FACTOIDS/WHAT THE EXPERTS SAY

In most cases, this section is a brief introduction to the topic of the chapter. Occasionally, the factoids are simply interesting in themselves and have no particular relevance to the chapter in question. However, they can be used by EAP teachers as warm-ups for their lessons. All the statistics and quotations are genuine, though in some cases I have been unable to verify the original source.

2) WHAT'S THE BUZZ?

This is designed to get you thinking about the topic, through a variety of useful but entertaining exercises. These exercises are designed to be done in class with an EAP (English for Academic Purposes) teacher/trainer, who will provide you with the keys to the exercises. The final part of each *What's the buzz?* section is a brief outline of the contents of the chapter.

3) The rest of each chapter is divided up into short subsections in answer to specific questions. These are either instructions (in Part 1) or in the form of FAQs (in Part 2). Each chapter ends with a summary.

I am a trainer in EAP and EFL. Should I read this book?

If you are a teacher of English for Academic Purposes or English as a Foreign Language, you will learn about all the typical problems that non-native researchers have in the world of academia. You will be able to give your students advice on writing quality research papers and getting referees and editors to accept their papers. In addition, you will generate a lot of stimulating and fun discussions by using the factoids and quotations, along with the *What's the buzz?* exercises.

You can also use the three exercise books (writing, grammar, vocabulary) that are part of this *English for Academic Research* series, plus the teacher's book that contains notes on how to exploit all the books: *English for Academic Research: A Guide for Teachers*. This guide contains keys to the exercises in the *What's the buzz?* sections.

I edit research papers. Can this book help me?

Certainly. It should clear up a lot of your doubts and also enable you to be a bolder and better editor!

Are the extracts in this book taken from real papers?

Most of the examples are taken from real published papers. In some cases the names of the authors and titles of the papers, plus where they can be downloaded, can be found in the Links and References section at the back of the book. Some examples are fictitious (and are indicated as such), but nevertheless not far from reality!

How do I know if the examples given are good or bad examples?

Example sentences are preceded by an S, e.g. S1, S2. If they contain an asterisk (e.g. S1*), then they are examples of sentences that either contain incorrect English or are not recommended for some other reason. Longer examples are contained in a table. This table contains the original version (OV, sometimes labeled *No!*) and the revised version (RV, sometimes labeled *Yes*). Unless otherwise specified, the OVs and sentences labeled *No!* are all examples of how not to write.

Useful phrases

A list of useful phrases that you can use in your paper can be downloaded free of charge at: <http://www.springer.com/us/book/9783319260921>.

Differences from the first edition

Each chapter now begins with Factoids and a *What's the buzz?* section. There is a new chapter (Chapter 9 Discussing Your Limitations) and around 50 new sections spread over a 100 new pages - particularly in the chapters on: *Highlighting Your Findings*, *Abstracts*, *Introduction*, *Discussion*, and *Conclusions*. The chapter on *Useful Phrases* is now a free download (see above).

The author

Since 1984 Adrian Wallwork has been editing and revising scientific papers, as well as teaching English as a foreign language. In 2000 he began specializing in training PhD students from all over the world in how to write and present their research in English. He is the author of over 30 textbooks for Springer Science + Business Media, Cambridge University Press, Oxford University Press, the BBC, and many other publishers.

Other books in this series

This book is part of a series of books to help non-native English-speaking researchers to communicate in English. The other titles are:

English for Academic Research: A Guide for Teachers

English for Presentations at International Conferences

English for Academic Correspondence

English for Interacting on Campus

English for Academic Research: Grammar, Usage and Style

English for Academic Research: Grammar Exercises

English for Academic Research: Vocabulary Exercises

English for Academic Research: Writing Exercises

Contents

Part I Writing Skills

1 Planning and Preparation	3
1.1 What's the buzz?	4
1.2 Why should I publish? How do I know whether my research is worth publishing?	5
1.3 Which journal should I choose?	6
1.4 How can I know exactly what the editor is looking for?	7
1.5 What preparation do I need to do?	7
1.6 How can I create a template?	9
1.7 In what order should I write the various sections?	9
1.8 Should I write the initial draft in my own language before writing it in English?	10
1.9 How do I know what style and structure to use?	11
1.10 How can I highlight my key findings?	12
1.11 Whose responsibility is it to ensure my paper is understood? Mine or my readers?	13
1.12 How do I keep the referees happy?	13
1.13 What role do search engines play in making a paper accessible to others?	15
1.14 Summary	16
2 Structuring a Sentence: Word Order	17
2.1 What's the buzz?	18
2.2 Basic word order in English: subject + verb + object + indirect object.	19
2.3 Place the various elements in your sentence in the most logical order possible: don't force the reader to have to change their perspective	20
2.4 Place the subject before the verb	21
2.5 Don't delay the subject	22

2.6	Keep the subject and verb close to each other	23
2.7	Avoid inserting parenthetical information between the subject and the verb	24
2.8	Don't separate the verb from its direct object	25
2.9	Put the direct object before the indirect object	26
2.10	Don't use a pronoun (<i>it, they</i>) before you introduce the noun that the pronoun refers to	26
2.11	Locate negations near the beginning of the sentence.	27
2.12	Locate negations before the main verb, but after auxiliary and modal verbs.	28
2.13	State your aim before giving the reasons for it	28
2.14	Deciding where to locate an adverb.	29
2.15	Put adjectives before the noun they describe, or use a relative clause	29
2.16	Do not put an adjective before the wrong noun or between two nouns	30
2.17	Avoid creating strings of nouns that describe other nouns	30
2.18	Summary	31
3	Structuring Paragraphs	33
3.1	What's the buzz?	34
3.2	First paragraph of a new section – begin with a mini summary plus an indication of the structure	35
3.3	First paragraph of a new section – go directly to the point	36
3.4	Choose the most relevant subject to put it at the beginning of a sentence that opens a new paragraph	37
3.5	Deciding where to put new and old information within a sentence	38
3.6	Deciding where to put new and old information within a paragraph	40
3.7	Use 'generic + specific' constructions with caution	42
3.8	Try to be as concrete as possible as soon as possible	43
3.9	Link each sentence by moving from general concepts to increasingly more specific concepts	44
3.10	Don't force readers to hold a lot of preliminary information in their head before giving them the main information.	45
3.11	Present and explain ideas in the same (logical) sequence	46
3.12	Use a consistent numbering system to list phases, states, parts etc.	47
3.13	Break up long paragraphs	48
3.14	Look for the markers that indicate where you could begin a new sentence or new paragraph.	50
3.15	Begin a new paragraph when you begin to talk about your study and your key findings.	51

3.16	Concluding a paragraph: avoid redundancy	51
3.17	How to structure a paragraph: an example	52
3.18	Summary	55
4	Breaking Up Long Sentences	57
4.1	What's the buzz?	58
4.2	Analyse why and how long sentences are created	60
4.3	Using short sentences will help your co-authors if they need to modify your text.	61
4.4	Using short sentence often entails repeating the key word, thus improving clarity	61
4.5	Only use a series of short sentences to attract the reader's attention	62
4.6	Combine two short sentences into one longer sentence if this will avoid redundancy	62
4.7	When expressing your aims, consider dividing up a long sentence into shorter parts	63
4.8	If possible replace <i>and</i> and <i>as well as</i> with a period (.)	64
4.9	Be careful how you use link words	66
4.10	Avoid <i>which</i> and relative clauses when these create long sentences	69
4.11	Avoid the <i>-ing</i> form to link phrases together	71
4.12	Limit the number of commas in the same sentence	72
4.13	Consider not using semicolons	74
4.14	Only use semicolons in lists.	75
4.15	Restrict use of parentheses to giving examples	76
4.16	Final guidelines	77
4.17	Summary	78
5	Being Concise and Removing Redundancy	79
5.1	What's the buzz	80
5.2	Write less and you will make fewer mistakes in English, and your key points will be clearer	81
5.3	Cut individual redundant words	82
5.4	Consider cutting abstract words	83
5.5	Avoid <i>generic + specific</i> constructions	83
5.6	When drawing the reader's attention to something use the least number of words possible	84
5.7	Reduce the number of link words	84
5.8	When connecting sentences, use the shortest form possible	86
5.9	Choose the shortest expressions.	86
5.10	Cut redundant adjectives	87
5.11	Cut pointless introductory phrases.	87
5.12	Replace impersonal expressions beginning <i>it is</i>	88
5.13	Prefer verbs to nouns	89

5.14	Use one verb (e.g. <i>analyze</i>) instead of a verb+noun (e.g. <i>make an analysis</i>)	89
5.15	Reduce your authorial voice	91
5.16	Be concise when referring to figures and tables	91
5.17	Use the infinitive when expressing an aim.	92
5.18	Remove unnecessary commonly-known or obvious information.	92
5.19	Be concise even if you are writing for an online journal.	93
5.20	Consider reducing the length of your paper.	93
5.21	Summary	94
6	Avoiding Ambiguity, Repetition, and Vague Language	95
6.1	What’s the buzz?	96
6.2	Place words in an unambiguous order	97
6.3	Beware of pronouns: possibly the greatest source of ambiguity	98
6.4	Avoid replacing key words with synonyms and clarify ambiguity introduced by generic words	100
6.5	Restrict the use of synonyms to non-key words.	102
6.6	Don’t use technical / sector vocabulary that your readers may not be familiar with	104
6.7	Be as precise as possible	104
6.8	Choose the least generic word	106
6.9	Use punctuation to show how words and concepts are related to each other	107
6.10	Defining vs non-defining clauses: <i>that</i> vs <i>which / who</i>	108
6.11	Clarifying which noun you are referring to: <i>which, that</i> and <i>who</i>	110
6.12	<i>-ing</i> form vs <i>that</i>	110
6.13	<i>-ing</i> form vs. subject + verb	111
6.14	Avoiding ambiguity with the <i>-ing</i> form: use <i>by</i> and <i>thus</i>	112
6.15	Uncountable nouns.	114
6.16	Definite and indefinite articles	115
6.17	Referring backwards: the dangers of <i>the former, the latter</i>	116
6.18	Referring backwards and forwards: the dangers of <i>above, below, previously, earlier, later</i>	118
6.19	Use of <i>respectively</i> to disambiguate.	118
6.20	Distinguishing between <i>both ... and</i> , and <i>either ... or</i>	119
6.21	Talking about similarities: <i>as, like, unlike</i>	120
6.22	Differentiating between <i>from</i> and <i>by</i>	120
6.23	Be careful with Latin words.	121
6.24	False friends.	122
6.25	Be careful of typos.	122
6.26	Summary	123

7 Clarifying Who Did What	125
7.1 What's the buzz?	126
7.2 Check your journal's style – first person or passive.	127
7.3 How to form the passive and when to use it	127
7.4 Use the active form when the passive might be ambiguous	128
7.5 Consider starting a new paragraph to distinguish between your work and the literature.	129
7.6 Ensure you use the right tenses to differentiate your work from others, particularly when your journal prohibits the use of <i>we</i>	130
7.7 For journals that allow personal forms, use <i>we</i> to distinguish yourself from other authors.	133
7.8 When <i>we</i> is acceptable, even when you are not distinguishing yourself from other authors	133
7.9 Make good use of references	134
7.10 Ensure that readers understand what you mean when you write <i>the authors</i>	136
7.11 What to do if your paper is subject to a 'blind' review	136
7.12 Summary	137
8 Highlighting Your Findings	139
8.1 What's the buzz?	140
8.2 Show your paper to a non-expert and get him / her to underline your key findings	140
8.3 Avoid long blocks of text to ensure that referees (and readers) can find and understand the importance of your contribution	141
8.4 Construct your sentences to help the reader's eye automatically fall on the key information	143
8.5 Consider using bullets and headings	144
8.6 In review papers and book chapters, use lots of headings	145
8.7 Use tables and figures to attract attention	145
8.8 When you have something really important to say, make your sentences shorter than normal	146
8.9 Present your key findings in a very short sentence and list the implications	147
8.10 Remove redundancy.	148
8.11 Think about the types of words that attract attention.	148
8.12 Signal to the reader that you are about to say something important by using more dynamic language	149
8.13 When discussing key findings avoid flat phrases.	149
8.14 Consider avoiding the use of phrases containing <i>note</i> and <i>noting</i>	151
8.15 Be explicit about your findings, so that even a non-expert can understand them	151

8.16	Convince readers to believe your interpretation of your data	153
8.17	Beware of overstating your project’s achievements and significance	154
8.18	Summary	155
9	Discussing Your Limitations	157
9.1	What’s the buzz?	158
9.2	Recognize the importance of ‘bad data’	159
9.3	There will always be uncertainty in your results, don’t try to hide it.	160
9.4	Be constructive in how you present your limitations.	160
9.5	Clarify exactly what your limitations are.	161
9.6	Avoid losing credibility	162
9.7	Anticipate alternative interpretations of your data.	163
9.8	Refer to other authors who experienced similar problems	164
9.9	Tell the reader that with the current state-of-the-art this problem is not solvable.	165
9.10	Explain why you did not study certain data.	166
9.11	Tell the reader from what standpoint you wish them to view your data.	166
9.12	Don’t end your paper by talking about your limitations	167
9.13	Summary	168
10	Hedging and Criticising	169
10.1	What’s the buzz?	170
10.2	Why and when to hedge	171
10.3	Highlighting and hedging	173
10.4	Toning down verbs	174
10.5	Toning down adjectives and adverbs.	175
10.6	Inserting adverbs to tone down strong claims.	176
10.7	Toning down the level of probability.	177
10.8	Saving your own face: revealing and obscuring your identity as the author in humanist subjects	178
10.9	Saving other authors’ faces: put their research in a positive light.	179
10.10	Saving other author’s faces: say their findings are open to another interpretation	180
10.11	Don’t overhedge	181
10.12	Hedging: An extended example from a Discussion section	181
10.13	Summary	184
11	Plagiarism and Paraphrasing	185
11.1	What’s the buzz?	186
11.2	Plagiarism is not difficult to spot.	187
11.3	You <u>can</u> copy generic phrases	188

11.4	How to quote directly from other papers.	189
11.5	How to quote from another paper by paraphrasing.	190
11.6	Examples of how and how not to paraphrase	192
11.7	Paraphrasing the work of a third author	193
11.8	Paraphrasing: a simple example	193
11.9	Paraphrasing: how it can help you write correct English	194
11.10	Plagiarism: A personal view	195
11.11	Summary	196
Part II Sections of a Paper		
12	Titles	199
12.1	What's the buzz?	200
12.2	How can I generate a title? How long should it be?	201
12.3	Should I use prepositions in my title?	202
12.4	Are articles (<i>a / an, the</i>) necessary?	203
12.5	How do I know whether to use <i>a</i> or <i>an</i> ?	204
12.6	Should I try to include some verbs?	205
12.7	Will adjectives such as <i>innovative</i> and <i>novel</i> attract attention?	206
12.8	Is it a good idea to make my title concise by having a string of nouns?	206
12.9	What other criteria should I use to decide whether to include certain words or not?	208
12.10	How should I punctuate my title? What words should I capitalize?	209
12.11	How can I make my title shorter?	210
12.12	How can I make my title sound more dynamic?	211
12.13	Can I use my title to make a claim?	212
12.14	Are questions in titles a good way to attract attention?	212
12.15	When is a two-part title a good idea?	213
12.16	How should I write a title for a conference?	213
12.17	What is a running title?	214
12.18	Is using an automatic spell check enough?	215
12.19	Summary: How can I assess the quality of my title?	216
13	Abstracts	217
13.1	What's the buzz?	218
13.2	What is an abstract?	219
13.3	How important is the Abstract?	220
13.4	Where is the Abstract located?	221
13.5	What are 'highlights'?	221
13.6	How should I select my key words?	222
13.7	Why should I download the instructions to the author? Isn't it enough to check how other authors for the same journal have structured their abstract?	223

13.8	What style should I use: personal or impersonal?	223
13.9	What tenses should I use?	225
13.10	What is a structured abstract?	226
13.11	I am not a medical researcher, can I still use a structured abstract?	228
13.12	What is an Extended Abstract?	228
13.13	What is a video abstract? How can I make one?	229
13.14	My aim is to have my paper published in <i>Nature</i> . Is a <i>Nature</i> abstract different from abstracts in other journals?	230
13.15	How should I begin my Abstract?	231
13.16	How much background information should I give?	233
13.17	Should I mention any limitations in my research?	234
13.18	How can I ensure that my Abstract has maximum impact?	234
13.19	Why and how should I be concise?	235
13.20	What should I <u>not</u> mention in my Abstract?	236
13.21	What kinds of words do referees not want to see in an Abstract?	237
13.22	What are some of the typical characteristics of poor abstracts?	237
13.23	Social and behavioral sciences. How should I structure my abstract? How much background information?	239
13.24	I am a historian. We don't necessarily get 'results' or follow a specific methodology. What should I do?	240
13.25	I need to write a review. How should I structure my Abstract?	241
13.26	I am writing an abstract for a presentation at a conference. What do I need to be aware of?	242
13.27	How do I write an abstract for a work in progress that will be presented at a conference?	243
13.28	How do I write an abstract for an informal talk, workshop or seminar at an international conference?	245
13.29	How do journal editors and conference review committees assess the abstracts that they receive?	246
13.30	Summary: How can I assess the quality of my Abstract?	247
14	Introduction	249
14.1	What's the buzz?	250
14.2	How should I structure the Introduction? Can I use subheadings?	251
14.3	How does an Introduction differ from an Abstract?	251
14.4	How long should the Introduction be?	253
14.5	How should I begin my Introduction?	254
14.6	My research area is not a 'hard' science. Are there any other ways of beginning an Introduction?	256

14.7	How should I structure the rest of the Introduction?	257
14.8	What tenses should I use?	259
14.9	How long should the paragraphs be?	260
14.10	What are typical pitfalls of an Introduction?	261
14.11	What typical phrases should I avoid in my Introduction?	262
14.12	How should I outline the structure of the rest of my paper?	262
14.13	Summary: How can I assess the quality of my Introduction?	264
15	Review of the Literature	265
15.1	What's the buzz?	266
15.2	How should I structure my Review of the Literature?	267
15.3	Do I need to cover all the literature? And what about the literature that goes against my hypotheses?	268
15.4	How should I begin my literature review? How can I structure it to show the progress through the years?	268
15.5	What is the clearest way to refer to other authors? Should I focus on the authors or their ideas?	269
15.6	How can I talk about the limitations of previous work and the novelty of my work in a constructive and diplomatic way?	270
15.7	What tenses should I use?	271
15.8	How can I reduce the amount I write when reporting the literature?	274
15.9	Summary: How can I assess the quality of my Literature Review?	275
16	Methods	277
16.1	What's the buzz?	278
16.2	How should I structure the Methods?	279
16.3	What style: should I use the active or passive? What tenses should I use?	280
16.4	How should I begin the Methods?	282
16.5	My methods use a standard procedure. Do I need to describe the methods in detail?	283
16.6	My methods in the paper I am writing now are (almost) identical to the methods I published in a previous paper. Can I repeat them word for word?	283
16.7	Should I describe everything in chronological order?	284
16.8	How many actions / steps can I refer to in a single sentence?	285
16.9	Can I use bullets?	286
16.10	How can I reduce the word count?	287
16.11	How can I avoid my Methods appearing like a series of lists?	287

16.12	How can I avoid ambiguity?	288
16.13	How should I designate my study parameters in a way that my readers do not have to constantly refer backwards?	289
16.14	What grammatical constructions can I use to justify my aims and choices?	289
16.15	What grammatical construction is used with <i>allow</i> , <i>enable</i> and <i>permit</i> ?	290
16.16	How can I indicate the consequences of my choices and actions?	291
16.17	What other points should I include in the Methods? How should I end the Methods?	292
16.18	How can I assess the quality of my Methods section?	293
17	Results	295
17.1	What's the buzz?	296
17.2	How should I structure the Results?	297
17.3	How should I begin the Results?	298
17.4	What tenses should I use when reporting my Results?	298
17.5	What style should I use when reporting my Results?	299
17.6	Is it OK if I use a more personal style?	299
17.7	Should I report any negative results?	300
17.8	How can I show my readers the value of my data, rather than just telling them?	301
17.9	How should I comment on my tables and figures?	302
17.10	What more do I need to know about commenting on tables?	303
17.11	What about legends and captions?	304
17.12	My research was based on various surveys and interviews. How should I report quotations from the people we interviewed?	305
17.13	What else do I need to be careful about when reporting data?	306
17.14	Summary: How can I assess the quality of my Results section?	307
18	Discussion	309
18.1	What's the buzz	310
18.2	Active or passive? What kind of writing style should I use?	312
18.3	How should I structure the Discussion?	313
18.4	What is a 'Structured Discussion'?	314
18.5	How should I begin the Discussion?	315
18.6	Why and how should I compare my work with that of others?	316
18.7	How can I give my interpretation of my data while taking into account other possible interpretations that I do not agree with?	318

18.8	How can I bring a little excitement to my Discussion?	319
18.9	How can I use seems and appears to admit that I have not investigated all possible cases?	321
18.10	What about the literature that does not support my findings – should I mention it?	321
18.11	How can I show the pitfalls of other works in the literature?	322
18.12	Should I discuss the limitations of my research?	322
18.13	What typical problems do researchers in the humanities have when writing the Discussion?	323
18.14	How long should the Discussion be?	324
18.15	How can I be more concise?	324
18.16	How long should the paragraphs be?	325
18.17	How should I end the Discussion if I have a Conclusions section?	326
18.18	How should I end the Discussion if I do <u>not</u> have a Conclusions section?	327
18.19	Summary: How can I assess the quality of my Discussion?	328
19	Conclusions	331
19.1	What’s the buzz?	332
19.2	Do I have to have a Conclusions section?	333
19.3	What tenses should I use?	334
19.4	How should I structure the Conclusions?	334
19.5	How can I differentiate my Conclusions from my Abstract?	336
19.6	How can I differentiate my Conclusions from my Introduction and from the last paragraph of my Discussion?	337
19.7	How can I increase the impact of the first sentence of my Conclusions?	338
19.8	I don’t have any clear Conclusions, what can I do? Should I mention my limitations?	340
19.9	How should I relate my limitations to possible future work?	341
19.10	How can I end my Conclusions?	344
19.11	How should I write the Acknowledgements?	346
19.12	Summary: How can I assess the quality of my Conclusions?	347
20	The Final Check	349
20.1	What’s the buzz?	350
20.2	Print out your paper. Don’t just correct it directly on your computer	352
20.3	Ensure your paper is as good as it could possibly be the first time you submit it	353
20.4	Cut, cut, cut and keep cutting	354
20.5	Check your paper for readability	354

20.6	Always have the referee in mind	356
20.7	Check for clarity in the logical order of your argumentation	356
20.8	Be careful with cut and pastes	356
20.9	Make sure everything is consistent	357
20.10	Check that your English is suitably formal	357
20.11	Don't underestimate the importance of spelling mistakes	358
20.12	Write a good letter / email to accompany your manuscript	359
20.13	Dealing with rejections	360
20.14	Take the editor's and reviewers' comments seriously	360
20.15	A tip for using professional editing agencies	361
20.16	A final word from the author: Let's put a bit of fun into scientific writing!	361
20.17	Summary of this chapter	363
20.18	Summary of the entire book: 10 key concepts	364
	Acknowledgements	365
	Sources of the Factoids and other info	367
	Index	375

Part I

Writing Skills

Chapter 1

Planning and Preparation

Factoids

Every day 7000 scientific papers are written, but not necessarily accepted for publication.

At least two thirds of published scientific papers are written by researchers whose first language is not English.

Approximately 20% of the comments referees make when reviewing papers for possible publication in international journals regard English language issues.

A much disputed report drafted by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development found that only 12% of Italian and Spanish university graduates reached the top two levels on a standard literacy test, whereas around 13% of high school students reached these levels in Japan and the Netherlands.

In the EU alone there are over 250,000 PhD students.

China has nearly one million researchers, Japan 675,000, the Russian Federation 500,000.

1.1 What's the buzz?

Think of three good reasons for publishing your research in an international journal. The three quotations below should help you.

From note taking to publishing to teaching, language is the tool that gives sense to scientific activity. Whatever scientists do or observe, everything they come to know or to hypothesize, is mediated through language.

Robert Goldbort, Writing for Science

The writing of an accurate, understandable paper is just as important as the research itself.

Robert A Day, How to Write and Publish a Scientific Paper

Writing helps you to learn. Writing is not simply a task to be done once research or other preparation is completed - it can be an integral part of the work progress.

Nicholas Highman, Handbook of Writing for the Mathematical Sciences

This chapter analyses the benefits for you of publishing your research, and suggests various approaches for

- choosing the right journal and understanding what the editor expects from a paper in terms of content, style and structure
- deciding the order in which to write the various sections (Introduction, Methods, etc.)
- keeping the referees happy

1.2 Why should I publish? How do I know whether my research is worth publishing?

You will be more motivated to write a good paper, if you have thought about exactly why you want to have your research published. One of your reasons will probably be because you believe you can make a contribution to a gap in the current knowledge base of your field. It helps if you can write down concisely what this contribution is, and then double check that your proposed contribution really is original.

One of my students received the following comment by a referee as a justification for rejecting her paper:

Not acceptable. No new knowledge, science or discovery is presented.

This kind of comment may reach you even six months after you have sent your paper for review. For you, it represents a considerable waste in time and energy spent on a paper.

So, before you start writing you need to have an absolutely clear idea of:

- what your research goal was
- what your most important findings are and how you can demonstrate that they are true
- how these findings differ from, and add to, previous knowledge

You know implicitly what the importance of your findings are – after all, you may have been working for months and years on the project.

But the reader does not know.

You must give the reader a clear message.

Discussing and presenting your findings to colleagues should help you to identify what your key findings are.

Make a list of your key findings and choose the most important ones to fit the space you have available (i.e. the total word count allowed by your chosen journal). For each key finding decide if there is another possible explanation for what you have found. You can do this by looking in the literature again. Make sure you have not inserted any bias in your explanation of your findings. Next, write an explanation saying why you think each key finding is true. However, write your explanation in a way that shows you are open to other interpretations.

The above suggestions should also help you to decide whether your planned paper really will have a contribution to make.

1.3 Which journal should I choose?

If you have never written a paper before and your supervisor has not indicated a specific journal where he/she would like you to publish, it is a good idea to ask colleagues in your research group what they read and what sort of publications they aspire to publish in.

Even if you are writing a paper for the first time, it does not mean that it will only be suitable for a marginal or not very well known journal. Your progress in academia very much depends on your ability to publish in journals that have a high impact factor.

An impact factor is a measure of how prestigious a journal is. The higher the impact factor, the more widely read the journal is, and the more likely other researchers will cite your paper. Tables of impact factors which rank all the peer-reviewed journals in the world are available on the Net, you can use Google Scholar to help you find them.

However, given the difficulties of getting published in a high impact journal (20.13), you might consider opting for a short article or a ‘letter’. A literature review or a methodological text is often publishable. For instance, if you are studying medicine, you could consider writing a clinical review – a 2,500 word article which is essentially a review of the management of important and common problems. Many disciplines have such an equivalent.

When you have chosen three or four possible journals, look at their styles and think about their audience – what do the editors and readers expect from the articles (see Sect. 1.7)?

You could try to insert your paper into an ongoing discussion that is currently being covered in the journal. This approach may increase the chances of getting your paper approved by the editor.

The topic you choose to write about is obviously related to the journal where you want to publish. Occasionally it may be worth choosing the journal first (rather than your exact topic), and then deciding which angle of your research to focus on so that it will match the expectations of your chosen journal.

Note there are many online journals that advertise their services by sending emails to unsuspecting researchers – do not submit to such journals as either they are scams or at the very best have no impact factor.

1.4 How can I know exactly what the editor is looking for?

Read as many papers as you can from your chosen journal. This should help you to gain a clearer picture of what the editors of the journal are looking for to enable them to keep their readership levels high. Below are some of the typical things that editors hope to find in manuscripts.

TYPE OF PAPER	Original research, or a systematic review, or a position paper etc. (for more on the various types of paper consult Google Scholar or Wikipedia)
SUBJECT	Hot topic (contemporary issues), original and innovative; or controversial; or classic
AIM	Clarity of purpose, i.e. the research objectives are clear
RESEARCH	Well conducted, methodology clear, ethical, reproducible, no bias, limitations admitted
RESULTS	In line with research objective; entirely new or confirmation of other results already published in the same journal; not too broad as to be meaningless; can be generalized outside a very specific field
LENGTH OF PAPER	Short or long
STYLE	Personal (<i>we, I</i>), or impersonal (exclusively passive form), or mix (personal and impersonal)

Sometimes journals have themed or special issues on specific topics. These special issues are announced many months in advance of publication. Keep a look out for an issue that covers your specific area – it may be the perfect opportunity for you.

1.5 What preparation do I need to do?

Once you have chosen your journal, look at the most frequently cited papers to see how the authors rationalize the various steps of their research. Try to use papers that you will probably quote in your section on the review of the literature, and which are highly relevant to your topic and/or classic papers in your general field.

For example, you could create a table with some or all of the following headings:

- problem that the research addresses
- background information and relevant references
- elements that validate the level of innovation of the research

- conceptual model, methodology or procedure that the research takes into consideration
- materials, equipment and software used
- method used and the operational steps that the author carried out
- results achieved
- analysis and interpretation of these results
- strengths and weaknesses of the research, the insights demonstrated
- implications for further research

Then you can fill in your table with brief notes for each of the papers you have analyzed. This analysis should help you to:

1. write your own literature review, because after this analysis you will be very familiar with the literature
2. identify the differences in other researchers' approaches and results compared to your research
3. note down the strengths and weaknesses (including possible bias) in the work of others

These three points should enable you to understand in what ways your research is unique, innovative, interesting and useful, and how it extends what is already in the literature. Your aim is to find a knowledge gap to fill.

If you have done a very thorough literature search, then another publishing opportunity for you is to write a literature review.

1.6 How can I create a template?

Choose one paper that is close to your topic, that is written by a native English speaker, and that you enjoyed reading. Use this paper as a model into which you can 'paste' your own research.

Notice how your model paper is structured:

- how does the author begin?
- what points does s/he make in each section?
- how does s/he link paragraphs together?
- how does s/he connect the Results with the Discussion?
- how does s/he present the Conclusions?

As you read your model paper, note down some useful English phrases that the author uses. Such phrases will help to increase the readability of your text, as they will be familiar to your readers.

1.7 In what order should I write the various sections?

There is no standard order in which you should write the various sections of your paper. You should choose the order that suits you best. This may involve writing several sections simultaneously.

Many authors start with the Methods, which is often the easiest section to write because this is the part that will usually be clearest in your mind. Beginning with the Methods will also give you the confidence and impetus you need to move on to the other sections of the paper.

In reality, it is best to start with the Abstract as this will help you to focus / orient your ideas on what are the key aspects of your research. In any case, if you are going to present your work at a conference, the organizers will ask you to submit an abstract before you write the related paper – you can still change the Abstract when you have finished writing the actual paper.

You might find it useful to look at the scientific study protocol that you wrote when you outlined the aims of your research at the beginning of your PhD or before you began your current project. Here you should have written out your goals very clearly, and this will help you to write your Abstract.

The hardest part for most authors is the Discussion where you have to interpret your results and compare them with other authors' results. While you are writing the Discussion, you may find it useful to draft the Introduction, as some of the authors you mention will appear both in the Introduction and the Discussion.

A typical order for writing the various sections is thus:

Abstract (very rough draft)

Methods

Results

Discussion

Introduction

Conclusions

Abstract (final version)

It is a good idea to write the Results and Discussion before the Introduction. This is because you will only truly understand the significance of what you have done after you have written these two sections. Laying the background foundations on which you can highlight the significance of your research is a major part of the Introduction.

1.8 Should I write the initial draft in my own language before writing it in English?

Write directly in English rather than in your native language. This may be hard at the beginning. But with a model paper written by a native English-speaker in front of you, which you can follow step by step, it should be quicker than translating from your own language. From an English point of view, it should also be more reliable and accurate because you will be using some standard phrases that you have lifted directly or adapted from your model English paper.

Some researchers find it much easier to write a paper if they have already written notes in English throughout the research project. This means that you will already have much of the content you need when you finally start writing your manuscript. It also means that you will get a lot of practice in writing in English and may help you to discover any gaps in your understanding of your topic.

It might also be worth finding a native speaker to correct your written English for you whenever you write notes during the research. This might be a useful alternative to following a general English language course as it will be much more focused and also tailored to your particular needs. However, if your department or institute offers writing courses these are obviously well worth attending.