

**Adrian Wallwork & Anna Southern**

---

# **100 Tips to Avoid Mistakes in Academic Writing and Presenting**

---

# **English for Academic Research**

## **Series Editor**

Adrian Wallwork

English for Academics ([e4ac.com](http://e4ac.com))

Pisa, Italy

This series aims to help non-native, English-speaking researchers communicate in English. The books in this series are designed like manuals or user guides to help readers find relevant information quickly, and assimilate it rapidly and effectively.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13913>

Adrian Wallwork • Anna Southern

# 100 Tips to Avoid Mistakes in Academic Writing and Presenting

Adrian Wallwork  
English for Academics SAS  
Pisa, Italy

Anna Southern  
English for Academics SAS  
Pisa, Italy

ISSN 2625-3445

ISSN 2625-3453 (electronic)

English for Academic Research

ISBN 978-3-030-44213-2

ISBN 978-3-030-44214-9 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44214-9>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Introduction to the Book

## Who is this book for?

RESEARCHERS – The book contains one hundred typical mistakes relating to papers, proposals, presentations, and correspondence with editors, reviewers and editing agencies.

EDITING AGENCIES – If you edit academic papers then you will find this book extremely helpful in learning how to correct the typical errors that academics make when writing - both in their manuscripts and in their correspondence with journals. These types of mistakes are listed below under the section *What kinds of mistake does the book focus on?*

JOURNAL EDITORS AND REFEREES – This book will help you make qualified judgments of whether the English of a paper really does require editing. Remember that papers can be delayed by reviewers making indiscriminate (and frequently unjustified) statements about ‘poor English’.

TEACHERS OF EAP (ENGLISH FOR ACADEMIC PURPOSES) – you will learn which areas of writing and grammar to really focus on. If you also teach presentation skills, the last sections in the book highlight the key areas where presenters make the most mistakes.

This book can be used in conjunction with the other books in this series:  
<https://www.springer.com/series/13913>

## What kinds of written mistakes does the book focus on?

The book focuses on modifications that increase readability and empathy for the reader, for example by

- rearranging the structure of a sentence or paragraph
- repositioning / highlighting key information so that it stands out clearly from the surrounding text
- deleting redundant words, phrases and sentences
- dividing up long complex sentences
- repunctuating so that the meaning is clearer
- always adopting a positive tone in emails and letters

It also deals with a few specific grammar and vocabulary mistakes, but only where such mistakes might confuse the reader (of a paper, email, proposal etc.) or listener at a scientific conference. If you are interested in learning how to avoid the most frequently made grammar and vocabulary mistakes then you can consult:

Top 50 Vocabulary Mistakes

<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783319709802>

Top 50 Grammar Mistakes

<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783319709833>

Although the above two books focus on mistakes regarding general English rather than specifically academic English, there is much overlap between the two types of English. If you need grammar explanations that are specific to academic English then the following book will be very useful for you:

English for Academic Research: Grammar, Usage and Style

<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9781461415923>

And if you want to do exercises in relation to the errors covered in this book, then try these three books:

English for Academic Research: Writing Exercises

<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9781461442974>

English for Academic Research: Grammar Exercises

<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9781461442882>

English for Academic Research: Vocabulary Exercises

<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9781461442677>

## How is the book structured?

The book is made up of 100 sections, divided up as follows:

Research Papers: Titles and Abstracts  
 Research Papers: Introduction and Literature Review  
 Research Papers: Methods, Results, Tables  
 Research Papers: Discussion, Conclusions, Review Articles  
 Readability and Avoiding Redundancy  
 Word Order, Sentence Length and Paragraphing  
 Punctuation, Spelling, Google  
 Project proposals, journal submissions, emails in general  
 Presentations

Within each section there are several examples given. Each example is organized as follows:

**Title:** This is either a tip on how to avoid a mistake, or a warning of a typical mistake.

**NO!** Examples of typical mistakes.

**YES!** Corrected versions.

**MISTAKE** A description of why the NO examples are mistakes. Followed, in many cases, by an analysis of each individual mistake.

**SOLUTION** An explanation of how not to make the mistakes.

**IMPACT** A more general explanation of the negative impact that a particular mistake could have on the reader (including editors, reviewers, recipients of emails) or listeners (at a conference). And also an explanation of how the solution can have a positive impact.

Notes:

- The NO examples are authentic, i.e. they were taken from real papers. Consequently, they may contain additional mistakes (spelling, punctuation, grammar), not just those that are the topic of a particular section in the book. This means that you can also use the book to see if you are able to i) identify other kinds of mistakes ii) correct them. You can do this by covering the YES version and then attempt to edit / correct the NO version.



- In some cases there is no IMPACT section because the impact is clear or is very similar to the impact of the previous subsection. And in the case of presentations, sometimes just the KEYS are given, i.e. explanations for the way particular slides are used.
- *Italics* are used in the examples to highlight the points made in the MISTAKE and SOLUTION sections. Thus they are our italics, not the author of the paper's italics.
- A bomb icon (💣) is used to indicate the most important tips and 'serious' mistakes in the book. This choice is highly subjective but is based on our agency's 30-year experience of editing scientific research papers. They are 'serious' in terms of how likely they are to create major confusion for the reader or undermine the credibility of the author or presenter. We are thinking primarily in terms of how well the message of your paper or presentation comes across to the reader, or how likely your paper or proposal is to be accepted for publication or funding.

## Free downloadable materials

For more materials you can access: <https://e4ac.com/english-for-research/>

Under the name of this book, i.e. *100 Tips to Avoid Mistakes in Academic Writing and Presenting*, you will find:

- larger and clearer versions (in color) of the slides shown in the last section of this book
- additional mistakes plus analysis
- updates

You can also find details about the other books in this series as well as details of our editing agency (including costs of having your papers edited) and our courses.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Research Papers: Titles and Abstracts</b>	<b>1</b>
1	Whole paper: Concentrate above all on readability; grammar is generally less important.	1
2	Titles: Ensure your title as specific as possible. Delete unnecessary words.	3
3	Titles: Avoid ‘clever’ titles.	5
4	Abstracts: Be concise - especially in the first sentence.	6
5	Abstracts: Don’t begin the abstract with non key words.	7
6	Abstracts: Make it clear why the purpose of your investigation is important.	8
7	Abstracts: Clearly differentiate between the state-of-the-art and what you did in your research.	9
8	Structured Abstracts - Background: Be careful of tense usage.	11
9	Abstracts: When writing a single paragraph, write it like a ‘structured abstract’.	12
10	Abstract and Introduction: Avoid the word ‘attempt’ and avoid making bold statements beginning with ‘this is the first ...’	14
<b>2</b>	<b>Research Papers: Introduction and Literature Review</b>	<b>15</b>
11	Introduction: Avoid information that readers will already be very familiar with.	15
12	Introduction: Describe the structure of your paper in a way that enables readers to navigate the paper easily.	17
13	Review of the literature: prioritize clarity over consistency when deciding what tense to use.	18
14	Review of the Literature and Discussion: Think about whether the first few words of a sentence add value for the reader or not. Be as concise as possible.	19
15	Review of the literature and Discussion: Delete all unnecessary verbs.	20

<b>3</b>	<b>Research Papers: Methods, Results, Tables</b>	<b>21</b>
16	Methods: Use the past to describe what you did, but use the present to describe any protocols / regulations / typical steps. . . .	21
17	Methods: Be careful to use the right tense in a <i>which</i> clause when a series of steps are being described. . . . .	22
18	Methods: Indicate the sequence of steps by putting <i>firstly</i> , <i>secondly</i> , <i>finally</i> etc at the beginning of the sentences. . . . .	23
19	Methods: Put the steps in chronological order. Put dates at the beginning. . . . .	24
20	Results: Ensure the reader understands whether you are talking about your results or what has already been established by others. Generally speaking, use the past tense to report your results. . . . .	25
21	Results: Do not write long descriptions of your results if these could easily be put in a table. And do not repeat information that is clearly shown in a table, instead interpret it. . . .	27
22	Tables: Use the simple present to describe what the table does, and the past to discuss what the table shows. . . . .	28
23	Tables: In captions, and when referring to figures and tables, use the least words possible. . . . .	29
24	Tables: Avoid redundancy by avoiding repetitions. . . . .	30
<b>4</b>	<b>Research Papers: Discussion, Conclusions, Review Papers</b>	<b>31</b>
	THE DISCUSSION . . . . .	31
25	Limitations: Don't finish your paper by talking about your limitations. Consider relocating the limitations to earlier in the Conclusions, or to the Discussion. . . . .	32
26	Limitations: Don't just list your limitations, justify them. . . . .	33
27	Conclusions: Don't write your Conclusions in a hurry. . . . .	34
28	Conclusions: End with something memorable and comprehensible. . . . .	35
29	Conclusions: Highlight the importance of your work by putting key findings at the beginning of the sentence, not at the end. Be as detailed as possible. . . . .	36
30	Review papers: Think about what readers really want to learn, and present this info in an-easy-to-navigate way. . . . .	37
<b>5</b>	<b>Readability and Avoiding Redundancy</b>	<b>39</b>
31	Readability: Just because your paper or chapter is published doesn't mean that anyone will actually read it. . . . .	39
32	Readability: Confused or vague writing tends to lead to a confused reader. Write clearly and logically. . . . .	41
33	Readability: The first words of a paragraph or sentence should immediately tell the reader what the subject is. . . . .	42
34	Readability: Do not be vague - use specific rather than generic terms. . . . .	43

35	Readability: Avoid vague adjectives and adjectives that add no extra information.....	45
36	Readability: Ensure readers can understand whose research you are referring to. ....	46
37	Readability: Avoid a colloquial style and idiomatic expressions.. ...	47
38	Readability: Do not use synonyms to avoid repeating a key word. ...	48
39	Readability: Don't use a pronoun before the noun it refers to has been mentioned, or when there is more than one noun that the pronoun could refer to.....	50
40	Readability: Ensure it is clear what 'this' refers to in phrases such as 'this study'. ....	52
41	Readability: Avoid <i>the former</i> and <i>the latter</i> . ....	53
42	Readability: Do not use <i>the</i> when talking in general. Use <i>the</i> when talking about your specific cases.. ....	55
43	Readability: Avoid unclear references to other papers and other parts of your paper. ....	56
44	Readability: When referring to your own geographical area and administrative units, don't assume your readers have the same level of knowledge as you do.....	57
45	Readability: With certain exceptions ( <i>etc.</i> , <i>e.g.</i> , <i>i.e. in vivo</i> , <i>in vitro</i> ), avoid Latin expressions.....	58
46	Readability: When highlighting important information, consider beginning a new sentence or paragraph. ....	59
47	Readability: When highlighting important information, be as concise and precise as possible. ....	61
48	Readability: Avoid unnecessary adjectives. Don't say <i>This is innovative / important / interesting</i> etc. Instead explain how or why it is innovative.....	62
49	Readability: If the verb does not give key information, choose the most common / shortest verb possible in order not to distract the reader. ....	64
50	Readability: Prefer verbs to nouns in sentences that already contain a high proportion of nouns. ....	65
51	Redundancy: The more you write/say, the more mistakes you will make. ....	67
52	Redundancy: Reduce generic words to a minimum. ....	68
53	Redundancy: Remove unnecessary synonyms or repeated constructions.....	70
54	Redundancy: Delete generic phrases. Just be specific. If words in parentheses are important, remove the parentheses. ....	72
55	Redundancy: Consider using an adjective rather than a noun.....	73
56	Redundancy: Consider (shorter) alternatives for <i>allow/permit/enable</i> . ....	74

<b>6</b>	<b>Word Order, Sentence Length and Paragraphing</b>	<b>75</b>
57	Word order: subject + main verb + object + indirect object (all as close together as possible).	75
58	Word order: Ensure the reader will understand immediately where the sentence is going.	77
59	Word order: Put the key concept as near as possible to the beginning of a sentence. Never at the end.	79
60	Word order: Shift subject to the beginning of the sentence by deleting redundancy or rearranging the link words.	80
61	Word order: Negations contain key information. Put them as near as possible to the beginning of the sentence.	82
62	Word order: Keep <u>the reason for doing x</u> as close as possible to the explanation of <u>how you did x</u> .	83
63	Word order: Don't indiscriminately stack nouns together.	84
64	Sentence length: Divide up a long sentence when it contains two or more distinct ideas.	85
65	Sentence length: Learn the right way to break up a long sentence.	87
66	Sentence length: Short sentences are good, but not every sentence has to be short.	88
67	Paragraphs: Consider avoiding a series of single-sentence paragraphs or a series of short paragraphs.	89
68	Paragraphs: Don't use long paragraphs.	91
<b>7</b>	<b>Punctuation, Spelling, Using Google</b>	<b>93</b>
69	Punctuation: Use commas to help your reader understand. But ensure they do not interrupt the flow of reading.	93
70	Punctuation: Revise any sentences that contain multiple punctuation marks.	95
71	Punctuation: Put a comma before <i>and</i> to avoid possible ambiguity. Use semicolons to divide items into groups.	96
72	Punctuation and readability: Be careful of how you use acronyms.	97
73	Spelling: Be consistent with the spelling of the same word. Always do a final spell check.	98
74	Google: Do not use Google Translate to check your English.	99
75	Google: Learn how to use Google Scholar effectively to check your English.	102
<b>8</b>	<b>Project Proposals, Journal Submissions, and Emails In General</b>	<b>105</b>
76	Project proposals: Put yourself in the reviewer's shoes.	105
77	Project proposals: Make your proposal stand out by being reviewer-friendly and by differentiating it from competing proposals.	107
78	Journal submissions: Check your spelling, punctuation, use of capitalization before sending your paper to a language editing service.	109

79	Journal submissions: Adopt a neutral style when checking status of your paper. No accusations. . . . .	111
80	Journal submissions: If you are the reviewer, do not make generic comments about the poor quality of the English. Ensure you give a few concrete examples, or consider not making any comments at all. . . . .	112
81	Journal submissions: Don't delay publication by asking the editors/reviewers questions. Only challenge when strictly necessary. . . . .	114
82	Rebuttal letters and emails in general: Always be positive, never angry. . . . .	116
83	Journal submissions: Be concise when writing your reply (rebuttal letter) to the reviewers' report. . . . .	117
84	Journal submissions: Ensure your English is correct when writing your reply to the reviewers' report. . . . .	119
85	Journal submissions: If your paper has been edited by a professional agency but is rejected for 'poor English', don't immediately blame the agency. . . . .	120
86	Fake services: Beware of dubious services offered by editing agencies, journals and conference organizers . . . . .	122
87	Emails: Don't underestimate the importance of writing good emails . . . . .	124
88	Emails: Make your subject line as specific as possible, and in the body only include relevant info. . . . .	125
89	Emails: Use the same quality standards in English as you would in your own language. . . . .	126
90	Emails: Be specific about deadlines . . . . .	127
91	Emails: Be positive and diplomatic when criticizing the work of others. . . . .	128
<b>9</b>	<b>Presentations . . . . .</b>	<b>131</b>
92	Presentations: Remember all the bad presentations you have seen and accept that your presentation may be no better. . . . .	131
93	Presentations: Don't fill your slides with text. When you've finished your presentation look at it using the option 'slide sequence' - does it look clear and simple? . . . . .	133
94	Presentations: Ensure your title slide will immediately attract the attention of your audience (part 1). . . . .	134
95	Presentations: Ensure your title slide will immediately attract the attention of your audience (part 2). . . . .	136
96	Presentations: Consider having fun titles/double titles. . . . .	138
97	Presentations: Background slide: Don't cut & paste paragraphs from other texts. . . . .	140
98	Presentations: Presenting and talking about statistics. . . . .	142

99	Presentations: Don't overload audience with info. Make your statistics come alive by i) making comparisons and ii) activating your audience's brain.. . . . .	144
100	Presentations: Final slide - Writing <i>Thanks for your attention</i> is not enough. . . . .	148
<b>About the Authors.</b> . . . . .		151
<b>Acknowledgements</b> . . . . .		153
<b>Index.</b> . . . . .		155

# Chapter 1

## Research Papers: Titles and Abstracts



### 1 Whole paper: Concentrate above all on readability; grammar is generally less important. 🍷

MISTAKE I have surveyed thousands of PhD students about what they consider to be the fundamentals of writing research papers in English. While some recognize that readability should be prioritized (i.e. minimizing long sentences and redundancy), most tend to focus on grammar and vocabulary. Few mention conciseness and even fewer mention ambiguity. In my opinion, it is a mistake to think that good grammar and appropriate vocabulary are the key to a good paper. There are other elements, including the ones listed below, that are much more likely to determine whether your paper will be accepted for publication, and which have a big impact on what a reviewer might refer to as ‘poor English’. This whole book is designed to help you understand what areas you should really be concentrating on.

#### SOLUTION

- Always think about the referee and the reader. Your aim is to have your paper published. You will increase your chances of acceptance of your manuscript if referees and journal editors (i) find your paper easy to read; (ii) understand what gap you filled and how your findings differ from the literature. You need to meet their expectations with regard to how your content is organized. This is achieved by writing clearly and concisely, and by carefully structuring not only each section, but also each paragraph and each sentence.
- In your own native language, you may be more accustomed to write from your own perspective, rather than the reader’s perspective. To write well in English, it may help you to imagine that you are the reader rather than the author. This entails constantly thinking how easily a reader will be able to assimilate what you the author are telling them.



- Write concisely with no redundancy and no ambiguity, and you will make fewer mistakes in your English. The more you write, the more mistakes in English you will make. If you avoid redundant words and phrases you will significantly increase the readability of your paper.
- Read other papers, learn the standard phrases, use these papers as a model. You will improve your command of English considerably by reading lots of other papers in your field. You can underline or note down the typical phrases that they use to express the various language functions (e.g. outlining aims, reviewing the literature, highlighting their findings) that you too will need in your paper. You can also note down how they structure their paper and then use their paper as a template (i.e. a model) for your own.

#### IMPACT

If your paper is relatively easy to read and each sentence adds value for the reader, then you are much more likely to be cited in other people's work. If you are cited, then your work as an academic will become more rewarding - people will contact you and want to work with you.

More details about readability and being concise can be found in Sections [31-56](#).