

Thomas Gottschalk

A Remote Sensing and GIS-based model of avian species habitat and its potential as a part of an environmental monitoring programme

Doctoral Thesis / Dissertation

Bibliographic information published by the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the National Bibliography; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

This book is copyright material and must not be copied, reproduced, transferred, distributed, leased, licensed or publicly performed or used in any way except as specifically permitted in writing by the publishers, as allowed under the terms and conditions under which it was purchased or as strictly permitted by applicable copyright law. Any unauthorized distribution or use of this text may be a direct infringement of the author's and publisher's rights and those responsible may be liable in law accordingly.

Copyright © 2002 Diplomica Verlag GmbH
ISBN: 9783836609623

<http://www.diplom.de/e-book/225584/a-remote-sensing-and-gis-based-model-of-avian-species-habitat-and-its-potential>

Thomas Gottschalk

A Remote Sensing and GIS-based model of avian species habitat and its potential as a part of an environmental monitoring programme

Thomas Gottschalk

A Remote Sensing and GIS-based model of avian species habitat and its potential as a part of an environmental monitoring programme

Dissertation / Doktorarbeit
Hochschule Vechta
Fachbereich Umweltwissenschaften
Januar 2002



Diplomica Verlag GmbH
Hermannstal 119k
22119 Hamburg
Fon: 040 / 655 99 20
Fax: 040 / 655 99 222
agentur@diplom.de
www.diplom.de

Thomas Gottschalk

A Remote Sensing and GIS-based model of avian species habitat and its potential as a part of an environmental monitoring programme

ISBN: 978-3-8366-0962-3

Druck Diplomica® Verlag GmbH, Hamburg, 2008

Zugl. Hochschule Vechta, Vechta, Deutschland, Dissertation / Doktorarbeit, 2002

Dieses Werk ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Die dadurch begründeten Rechte, insbesondere die der Übersetzung, des Nachdrucks, des Vortrags, der Entnahme von Abbildungen und Tabellen, der Funksendung, der Mikroverfilmung oder der Vervielfältigung auf anderen Wegen und der Speicherung in Datenverarbeitungsanlagen, bleiben, auch bei nur auszugsweiser Verwertung, vorbehalten. Eine Vervielfältigung dieses Werkes oder von Teilen dieses Werkes ist auch im Einzelfall nur in den Grenzen der gesetzlichen Bestimmungen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes der Bundesrepublik Deutschland in der jeweils geltenden Fassung zulässig. Sie ist grundsätzlich vergütungspflichtig. Zu widerhandlungen unterliegen den Strafbestimmungen des Urheberrechtes.

Die Wiedergabe von Gebrauchsnamen, Handelsnamen, Warenbezeichnungen usw. in diesem Werk berechtigt auch ohne besondere Kennzeichnung nicht zu der Annahme, dass solche Namen im Sinne der Warenzeichen- und Markenschutz-Gesetzgebung als frei zu betrachten wären und daher von jedermann benutzt werden dürften.

Die Informationen in diesem Werk wurden mit Sorgfalt erarbeitet. Dennoch können Fehler nicht vollständig ausgeschlossen werden, und die Diplomarbeiten Agentur, die Autoren oder Übersetzer übernehmen keine juristische Verantwortung oder irgendeine Haftung für evtl. verbliebene fehlerhafte Angaben und deren Folgen.

© Diplomica Verlag GmbH

<http://www.diplom.de>, Hamburg 2008

Printed in Germany

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1. Definition of monitoring and habitat | 1 |
| 1.1.1. Monitoring | 1 |
| 1.1.2. Habitat | 2 |
| 1.2. Birds as indicators of environmental change | 2 |
| 1.3. Geographic Information Systems | 3 |
| 1.4. Satellite-based Remote Sensing | 4 |
| 1.5. The use of birds, GIS and satellite images in previous studies | 4 |
| 1.5.1. Objectives of previous studies | 4 |
| 1.5.2. Sites of previous studies | 5 |
| 1.5.3. Methodology of previous studies | 5 |
| 1.6. Objectives of the study | 7 |
| 2. Study site | 9 |
| 3. Data and methods | 13 |
| 3.1. Bird census and terrestrial habitat mapping | 13 |
| 3.1.1. Point-Stop Counts | 14 |
| 3.1.2. Territory Mapping method | 14 |
| 3.1.3. Measurements of habitat structures | 14 |
| 3.2. Satellite image data | 15 |
| 3.2.1. Image interpretation and classification | 15 |
| 3.2.2. Ground truth and accuracy assessment | 19 |
| 3.3. Analytical methods | 19 |
| 3.3.1. Spatial data analyses using GIS | 20 |
| 3.3.2. Preference Index | 21 |
| 3.3.3. Bivariate statistics | 21 |
| 3.3.4. Multivariate statistics | 21 |
| 4. Results | 23 |
| 4.1. Terrestrially mapped structures | 23 |
| 4.2. Rainfall | 26 |
| 4.3. Habitat map | 27 |
| 4.4. Habitat preferences of birds | 32 |
| 4.4.1. Electivity Index | 32 |
| 4.4.2. Statistical significance | 33 |
| 4.4.3. Preferences on selected bird species | 35 |
| 4.4.4. Buffer scale | 38 |
| 4.5. Species diversity and individual density | 40 |
| 4.6. Bird assemblies of the Serengeti Plains | 42 |
| 4.6.1. Territory Mapping | 42 |
| 4.6.2. Cluster analyses | 43 |
| 4.6.3. Principal component analysis | 46 |
| 4.7. Reduction of data | 48 |
| 4.7.1. Analysis of environmental variables | 48 |
| 4.7.2. Analysis of bird species | 49 |
| 5. Discussion | 53 |
| 5.1. Verification and evaluation of the method | 53 |
| 5.1.1. Evaluation of variables | 53 |
| 5.1.2. Spatial scale | 54 |
| 5.1.3. Temporal scale and seasonality | 55 |
| 5.2. Sources of errors | 56 |
| 5.2.1. Satellite image classification | 56 |
| 5.2.2. Birds and bird census | 57 |
| 5.2.3. Position determination | 58 |
| 5.3. Results of grassland birds | 58 |
| 5.3.1. Bird species density and bird competition | 58 |
| 5.3.2. Future research suggestions on grassland birds in SNP | 59 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 6. Conclusion..... | 61 |
| 7. Summary | 62 |
| 7.1. English..... | 62 |
| 7.2. German..... | 63 |
| 8. Acknowledgements..... | 65 |
| 9. References | 66 |
| 10. Appendix | 73 |
| 10.1. Overview of studies using GIS, satellite-based RS and bird data | 73 |
| 10.2. Distribution maps of specific bird species..... | 78 |
| 10.3. Habitat preferences..... | 81 |
| 10.4. Bird species recorded using PSC..... | 89 |

FIGURES

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| Figure 2: | Location of SNP | 9 |
| Figure 3: | Flow chart of the methodology | 13 |
| Figure 3.1.2: | Sketch of a study plot Territory Mapping was conducted on | 14 |
| Figure 3.2.0.1: | View of North Tanzania from a height of 705 km from a Landsat 7 ETM+ Image of 12.02.2000 | 166 |
| Figure 3.2.0.2: | Location of the satellite image within the Republic of Tanzania..... | 166 |
| Figure 3.2.1.1: | Full scene and sub-scene characteristics..... | 177 |
| Figure 3.2.1.2: | Subset of the Landsat Image | 17 |
| Figure 3.2.1.3: | Scatterplot of band 5 against band 3 | 18 |
| Figure 3.3.1: | Buffer no. 74..... | 20 |
| Figure 4.1: | Two north-south profiles of grass height (average)..... | 23 |
| Figure 4.2.1: | Climatograms for the south-east Serengeti | 26 |
| Figure 4.2.2: | Map of interpolated rainfall average values of the study area based on 14 rain gauges | 27 |
| Figure 4.3.1: | Competition and abundance of the habitat types in the study area | 30 |
| Figure 4.3.2: | Seasonally flooded riverbeds..... | 30 |
| Figure 4.3.3: | Bare ground / short grass at point 125 | 31 |
| Figure 4.3.4: | Short grass 3 at point 42 | 31 |
| Figure 4.3.5: | Intermediate grass 2 at point 200 | 31 |
| Figure 4.3.6: | Intermediate grass 3 close to point 229..... | 32 |
| Figure 4.3.7: | Bushed grassland at point 274 | 32 |
| Figure 4.4.3.1: | Habitat preferences of <i>Mirafra africana</i> | 36 |
| Figure 4.4.3.2: | Habitat preferences of <i>Oenanthe pileata</i> | 37 |
| Figure 4.4.3.3: | Habitat preferences of <i>Cisticola juncidis</i> | 38 |
| Figure 4.4.4.1: | The percent cover of the habitat types found in each buffer type | 39 |
| Figure 4.4.4.2: | Influence of different buffer scales on habitat preferences of the Capped Wheatear..... | 39 |
| Figure 4.5.1: | Birds per point and per hour | 40 |
| Figure 4.5.2: | Relative abundance of bird individuals based on interpolated records of PSC at 280 points | 40 |
| Figure 4.5.3: | Diversity map of bird species based on interpolated records of PSC at 280 points..... | 41 |
| Figure 4.6.2.1: | Scree plot to determine the optimal number of clusters by using the Elbow Criterion..... | 43 |
| Figure 4.6.2.2: | Assembly dendrogram of Euclidean Distances between 50 bird species | 45 |
| Figure 4.6.3: | Principal component analysis of the habitat use | 47 |
| Figure 4.7.2.1: | Cluster analysis based exclusively on remotely sensed habitat types..... | 51 |
| Figure 4.7.2.2: | Cluster analysis based exclusively on terrestrially measured variables | 52 |
| Figure 10.3: | Electivity values | 82 |

Maps

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Map 2: | Study area and study plots | 11 |
| Map 4.1: | Grass height (average) of the study area..... | 24 |
| Map 4.2: | Percent cover of bare ground of the study area..... | 25 |
| Map 4.3: | Habitat map..... | 28 |
| Map 10.2.1: | Distribution of the Rufous-naped Lark <i>Mirafra africana</i> | 78 |
| Map 10.2.2: | Distribution of the Capped Wheatear <i>Oenanthe pileata</i> | 79 |
| Map 10.2.3: | Distribution of the Zitting Cisticola <i>Cisticola juncidis</i> | 80 |

TABLES

| | | |
|----------------|--|----|
| Table 1.3: | The main features which characterize GIS and non-GIS studies | 3 |
| Table 1.5.1: | Classification scheme of wildlife habitat studies | 5 |
| Table 1.5.3: | Census techniques in 35 analysed studies. | 6 |
| Table 2.1: | Plant species found in the four study plots..... | 12 |
| Table 3.1.1: | Periods of time PSC were conducted | 14 |
| Table 3.2: | Technical summary of Landsat 7 ETM+ sensor..... | 15 |
| Table 3.2.2: | Error matrix..... | 19 |
| Table 3.3.1: | Data of point number 155..... | 20 |
| Table 4.1.1: | Mean and maximum of two different grass height measurements taken on the Serengeti Plains | 23 |
| Table 4.1.2: | Percent cover of woody vegetation | 26 |
| Table 4.3: | Description of the habitat types..... | 29 |
| Table 4.4.2.1: | Added numbers of statistical significances | 33 |
| Table 4.4.2.2: | Number of significances found on each of the 50 bird species | 34 |
| Table 4.4.2.3: | Number of statistical significances between occurrence of environmental variables and bird species.... | 35 |
| Table 4.4.3: | Results on three bird species using PSC | 35 |
| Table 4.4.4: | The number of habitat types of different buffer sizes | 38 |
| Table 4.5: | Numbers of palaearctic and non-palaearctic bird individuals and bird species recorded at 280 points using PSC..... | 41 |
| Table 4.6.1.1: | Percent cover of habitat types of three study plots..... | 42 |
| Table 4.6.1.2: | Breeding territories and abundance of bird species on short grass habitats | 42 |
| Table 4.6.1.3: | Breeding territories and abundance of bird species on intermediate grass habitats | 43 |
| Table 4.6.3: | Component Matrix. Loadings and proportion of variance for principal components analysis of bird species for the 21 environmental variables | 46 |
| Table 4.7.1: | Statistical significances of terrestrially measured variables versus remotely sensed habitat types | 49 |
| Table 4.7.2: | Comparison of significant relationships found for each of the 50 bird species | 49 |
| Table 10.1 a: | Literature review of 35 studies (part 1)..... | 73 |
| Table 10.1 b: | Literature review of 35 studies (part 2)..... | 74 |
| Table 10.1 c: | Literature review of 35 studies (part 3)..... | 75 |
| Table 10.1 d: | Literature review of 35 studies (part 4)..... | 76 |
| Table 10.1 e: | Literature review of 35 studies (part 5)..... | 77 |
| Table 10.3.1: | Ivlev's Electivity Indices of 14 habitat types calculated for 50 bird species and for number of bird species and individuals..... | 81 |
| Table 10.3.2: | Statistical significances of occurrence on 21 environmental factors on records of 50 bird species | 88 |
| Table 10.4: | Bird species recorded using PSC | 89 |

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------|---|
| AVHRR: | Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer |
| BCP: | Bird census period |
| EI: | Electivity Index |
| ETM+: | Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus |
| GIS: | Geographic Information Systems |
| GPS: | Global Positioning System |
| NDVI: | Normalized Difference Vegetation Index |
| NOAA: | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
| PCA: | Principal component analysis |
| PSC: | Point-Stop Counts |
| RS: | Remote sensing |
| SNP: | Serengeti National Park |
| TANAPA: | Tanzania National Park authority |
| WGS: | World Geodetic System |

1. Introduction

Over 10 % (1186 species) of the bird species in the world are threatened with extinction in the near future, almost all of them due to habitat change or loss by man (BIRDLIFE INTERNATIONAL 2000). Likewise, 1130 mammals, 296 reptiles, 146 amphibians and 5611 plants have been identified as endangered species (IUCN 2000). The destruction of natural habitat is the major factor contributing to the global species extinction event (for example COLLAR and STUART 1985, GROOMBRIDGE 1992, BIBBY 1995). The increasing loss of biodiversity has centred on conducting inventories and monitoring species and habitats, especially in identifying areas of high species richness, threatened species and species of restricted or local distribution (for example COLLAR and STUART 1988, ICBP 1992, STATTERSFIELD et al. 1998, HEATH et al. 2000). In 1992 the UNCED-Conference in Rio de Janeiro pointed out the need for monitoring the environment, leading to the Convention on Biological Diversity (UNITED NATIONS 1992) and the Agenda 21. Article 7 of the Convention on Biological Diversity deals with identification and monitoring, which are to be undertaken with sampling and other techniques. New methodologies with a view to undertaking systematic sampling and evaluation of the components of biological diversity are to be developed (Article 15 b of Agenda 21).

While the number of identified threatened species has increased dramatically, a huge gap in knowledge of ecosystems and their fauna and flora remains. Distribution, status and ecology of species are mostly unknown in many countries, as is the degree they are endangered. In view of the immense unknown ecosystems in the world, a great number of which are located in developing countries, conventional survey and mapping methods cannot deliver the necessary information in a timely and cost-effective fashion. Nature conservation will require large volumes of Remote Sensing (RS) data if the quality of planning is to improve. With RS technology, we may be able to make real progress in understanding why more species occur in some places than in others and in identifying the most critical places that must be protected to preserve the maximum number of species into the 22nd century and beyond (STOMS and ESTES 1993). As current air photos are often not available, satellite images are the sole source of data for many regions of the world.

Fortunately, computer technology has improved enormously in the last years, mainly processing time, storage requirements as well as programme features and possibilities. Concurrent declining costs of computer hardware have favoured the design of new techniques for special data processing and combining remotely sensed information with other extensive data sources.

In the last 20 years Geographic Information Systems (GIS) have been widely accepted and used as a tool for a host of applications in planning processes, in storing, analysing and maintaining data. Ground survey information together with RS imagery by using GIS techniques offers a huge potential for quick identification of areas of high biodiversity.

The approach of this study is to combine the potential of bird data, GIS and satellite-based RS in view of using these components to monitor the environment. After defining the terms monitoring and habitat in chapter 1.1, chapter 1.2 to 1.4 mainly focus on the potential using GIS, bird and satellite data. Chapter 1.5 continues with a detailed literature review of previous studies, which used GIS, bird and satellite image data to focus attention on issues considered to be the most important for effective use of the three components. Therefore, the main characteristics, especially methodology, satellites image analysis, bird census, scale and accuracy requirements were analysed and compared. Chapter 1.6 focuses on weaknesses of these studies and specifies objectives for the present study corresponding to the weaknesses.

1.1. Definition of monitoring and habitat

As the two terms *monitoring* and *habitat* are widely used in this study precise definitions are given in the following.

1.1.1. Monitoring

Monitoring has become more and more important in assessing nature and its natural and human-induced changes (BISCHOFF 2000). It is a very important information-tool for decision-making in conservation policy (BISCHOFF and DRÖSCHMEISTER 2000). The term *monitoring* has been defined by several authors (for example FURNESS et al. 1993, HELLAWELL 1991, MAAS 1999, PEITHMANN 1996). DRÖSCHMEISTER (2000) favours the following definition of HELLAWELL (1991), as it is the most useful and the most unambiguous one: "Monitoring - Intermittent (regular or irregular) surveillance carried out in order to ascertain the extent of compliance with a predetermined standard or the degree of deviation from an expected norm".

HELLAWELL (1991) gives three reasons for monitoring:

1. assessing the effectiveness of policy or legislation
2. regulatory (performance or audit function)
3. detecting incipient change ('early warning').

The latter is of greatest interest to ecologists and conservationists. Further information, aims, strategies, techniques and programmes on monitoring can be found for example in BISCHOFF and DRÖSCHMEISTER (2000), BLASCHKE (1999), FURNESS and GREENWOOD (1993), GOLDSMITH (1991) and SPELLERBERG (1991).