

MARS ONE

The Ultimate Reality TV Show?



ERIK SEEDHOUSE

Mars One

The Ultimate Reality TV Show?

Erik Seedhouse

Mars One

The Ultimate Reality TV Show?



Published in association with
Praxis Publishing
Chichester, UK



Erik Seedhouse
Assistant Professor
Aviation Sciences Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
Daytona Beach, Florida
USA

SPRINGER-PRAXIS BOOKS IN SPACE EXPLORATION

Springer Praxis Books
ISBN 978-3-319-44496-3 ISBN 978-3-319-44497-0 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-44497-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016951723

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Cover design: Jim Wilkie

Project Editor: Michael D. Shayler

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Contents

Acknowledgements	vii
Dedication	viii
About the Author	ix
Acronyms	xi
Preface	xiii
1 Mars One: The concept	1
Bas.....	4
Mission design	6
There is no plan!	8
2 Is this ethical?	11
Consenting to die	13
Third quarter effect	16
Dying to die on reality TV	19
Real explorers	23
Rules	26
The ragged edge	27
3 Is any of this legal?	29
Colonization	30
The Moon Treaty.....	33
Getting a launch license	34
Governance	36
COSPAR	37
4 Selection	43
Real astronaut candidates.....	45
Selection for a seriously risky job.....	48
Mars One selection	59

vi **Contents**

Selected candidates from the Mars One shortlist.....	61
A real astronaut: Samantha Cristoforetti.....	63
5 Training	65
How real astronauts train	66
Space doctors	72
Death in the void.....	74
Coping skills	75
Teddy bears and boondoggling	83
6 Medical mission-killers	88
Reality bites	90
Radiation.....	91
Cataracts.....	93
Cancer	94
Bone demineralization	99
Going blind	108
Discombobulation.....	108
7 Technological feasibility	111
Launchers.....	114
Shielding	119
Nobody knows how to land. Except SpaceX... perhaps	123
EDL.....	125
Living on Mars.....	132
8 Bursting the Mars One life support bubble	137
Life support 101	138
Sydney Do and the MIT study	146
Epilog	151
Appendices	
A Mars One reveals new details on Astronaut Selection Round Three	157
B Selected profiles from the Mars One 100	164
Index	173

Acknowledgements

In writing this book, the author has been fortunate to have had five reviewers who made such positive comments concerning the content of this publication. He is also grateful to Maury Solomon at Springer and to Clive Horwood and his team at Praxis for guiding this book through the publication process. The author also gratefully acknowledges all those who gave permission to use many of the images in this book. The author also expresses his deep appreciation to Mike Shayler, whose attention to detail and patience greatly facilitated the publication of this book and to Jim Wilkie for creating yet another striking cover. Thanks Jim! Thanks also to Senior Project Manager, Sarumathi Hemachandirane, for guiding this project through the editing process.

To SpaceX – the most likely to reach Mars first

About the Author



Figure P.0 Credit: Erik Seedhouse

Erik Seedhouse is a fully-trained commercial suborbital astronaut. After completing his first degree he joined the 2nd Battalion the Parachute Regiment. During his time in the ‘Paras’, Erik spent six months in Belize, where he was trained in the art of jungle warfare. Later, he spent several months learning the intricacies of desert warfare in Cyprus. He

made more than 30 jumps from a Hercules C130 aircraft, performed more than 200 helicopter abseils and fired more light anti-tank weapons than he cares to remember!

Upon returning to academia, the author embarked upon a Master's degree, which he supported by winning prize money in 100km running races. After placing third in the World 100km Championships in 1992, Erik turned to ultra-distance triathlon, winning the World Endurance Triathlon Championships in 1995 and 1996. For good measure he won the World Double Ironman Championships in 1995 and the infamous Decatriathlon, an event requiring competitors to swim 38km, cycle 1800km, and run 422km. Non-stop!

In 1996, Erik pursued his PhD at the German Space Agency's Institute for Space Medicine. While studying he found time to win Ultraman Hawai'i and the European Ultraman Championships, as well as completing Race Across America. Due to his success as the world's leading ultra-distance triathlete, Erik was featured in dozens of magazine and television interviews. In 1997 GQ magazine nominated him as the 'Fittest Man in the World'.

In 1999 Erik took a research job at Simon Fraser University. In 2005 the author worked as an astronaut training consultant for Bigelow Aerospace. Between 2008 and 2013 he served as Director of Canada's manned centrifuge and hypobaric operations. In 2009 he was one of the final 30 candidates in the Canadian Space Agency's Astronaut Recruitment Campaign. Erik has a dream job as an assistant professor at Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University in Daytona Beach, Florida. In his spare time he works as an astronaut instructor for Project PoSSUM, an occasional film consultant to Hollywood, a professional speaker, a triathlon coach and an author. 'Mars One' is his 25th book. When not enjoying the sun and rocket launches on Florida's Space Coast, he divides his time between his second home in Sandefjord and Waikoloa.

Acronyms

ALARA	As Low As Reasonably Achievable
ARS	Acute Radiation Syndrome
ARS	Atmosphere Revitalization System
ASV	Air Selector Valve
BEO	Beyond Earth Orbit
BFOQ	Bona Fide Occupational Qualification
BFR	Big Falcon Rocket
CDRA	Carbon Dioxide Removal Assembly
CF	Canadian Forces
CM	Command Module
COSPAR	Committee on Space Research
CSA	Canadian Space Agency
CSF	Cerebrospinal Fluid
DHMR	Dry Heat Microbial Reduction
DXA	Dual X-ray Absorptiometry
EAC	European Astronaut Center
ECLSS	Environmental Control Life Support System
EDL	Entry, Descent and Landing
ESA	European Space Agency
ESWL	Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
GCR	Galactic Cosmic Rays
GI	Gastrointestinal
H2M	Humans to Mars
HEPA	High Efficiency Particulate Air (filters)
HTP	Hypersonic Transition Problem
ICE	Isolated Confined Environment
ICP	Intracranial Pressure
ICU	Intensive Care Unit

xii **Acronyms**

IOC	International Olympic Committee
ISRU	In-situ Resource Utilization
ISS	International Space Station
IST	Increment Specific Training
JSC	Johnson Space Center
LEO	Low Earth Orbit
LOC	Loss of Crew
LOM	Loss of Mission
LSAH	Longitudinal Survey of Astronaut Health
MAG	Maximum Absorbency Garment
MCT	Mars Colonial Transporter
MDM	Multiplexer/Demultiplexer
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
MOXIE	Mars Oxygen In-situ Resource Utilization Experiment
MTV	Mars Transfer Vehicle
NBL	Neutral Buoyancy Laboratory
NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection
RAD	Radiation Assessment Detector
SLS	Space Launch System
SPE	Solar Particle Event
STP	Supersonic Transition Problem
TDS	Terminal Descent Sensor
TPS	Thermal Protection System
TRN	Terrain Relative Navigation
VIIP	Visually Induced Intracranial Pressure

Preface

“It’s interesting as a thought experiment. I mean, Lansdorp doesn’t have \$6 bn, but NASA does and in terms of going only one way – hey, we’re all on a one-way trip to somewhere! But I think it’s utterly fantastical that you’ll fund a Mars mission with a reality TV show.”

Robert Zubrin.

Full disclosure here. I applied to Mars One in the spirit of 'nothing ventured, nothing gained'. I figured I would give the enterprise the benefit of the doubt and if it all fell apart then at least I would have material for a book, which is why you're reading this! So I have some insight into the Mars One mission and I also happen to have a number of space industry colleagues who went through the selection process, some of whom provided insight into the reasons why Mars One will never get off the ground. Also, as Editor-in-Chief of the *Handbook of Life Support Systems for Spacecraft and Extraterrestrial Habitats*, I had access to many world class experts who weighed in on some of the many, many reasons why the Mars One life support system cannot work – not for long at any rate.

“None of the vehicles exist. And you can’t just go to SpaceMart and buy those things. People don’t know what astronauts do. We don’t just go to astronaut school, take a few classes and then ride a station somewhere. That’s not how it works. It’s simply not the process; it’s almost sweetly naive to see it like that. We astronauts are in fact intrinsically involved in mission design. And in making the process safe. And it’s really hard to get to Space Station and back. We’ve killed people, just trying to get up to space and back. Even very recently. It is extremely difficult to do that [get there and back].

“And the astronauts are as pivotal in making the process safe as anyone else. It’s not simply a case of going to classes and learning which knobs to flick. That’s not our part in the process at all. To be a shuttle commander, you already have to be one of the top test pilots in the world. Just to get selected – to get a start in the process. And we don’t choose those people just because we want qualifications. We need those skills to safely do it. And even still, we lost two shuttles.”

Chris Hadfield, Canadian Space Agency astronaut.

Perhaps the world's best known astronaut and someone who happens to know



Figure P.1 Credit: Mars One

more than most about spending time in space.

Mars One is a bold and very popular initiative; plugging it into Google generates 136 million hits! It is also an initiative mired in controversy. Their astronaut selection process required only a self-secured medical with the candidate's doctor. No mental health exam. No psychological or psychometric testing. Not even a criminal background check! In fact, the selection process to date more closely resembles the casting process for a terrestrial reality TV show than a serious astronaut selection. And on the subject of reality TV, Mars One's deal with Endemol Productions has fallen through. Its 6 billion dollar price-tag is fantasy because it doesn't consider resupply, while Mars One's assertions that it can attract media revenue at the same level as the Olympic Games are optimistic at best. Then there's Mars One's available finances. These are listed at just \$700,000 (!), which is why the organization has suspended its robotic mission. And then there's the MIT life support study that predicts the first colonist will die after 68 days. Ask *any* professional astronaut and they will say the same thing: the technology required to get a human being to Mars and keep them alive on the surface does not exist – certainly not on a budget of \$6 billion at any rate. But according to Mars One, these astronauts and highly credentialed people at MIT are wrong! So what is the real story? Rip-off? Hoax? Scam? Does Mars One have any chance of succeeding? You will find some of the answers in this book.

I've written more than 20 books and I have never had cause to include a warning before, but the content of this book may be disturbing to some, especially the 'Mars in a decade' crowd. The subject matter of this book may significantly disrupt your perception and belief as to whether a manned Mars mission is possible and will almost certainly shake your worldview of the viability of Mars One. This is not intentional: it is just that sometimes the truth hurts. So, if you want to continue to live in a fantasy world in which manned Mars missions are achievable within a decade on a budget of \$6 billion and in which humans arrive on Mars unscathed by deep space radiation please stop reading right now. And, if you are a Mars One disciple who truly swallows the make-believe Harry Potter world where this can happen, perhaps you can save a couple of hours of your time by giving this book to someone else. But, if you are capable of dealing with the facts, however uncomfortable these may be, and if you feel there is something not quite right with the Mars One delusion, then this book is for you.

To begin with, let's give credit where credit is due and say right here and now that Bas Lansdorp did not invent the concept of a Mars One mission. Before Bas, there was Dirk

Schulze-Makuch of Washington State University. Together with his colleague, Paul Davies, Dr. Schulze-Makuch dreamt up a one-way Mars trip as a way of making an inter-planetary journey more affordable: by as much as 80% in fact.

“Really, this isn't a joy ride. You have to understand that the motivation for doing this is to not only open up a human presence on another planet, but to provide the opportunity to do some fantastic, groundbreaking science.”

Dr. Paul Davies, Washington State University

Now that we're clear on that, let's move on to a snapshot of the Mars One endeavor, such as it is. It all began with a website, complete with substandard CGI video. The organization bandied about a few letters from aerospace companies and the odd resume of people with little or no understanding of long duration spaceflight. They called a press conference to announce they were taking applications from anyone who wanted to go to Mars and never return. Nothing about the mode of transport. Nothing about the mode of landing, or the life support system, or radiation protection, or... anything really. But it was a reality television show so the media – predictable as ever – lapped it up. In fact they jumped all over it. A few clicks on Google and the hacks had their story. Never mind that most of the stories were full of holes. A one-way trip to Mars? What crackpots would sign up for something like this? And is it even viable anyway? Well, it obviously must be, because Mars One rolled out a Nobel Prize winner to say he liked the idea, even though this particular Nobel Prize winner's area of expertise had nothing to do with getting to Mars. But Nobel Prize winners are smart, so if this guy says it's doable it must be doable. The application process? Simple. Anyone can apply. After all, on a one-way trip to Mars what possible need would there be for a doctor or an engineer or a pilot? A baker you say? Sign up! Thousands did. To be fair, not all the applicants were astronaut no-hopers; there were some serious applications in the mix. But as the months dragged on, the reality, feasibility and fairy tale of Mars One began to unravel; hence this book. Still reading? Great. Depending on your perception, Mars One lies somewhere between an illusion perpetrated by one of the great con artists of all time, a suicide mission or just a crackpot idea. Which brings us to the wake.

In October 2015, I was lucky enough to be invited to give a talk at the Shackleton Museum Autumn School in Athy, Ireland. My talk was on the parallels between exploration in the 'Heroic Age of Antarctic Exploration' and future Mars exploration. At some point in the lecture, I described the Mars One mission architecture. I explained that while such a plan may seem extreme to many, this mindset was much more accepted back in the days of Shackleton and Amundsen, because 100 years ago the crews knew full well there was a good chance they were never coming back. After the lecture, a lady came up to me to say how much she enjoyed my talk and went on to suggest that perhaps the Mars One crew's families should hold a wake in advance of the mission, given that the outcome will be a foregone conclusion.

For those of you who have had the good fortune to visit Ireland, you will be familiar with the 'craic'. 'How's the craic?' is a greeting used by just about everyone in Ireland, and is a custom as common as St Patrick's Day or enjoying a pint of Guinness. More than most, the Irish have a rich history when it comes to customs and traditional rites. Especially when it comes to funerals, or 'wakes', as the Irish refer to their way of celebrating the death of a loved one. And 'celebrate' is the right word, because a wake is no place for tears. It is more a way

to celebrate the life of a loved one in a way unique to the Irish custom: with plenty of food and drinks! Why the talk of wakes in a book dedicated to spaceflight? Well, if – and it's a mighty, *mighty* big 'if' – this Mars One boondoggle is ever realized, then the mission planners could do worse than plan a wake in celebration of the very-soon-to-be-deceased crew.

The pre-launch wake will serve an important function, because it will not only mark the departure of the crew from their home for the very last time, but also provide some measure of comfort to their family members, relatives and friends, who will have an opportunity to say their final goodbyes to their nearest and dearest. Mars One take note. Now back to Mars.

With the Curiosity Rover (Figure P2) going about its business and the success of the '*The Martian*', it's not surprising that hardly a day goes by without some mention of manned missions to Mars, whether it be in the form of press releases, YouTube videos, NASA's marketing campaign – or those Mars One folks. Now, the blogosphere is overflowing with the naysayers and promoters of this self-styled suicide mission, but why does it attract so much press? Well, for the 'Mars in a decade' crowd it represents a fast track to Mars, because this sort of a mission can be done on a budget. Ask any engineer and they will tell you that it will cost ten times as much to bring astronauts back from Mars as it will just to get them there in the first place. Now you may be thinking that these sorts of missions should be left up to government agencies, but anyone who thinks that should take a step back and consider what plans NASA has to get humans to Mars.

You may have read about the Space Launch System (SLS), the Orion crew capsule and wonderful cutting edge space technologies, and how these will eventually enable astronauts to travel to Mars. You may also have read about the critical work being done




Figure P.2 Credit: NASA

to ensure that humans will land on Mars sooner rather than later and, after reading all of this, you may be persuaded that the venerable agency has a plan to get us to the Red Planet. After all, those pretty graphics showing mission architectures must have come about after an awful lot of planning by some very clever people, right? Well, yes, but how NASA is organized and how it operates isn't as streamlined as some people may think. For example, you may think that NASA Headquarters tells the rest of the NASA Centers what to do and those centers do exactly that, but this not how the agency works. In short, form does not follow function. And all those directorates: the Science Mission Directorate (SMD); the Human Exploration and Operations Directorate (HEOMD); the Space Technology Mission Directorate (STMD). Take your pick. And all those boards and councils and working groups? Well, you would think by looking at all those teams that NASA has this 'Mission to Mars' business figured out, especially when you see all the neat graphics. The problem is that while all these groups are supposed to coordinate a plan to get astronauts to Mars, it can't be done, because there just isn't enough money and no strategic master plan. Don't blame NASA for this, because it isn't NASA's fault. The agency does an absolutely incredible job with a budget that continues to be slashed in real terms, but there is only so much NASA can do with the pittance it is given.

A case in point: in October 2015, the agency released *Journey to Mars*, an upbeat 36-page report that described how NASA will send its astronauts to Mars. In the document were plans for asteroid capture, a deep-space laboratory and all sorts of new technologies that would be developed to help astronauts live and work on the Red Planet. The problem with this opus was that there were two key elements missing: dollars and deadlines. And without those, nobody is going anywhere. The problem? Political viscosity and successive Administrations – the Obama one in this case – that cut hundreds of millions of dollars from NASA's Mars programs. In fact, one of the reasons the Mars program and others have been kept alive is because Congress has passed budgets that have exceeded the President's budget request. But keeping a program on life support and actually making progress are two different animals, and if the United States wants to avoid more delays then the funding issue has to be solved. For quite some time, NASA has never known how much funding it will be receiving in any one year, and that makes it nigh on impossible to make any long-term plans, never mind developing all the new technologies needed for such a venture. To have any chance of putting government-trained astronauts on the Red Planet, NASA will need a big increase in funding *and* a coordinated strategy. Without those two factors, boots on the ground anytime soon is pure fantasy. Let's take an example. Back in the days when the International Space Station (ISS) was being planned, there was a proposal to fly a centrifuge called the Centrifuge Accommodation Module (CAM). You can see an image of this in Figure P.3. Needless to say, the CAM never flew. Instead, it is now gathering rust in a parking lot somewhere in Tsukuba, Japan. Which is a shame, because if the CAM had flown we would know a hell of a lot more about artificial gravity than we do now. But, because of fiscal viscosity, bad planning and the lack of a coordinated long-term strategy, we are almost as much in the dark about this Mars-enabling technology as we were 20 years ago.

And data on artificial gravity is just one of many, *many* data sets we badly need to acquire before a serious mission to Mars can be mounted. What about multi-year missions on the ISS? I keep a keen eye on the goings on in the world of manned spaceflight but I don't seem to remember a single NASA tweet about two-year missions. Or even one lasting 18 months for that matter. Yet a trip to Mars will be a multi-year mission, so how on Earth does NASA plan on gaining those invaluable long duration data-points? Especially now that the ISS is slated for decommissioning in 2024. Hmm. The lack of a coordinated plan pretty much answers *that* question as well. Another check item on the manned Mars mission list is the sample return mission. NASA pulled the plug on this years ago and there is no definitive plan to realize this mission anytime soon. This, and so many, many other enabling missions and technologies are firmly slotted in the 'TBD' and/or 'fingers crossed' pile, thanks to the slow, uncoordinated climate that is pervasive nowadays. Another example? The Low-Density Supersonic Decelerator (LDSD). As all of you Mars fans know, one of the biggest challenges to any Mars mission, whether you plan on returning or not, is actually landing, and this is where the LDSD might come in handy.




Figure P.3 Credit: NASA

NASA has tested the LDS, and accumulated a fair amount of data until the parachute failed. The agency may do more testing or it may not. It depends, yet again, on funding and a coordinated plan. So, no artificial gravity, no LDS and no multi-year missions. Let's face it, this 'manned mission to Mars' business is going nowhere fast. Sure, there are lots of graphics with arrows and flow charts with dates, but these do not constitute a viable plan to land humans on Mars in the 2030s. The 2130s perhaps. Pessimism? No, just a dose of reality. NASA has had a manned Mars mission on the drawing board for more than 40 years and all we have to show for those plans are some fancy graphics and charts. To many diehard Mars supporters, the 'Mars by the 2030s' mantra repeated by the NASA hierarchy is nothing more than a sales campaign and hot air, hence the support for other options – however misguided and far-fetched – such as Mars One. And even if there was a concerted effort starting today, we all know what can happen to best laid plans. Remember President Bush's Vision for Exploration (VSE)? It was announced in 2004 and it called for a return to the Moon by 2020 (Figure P.4). Well, since VSE was announced, the clock has been ticking steadily and NASA is nowhere near returning to the Moon. There is an outside chance a crew may fly a mission around the Moon sometime around 2023 but that speculative possibility hardly keeps the manned mission to Mars plan alive. The point is that NASA has been 20 years away from planning to send humans to Mars for the best part of half a century. Small wonder then, that a reality TV show called Mars One gets so much coverage: Mars supporters are just so fed up with listening to broken promises that they just want humans – any humans as it turns out – to get to Mars. Unorthodox? Certainly. Daring? Most definitely. Far-fetched and wildly ambitious? You betcha. Doomed to failure? More than likely. But for all the controversy surrounding this extravagant suicide mission, Mars One has captivated imaginations around the world by stating it will have humans on Mars by 2023. Or 2025. Or maybe 2027.

Mock them all you like, but you have to admire the bold idea of having everyday people pay money to sign up for the chance of being selected as an astronaut for a Mars mission. Harebrained? Absolutely. Feasible? No chance. We'll get into the details later in the book, but here are a few snapshots of why Mars One is doomed to fail. Let's begin with technology. In short there is a dearth of the technology needed to keep humans alive for months on end. In the world of manned spaceflight, this is known as life support. Now, if you listen to the Mars One debates and watch the interviews with the Mars One contestants, they will say all this life support technology exists. And to support this claim, the Mars One crowd uses the ISS as evidence, saying that these life support systems work just fine up there. Well, yes they do, but these systems won't work on Mars and here's why. On the ISS, the systems are replaced on a routine basis. That's the bonus of orbiting just 250 miles above the Earth: if something needs to be repaired, one of the supply vehicles can bring up the spare parts on the next resupply flight. Not so on a spacecraft en route to Mars. And on ISS, if something goes terribly wrong, the astronauts can simply pack up and head home in one of the lifeboat Soyuz craft. But if things go south on a spacecraft heading for the Red Planet? Well, let's just say it will be an unfavorable outcome for all concerned. Let's extend this logistical resupply issue a little. One thing NASA has learned over the years is that stuff breaks down. A lot. Which means resupply runs. Lots of them. In fact, the ISS gets resupplied every three months or so. But a Mars mission? We're talking about a long stretch of 26 months between resupply runs. No return vehicles for the Mars One




Figure P.4 Credit: NASA