Joachim Stolze, Dieter Suter

Quantum Computing

A Short Course from Theory to Experiment



WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA

Joachim Stolze, Dieter Suter

Quantum Computing

A Short Course from Theory to Experiment

Joachim Stolze, Dieter Suter

Quantum Computing

A Short Course from Theory to Experiment



WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA

Authors

Joachim Stolze Universität Dortmund, Institut für Physik stolze@physik.uni-dortmund.de

Dieter Suter Universität Dortmund, Institut für Physik dieter.suter@physik.uni-dortmund.de

Cover Picture

Quantum computation requires a physical basis to store the information. This is represented by the row of endohedral fullerenes (N@C60 or P@C60) that could serve as "qubits" or quantum bits. The truth table of the reversible logical operation CNOT symbolizes the quantum algorithms from which quantum computers derive their power, while the trajectory on the sphere represents how such a logic operation (in this case the Hadamard gate H) is implemented as a rotation of a spin 1/2. The background contains representations of an ancient mechanical computer and a current palmtop computer. This book was carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors and publisher do not warrant the information contained therein to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

Library of Congress Card No.: applied for British Library Cataloging-in-Publication Data:

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographie data is available in the Internet at <http://dnb.ddb.de>.

© 2004 WILEY-VCH GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

All rights reserved (including those of translation into other languages). No part of this book may be reproduced in any form – nor transmitted or translated into machine language without written permission from the publishers. Registered names, trademarks, etc. used in this book, even when not specifically marked as such, are not to be considered unprotected by law.

Printed in the Federal Republic of Germany Printed on acid-free paper

Printing Strauss Offsetdruck GmbH, Mörlenbach **Bookbinding** Großbuchbinderei J. Schäffer GmbH & Co. KG, Grünstadt

ISBN 3-527-40438-4

Contents

Preface

1	Intr	oductio	n and survey	1				
	1.1	1.1 Information, computers and quantum mechanics						
		1.1.1	Digital information	1				
		1.1.2	Moore's law	2				
		1.1.3	Emergence of quantum behavior	3				
		1.1.4	Energy dissipation in computers	4				
	1.2	Quantu	um computer basics	5				
		1.2.1	Quantum information	5				
		1.2.2	Quantum communication	6				
		1.2.3	Basics of quantum information processing	7				
		1.2.4	Decoherence	9				
		1.2.5	Implementation	9				
	1.3	History	y of quantum information processing	10				
		1.3.1	Initial ideas	10				
		1.3.2	Quantum algorithms	11				
		1.3.3	Implementations	12				
2	Phys	ysics of computation 15						
	2.1	Physic	al laws and information processing	15				
		2.1.1	Hardware representation	15				
		2.1.2	Quantum vs. classical information processing	16				
	2.2	Limita	tions on computer performance	17				
		2.2.1	Switching energy	17				
		2.2.2	Entropy generation and Maxwell's demon	18				
		2.2.3	Reversible logic	20				
		2.2.4	Reversible gates for universal computers	21				
		2.2.5	Processing speed	22				
		2.2.6	Storage density	23				
	2.3	The ult	timate laptop	23				
		2.3.1	Processing speed	23				
		2.3.2	Maximum storage density	24				

XI

3	Elements of classical computer science 27						
	3.1	Bits of	history				
	3.2	Boolea	n algebra and logic gates				
		3.2.1	Bits and gates				
		3.2.2	2-bit logic gates				
		3.2.3	Minimum set of irreversible gates				
		3.2.4	Minimum set of reversible gates				
		3.2.5	The CNOT gate				
		3.2.6	The Toffoli gate				
		3.2.7	The Fredkin gate				
	3.3	Univer	sal computers				
		3.3.1	The Turing machine				
		3.3.2	The Church–Turing hypothesis				
	3.4	Comple	exity and algorithms				
		3.4.1	Complexity classes				
		3.4.2	Hard and impossible problems				
4	Qua	ntum m	echanics 39				
	4.1	Genera	l structure				
		4.1.1	Spectral lines and stationary states				
		4.1.2	Vectors in Hilbert space				
		4.1.3	Operators in Hilbert space				
		4.1.4	Dynamics and the Hamiltonian operator				
		4.1.5	Measurements				
	4.2	Quantu	m states				
		4.2.1	The two-dimensional Hilbert space: qubits, spins, and photons 44				
		4.2.2	Hamiltonian and evolution				
		4.2.3	Two or more qubits				
		4.2.4	Density operator				
		4.2.5	Entanglement and mixing 49				
		4.2.6	Quantification of entanglement				
		4.2.7	Bloch sphere				
		4.2.8	EPR correlations				
		4.2.9	Bell's theorem				
		4.2.10	Violation of Bell's inequality				
		4.2.11	The no-cloning theorem				
	4.3	Measu	rement revisited				
		4.3.1	Quantum mechanical projection postulate				
		4.3.2	The Copenhagen interpretation				
		4.3.3	Von Neumann's model				
5	Qua	ntum bi	its and quantum gates 63				
	5.1	Single-	qubit gates				
		5.1.1	Introduction				
		5.1.2	Rotations around coordinate axes				

		5.1.3	General rotations	64
		5.1.4	Composite rotations	65
	5.2	Two-q	ubit gates	66
		5.2.1	Controlled gates	66
		5.2.2	Composite gates	67
	5.3	Unive	rsal sets of gates	69
		5.3.1	Choice of set	69
		5.3.2	Unitary operations	69
		5.3.3	Two qubit operations	70
		5.3.4	Approximating single-qubit gates	71
6	Fev	nman's	contribution	75
U	61	Simul	ating physics with computers	75
	0.1	611	Discrete system representations	75
		612	Discrete system representations	75
	62	Ouanti Ouanti	um mechanical computers	70
	0.2	6 2 1	Simple gates	77
		622	Addar aircuite	11
		6.2.2	Addet circuits	77
		6.2.5	Addar Hamiltonian	/8
		0.2.4		80
7	Erro	ors and	decoherence	83
	7.1	Motiva	ation	83
		7.1.1	Sources of error	83
		7.1.2	A counterstrategy	84
	7.2	Decoh	erence	84
		7.2.1	Phenomenology	84
		7.2.2	Semiclassical description	85
		7.2.3	Ouantum mechanical model	87
		7.2.4	Entanglement and mixing	88
	7.3	Error c	correction	90
		7.3.1	Basics	90
		7.3.2	Classical error correction	90
		7.3.3	Ouantum error correction	91
		7.3.4	Single spin-flip error	92
		7.3.5	Continuous phase errors	93
		7.3.6	General single cubit errors	94
		7.3.7	The quantum Zeno effect	95
		7.3.8	Stabilizer codes	98
		7.3.9	Fault-tolerant computing	90
	7.4	Avoidi	ng errors	100
		7.4.1	Basics	100
		7.4.2	Decoherence-free subspaces	101
		743	NMR in Liquids	101
		744	Scaling considerations	104
		7.4.4		104

8	Task	s for qu	uantum computers	105
	8.1	Quanti	um versus classical algorithms	105
		8.1.1	Why Quantum?	105
		8.1.2	Classes of quantum algorithms	106
	8.2	The De	eutsch algorithm: Looking at both sides of a coin at the same time	107
		8.2.1	Functions and their properties	107
		8.2.2	Example: one-qubit functions	107
		8.2.3	Evaluation	108
		8.2.4	Many qubits	109
		8.2.5	Extensions and generalizations	111
	8.3	The Sh	nor algorithm: It's prime time	111
		8.3.1	Some number theory	112
		8.3.2	Factoring strategy	113
		8.3.3	The core of Shor's algorithm	114
		8.3.4	The quantum Fourier transform	117
		8.3.5	Gates for the QFT	120
	8.4	The G	rover algorithm: Looking for a needle in a haystack	121
		8.4.1	Oracle functions	122
		8.4.2	The search algorithm	123
		8.4.3	Geometrical analysis	124
		8.4.4	Quantum counting	126
		8.4.5	Phase estimation	126
	8.5	Quanti	um simulations	129
		8.5.1	Potential and limitations	129
		8.5.2	Motivation	129
		8.5.3	Simulated evolution	130
		8.5.4	Implementations	131
0	u	to buil	ld a quantum computer	122
,	0.1		opents	122
	9.1	0.1.1	The network model	133
		9.1.1	Some existing and proposed implementations	133
	02	Paquir	raments for quantum information processing hardware	125
	9.2	0.2.1	Oubite	135
		9.2.1	Quarts	135
		9.2.2		130
		9.2.3		130
		9.2.4	Quantum gates	1.37
	0.2	9.2.5 Convo	rting quantum to classical information	120
	9.0	0.2.1	Dring quantum to classical information	139
		9.3.1	Frample: Deutech Joses algorithm	1.39
		9.5.2	Example. Deutsch=JOZSa algorithill	140
		7.J.J 0 2 1	Dependent of conclutions	141
	0 4	9.3.4 Altern	repeated measurements	141
	9.4	Altern	Linear ontice and measurements	142
		7.4.1		142

		9.4.2	Quantum cellular automata	143
		9.4.3	One-way quantum computer	143
	. .			
10	Liqu	id state	e NMR quantum computer	145
	10.1	Basics	s of NMR	145
		10.1.1	System and interactions	145
		10.1.2	Radio frequency field	146
		10.1.3	Rotating frame	147
		10.1.4	Equation of motion	148
		10.1.5	Evolution	149
		10.1.6	NMR signals	150
		10.1.7	Refocusing	152
	10.2	NMR a	as a molecular quantum computer	154
		10.2.1	Spins as qubits	154
		10.2.2	Coupled spin systems	155
		10.2.3	Pseudo / effective pure states	156
		10.2.4	Single-qubit gates	158
		10.2.5	Two-qubit gates	159
		10.2.6	Readout	161
		10.2.7	Readout in multi-spin systems	162
		10.2.8	Quantum state tomography	164
		10.2.9	DiVincenzo's criteria	165
	10.3	NMR I	Implementation of Shor's algorithm	166
		10.3.1	Oubit implementation	166
		10.3.2	Initialization	167
		10.3.3	Computation	168
		10.3.4	Readout	169
		10.3.5	Decoherence	171
				• / •
11	Ion t	rap qua	antum computers	173
	11.1	Trappia	ng ions	173
		11.1.1	Ions, traps and light	173
		11.1.2	Linear traps	174
	11.2	Interac	tion with light	175
		11.2.1	Optical transitions	176
		11.2.2	Motional effects	176
		11.2.3	Basics of laser cooling	177
	11.3	Quantu	im information processing with trapped ions	179
		11.3.1	Qubits	179
		11.3.2	Single-qubit gates .	181
		11.3.3	Two-oubit gates	182
		11.3.4	Readout	183
	11.4	Experir	mental implementations	185
		11 4 1	Systems	185
		1142	Some results	185
		11/12	Prohleme	100
		11.4.7		10/

12 Solid state quantum computers	189			
12.1 Solid state NMR/EPR	189			
12.1.1 Scaling behavior of NMR quantum information processors	189			
$12.1.2^{-31}$ P in silicon	190			
12.1.3 Other proposals	191			
12.1.4 Single-spin readout	192			
12.2 Superconducting systems	193			
12.2.1 Charge qubits	193			
12.2.2 Flux qubits	195			
12.2.3 Gate operations	196			
12.2.4 Readout	196			
12.3 Semiconductor qubits	197			
12.3.1 Materials	197			
12.3.2 Excitons in quantum dots	199			
12.3.3 Electron spin qubits	199			
13 Quantum communication	201			
13 1 "Ouentum only" tasks				
13.1.1 Quantum teleportation	201			
13.1.2 (Super-) Dense coding				
13.1.2 (huper) Bense county 11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1				
13.2. Information theory	207			
13.2 1 A few bits of classical information theory	208			
13.2.2. A few bits of quantum information theory	214			
Appendix				
A Two spins-1/2: Singlet and triplet states	221			
B Symbols and abbreviations	223			
Bibliography				
Index				

Preface

During the past decade the field of quantum information processing has experienced extremely rapid progress. Many physicists and computer scientists have become interested in this exciting new field, and research activities were started in many places, including the University of Dortmund, where several groups from experimental and theoretical condensed-matter physics and from computer science, joined forces in a program called "Materials and methods for quantum information processing". Since that program involved graduate students from several countries, and with different scientific backgrounds, we decided to teach an introductory course on the fundamentals of quantum information processing. The idea was to provide the graduate students working on highly specialized research projects in, for example, magnetic resonance, semiconductor spectroscopy, or genetic algorithms, with a common language and background connecting their areas of research. In that course we tried to discuss on equal footing both theoretical foundations and experimental opportunities and limitations. The present book contains the material presented in our course, in an edited and slightly updated form.

We are well aware of the existence of a number of excellent books and courses relevant to our subject. Nevertheless, we feel that a compact introduction to both theory and experiment aimed at advanced students of physics is still lacking. We assume that our readers have a reasonably good background in physics, notably in quantum mechanics, plus some knowledge in introductory statistical mechanics and solid-state physics. We did not attempt to make our book self-contained by explaining every concept which is needed only occasionally. We do hope, however, that we have succeeded in explaining the basic concepts from quantum mechanics and computer science which are used throughout the book and the whole field of quantum computing and quantum communication.

We are grateful to the students who attended our course or participated in a seminar based partly on the course material. Their questions and comments were helpful in shaping the material. Of course all errors and inaccuracies (which are present, no doubt) are entirely our own responsibility. We would like to express our thanks to many colleagues for many things: to Bernd Burghardt for LATEX help, to Hajo Leschke for clarifying remarks, to Heinz Schuster and Claudius Gros for encouragement, to Michael Bortz, Hellmut Keiter (who fought his way through the entire manuscript when it was still in an intermediate state), and André Leier for reading parts of the manuscript, and to André Leier for also supplying material on quantum error correction.

Joachim Stolze and Dieter Suter

Dortmund, March, 2004

1 Introduction and survey

1.1 Information, computers and quantum mechanics

1.1.1 Digital information

Storage, interchange and processing of information is a defining feature of human culture as well as the basis of our economic system. Over the last fifty years, all these processes have undergone dramatic changes, driven by the evolution of microelectronics technology. The increasing availability of cheap storage, fast processors and global telecommunication (including the Internet) has prompted a shift from a number of different conventional techniques used to store, process and transmit information, which used different, mostly analog techniques, to those which use all-digital forms of representing information.

This convergence of technologies has also eased the connection between storage, processing and communication and made the most of the ongoing processes transparent or invisible to the person who is actually using them. A search for a picture over an Internet search engine, e.g., which typically involves typing a few words and results in a long list of "hits", involves all three types of processes mentioned several times:

- The computer on which the person works interprets the input and uses its locally stored information to decide what action it has to take.
- It communicates with routers to obtain the address of the search engine.
- It sends the request over the Internet to the search engine. The transfer of information over the Internet involves multiple steps of processing and using stored information about connections at all nodes.
- The search engine receives the request and compares the keywords to those stored in its files.
- It uses stored rules to rank the hits.
- The result is sent back over the Internet.
- The workstation receives the information and uses stored information to display the information.

Each of these steps can be further subdivided into smaller steps that may again include different types of actions on the information being exchanged between many different parties (most of them electronic circuits). These fundamental changes of the way in which information is represented and processed have simultaneously changed the way in which we use information. One consequence is that, very often, information can no longer be localized or associated with a specific physical device. While hand-written notes represented unique instances of the pertinent information, every electronic mail is stored (at least temporarily) on many different computers. It is therefore not only available for later retrieval by the person who wrote it, but also to many others like system managers, hackers, or government agencies.

Most users of digital information experience the paradigm shift from conventional forms of information representation to a unified digital form as an exciting possibility for improved communication, easier access to vital information and additional choices for entertainment. This attitude has driven the growth of the microelectronics industry over the last decades and is likely to remain an important economic force for the foreseeable future.

At the same time, the global availability of information and the difficulty of controlling one's personal data have prompted concerns about maintaining privacy. The emerging field of quantum information processing holds promises that are relevant for both issues, the further evolution of microelectronics as well as the concerns about privacy. This field, which combines approaches from physics, mathematics, and computer science, differs from conventional approaches by taking into account the quantum mechanical nature of the physical devices that store and process the information. In this monograph, we concentrate on the aspect of "quantum computers", which refers to machines built on the basis of explicitly quantum mechanical systems and designed to process information in a way that is much more efficient than conventional computers. While it is still unclear at what time (and if ever) such computers will be more powerful than classical computers, it is quite clear that at least some of the underlying physics will be incorporated into future generations of information processing hardware. The related field of quantum communication, which promises to deliver ways of exchanging information that cannot be tapped by any eavesdropper, will only be mentioned here briefly.

1.1.2 Moore's law

The evolution of micro- and optoelectronic devices and the associated digitization of information has relied on improvements in the fabrication of semiconductors that have led to ever smaller and faster components. The decrease in size, in particular, has allowed more components to be packed onto a chip, thus making them more powerful by integrating more functions. Simultaneously, the decrease in size is a prerequisite for making faster devices, as long as they rely on a fixed, systemwide clock. As early as 1965, Gordon Moore noticed that the number of components that could be placed on a chip had grown exponentially over many years, while the feature size had shrunk at a similar rate [Moo65]. This trend continued over the next forty years and is expected to do so for the foreseeable future.

Figure 1.1 shows the current expectations: it represents the projections that the semiconductor industry association makes for the coming decade. As shown in Fig. 1.1, the feature size of electronic devices is now in the range of 100 nm and decreasing at a rate of some 12% per year. According to this roadmap, feature sizes of 50 nm will be reached in the year 2013.

This trend could in principle continue for another forty years before the ultimate limit is reached, which corresponds to the size of an atom. Much before this ultimate limit, however, the feature size will become smaller than some less well defined limit, where the electrons that



Figure 1.1: Prospective evolution of feature size in microelectronic circuits (source: international semiconductor association roadmap).

do the work in the semiconductor devices, will start to show that their behavior is governed by quantum mechanics, rather than the classical physical laws that are currently used to describe their behavior.

1.1.3 Emergence of quantum behavior

The reduction of feature size also implies a decrease in operation voltage, since the internal fields would otherwise exceed the breakthrough fields of all available materials. Within the next ten years, the operational voltage is expected to decrease to less than one Volt. The capacitance of a spherical capacitor is $C = 4\pi\epsilon_0 r$. For a spherical capacitor with radius 50 nm, the capacitance is therefore of the order of $5 \cdot 10^{-18}$ F. A change in the voltage of 0.1 V will then move less than four electrons in such a device, again making quantization effects noticeable. While the capacitance of real capacitors is higher, the number of electrons stored in a memory cell will become a small integer number in the near future, again bringing quantum physics into play.

Classical physics is an approximation of the more fundamental laws of quantum mechanics, which represents a useful approximation in many fields of engineering. Quantum mechanics is required in order to understand the properties of semiconductors, such as current – voltage curves of diodes, from their microscopic structure. Once these properties are established, however, it becomes possible to describe the operation of semiconductor devices on the basis of the classical theory of electrodynamics.

This classical description of the operation of semiconductor devices will become impossible when the feature size reaches the coherence length. This quantity depends on the details of the material, the processing and the temperature at which the device operates, but typically is in the range of a few nanometers to some tens of nanometers.

Figure 1.2 shows how the transition to the quantum regime will change the way in which typical electronic devices operate. Capacitors, which are present in many electronic circuits, exhibit a direct proportionality between applied voltage and stored charge in all classical de-



Figure 1.2: Current/voltage characteristics of classical capacitor (left) and its analog in the quantum regime, where individual electrons can or cannot enter the device.

vices. When the capacitance becomes small enough, the transfer of a single electron will change the potential of the capacitor by a large enough amount that it takes a significantly larger voltage to transfer additional charges.

This makes it obvious that the progress that we have today will soon lead to a situation where it is no longer possible to describe the flow of electricity as a classical current. While a classical device, such as the workhorse FET, requires a continuous relationship between current and voltage, this will no longer be the case in the quantum mechanical regime, as experimental prototypes clearly show.

1.1.4 Energy dissipation in computers

Possibly even more impressive than the reduction in feature size over time is a corresponding trend in the energy dissipated in a logical step. Over the last fifty years, this number has decreased by more than ten orders of magnitude, again following an exponential time dependence. A straightforward extrapolation shows that this trend would decrease the dissipated energy to less than $k_B T$ (at room temperature) in little more than ten years. This amount was long taken as the minimum that any working switch would have to dissipate. If this were the case, it would definitely put an end to the increase in packing density and speed of microelectronics, which would otherwise become too hot to operate.

While it is now known that there is no principal limit to the amount of energy that is dissipated during a logical step, it is clear that devices that operate below the k_BT limit must function differently, using so-called reversible logic, rather than the usual Boolean logic. Interestingly enough, devices that operate by the laws of quantum mechanics are inherently reversible. The two trends – reduction of dissipated power and reduction of size – therefore appear to converge towards devices that use quantum mechanics for their operation.

While the limitations that force the use of quantum devices in the future may appear as a nuisance to many engineers, they also represent an enormous potential, since these future devices may be much more powerful than conventional (classical) devices. They can implement

all the algorithms that run on today's classical computers, but in addition, they also can be used to implement a different class of algorithms, which explicitly use the quantum mechanical nature of the device. A few such quantum algorithms have been designed to solve specific problems that cannot be solved efficiently on classical computers. While many questions remain unanswered concerning the feasibility of building devices that fulfill all the stringent requirements for a useful quantum computer, the possibilities offered by this emerging technology have generated a lot of attention, even outside the scientific community.

1.2 Quantum computer basics

1.2.1 Quantum information

We discuss here exclusively digital representations of information. Classically, information is then encoded in a sequence of bits, i.e., entities which can be in two distinguishable states, which are conventionally labeled with 0 and 1. In electronic devices, these states are encoded by voltages, whose values vary with the technological basis of the implementation (e.g. TTL: $0 \sim \text{low}$ is represented by voltages < 0.8 V and 1 \sim high by voltages > 2.4 V).



Figure 1.3: Representation of information in a classical computer (left) vs. quantum computer (center). The spin 1/2 (right) is the prototypical example of a qubit.

The same principle applies to quantum systems that represent information: to represent a single bit of information, two distinguishable states of the system are needed. "Distinguishable" means, in a quantum system, that the two states must differ in some quantum numbers, i.e., they must be different eigenstates of at least one operator. A typical example is a spin 1/2, which has two possible states. Another example is a photon, which can be polarized either vertically or horizontally. One of these states is identified with the logical value 0 (or false), the other with the value 1 (or true).

The main difference between quantum mechanical and classical information is that, in the quantum mechanical case, the system is not necessarily in the state 0 or 1. Instead it can be in an arbitrary superposition (linear combination) of these states. To emphasize this difference between quantum and classical bits, the term "qubit" (short for quantum bit) has been adopted for the quantum mechanical unit of information.

The power of quantum computers is directly related to this possibility of creating superpositions of states and applying logical operations to them: this allows one to perform many operations in parallel. A system consisting of N qubits has 2^N mutually orthogonal basis states, and it is possible to bring such a system into a state that is a superposition of all these basis states. Logical operations such as multiplications can then be applied to this superposition. In a sense to be discussed later, such a transformation is equivalent to transforming all the states in parallel, i.e., performing 2^N operations in parallel.

Becoming slightly more formal, we find that the information, which is encoded in a quantum mechanical system (or quantum register), is described by a vector in Hilbert space. For the simplest case of a single qubit, the state is $|\psi\rangle = a|\psi_0\rangle + b|\psi_1\rangle$. The two parameters a and b are both complex numbers. Taking normalization into account, the system is therefore described by three continuous variables.

The fact that the state is described by three continuous variables does not imply that a single qubit can store an infinite amount of information. To obtain the information content, one has to take the measurement process, which retrieves the information, into account: it is never possible to measure exactly the quantum state of a single photon. A single measurement (more precisely: an ideal quantum mechanical measurement as postulated by von Neumann) can only measure one degree of freedom and returns a single bit (particle found or not).

A complete measurement of the state of a single qubit would thus require repeated measurements, which were possible if one could prepare copies of the actual quantum mechanical state. However, this is prohibited by the "no-cloning theorem", which states that no process can duplicate the exact quantum state of a single particle. While the details of the calculation are rather involved, it is possible to show that a single quantum mechanical two-level system can transfer up to two classical bits of information. Without a complete analysis, this can be rationalized by the consideration that we can make two independent measurements on a photon, corresponding, e.g., to the measurement of the polarization horizontal/vertical or at ± 45 degrees.

1.2.2 Quantum communication

One of the most active areas of quantum information processing is quantum communication, i.e., the transfer of information encoded in quantum mechanical degrees of freedom. This is typically done by encoding the information in photons. Semiclassically, a photon can carry a bit: it can be transmitted or not, thus corresponding to a logical 0 or 1. Other encoding schemes include the polarization of the photon, which may be vertical or horizontal.

Quantum communication has evolved into a very active field. Besides its fundamental interest, it promises a number of possible applications: taking quantum mechanics into account may improve the information content of communication channels: as discussed above, a photon qubit can transmit up to two classical bits of information. In addition, it has been shown that communication with individual photons may be made secure, i.e., it is impossible to tap into such a communication without the users of the communication line noticing it. This is a consequence of the no-cloning theorem: While it is conceivable that an eavesdropper intercepts a photon, thus detecting that information is being transferred, and that he subsequently re-emits a similar photon to the original receiver, he cannot send an exact copy of the original photon. This necessarily allows the two partners who are trying to establish a secure communication to realize that their communication is being monitored – not for individual photons, but from a statistical analysis of the successfully transmitted photons. This is not automatic, however. If the communication protocol were to use only the presence or absence of the photon as the information, the eavesdropper would be able to use QND (=quantum nondemolition detection) to observe the passage of the photon. Such experimental schemes can measure a given quantum mechanical variable (such as the light intensity) without affecting this variable (i.e., changing the number of photons). Heisenberg's principle requires, however, that such a measurement affects the conjugate variable, in this example the phase of the photon.

The two partners can use this fact to make the communication protocol secure. A typical protocol requires one of the two partners (typically called Alice) to send a stream of photons to the second partner (typically called Bob), which are entangled with a second set of photons, which Alice keeps. The two partners then make a measurement of the polarization of these photons, switching the axes of their polarizers randomly between two predetermined positions. If the photon pairs are originally in a singlet state, each partner knows then the result of the other partner's measurements provided that they used the same axis of the polarizer. They can therefore generate a common secret string of bits by exchanging through a public channel (e.g., a radio transmission) the orientation of the polarizer that they used for their measurements (but not the results of their measurements). They can then eliminate those measurements where only one partner detected a photon as well as those for which the orientation of their polarizers were different. Assuming an ideal system, the remaining measurement results are then exactly anti-correlated. If an eavesdropper (usually called Eve) tried to listen in on their communication, her measurements would inevitably affect the transmitted data. A statistical analysis of the measurement results obtained by Alice and Bob, for which they publicly exchange a fraction of their bits, would then reveal the presence of the eavesdropper. This scheme has been tested successfully in a number of experiments by using optical fibers or beams through free space.

1.2.3 Basics of quantum information processing

A quantum computer, i.e., a programmable quantum information processing device, encodes the information in the form of a quantum register, consisting of a labeled series of qubits. Each qubit is represented by a quantum mechanical two-level system, such as a spin-1/2 and can therefore be described by the spinor

$$|\psi\rangle = c_0|0\rangle + c_1|1\rangle. \tag{1.1}$$

The total collection of qubits is called a quantum register. Its state is written as

$$|\psi\rangle^{\text{reg}} = c_0|0, 0, 0..0\rangle + c_1|0, 0, 0..1\rangle + c_2|0, 0, 0..1, 0\rangle + \dots$$
(1.2)

While today's quantum registers are limited to 7 qubits, a useful quantum computer will require several hundred to 1000 qubits.

Before an actual computation can be initiated, the quantum register must be initialized into a well defined state, typically the quantum mechanical ground state |0, 0, ...0 >. This operation is non-unitary, since it must bring the system into one specific state, independent of the state in which it starts. The initialization is therefore a non-reversible process that must include dissipation.

control-qubit	target-qubit	result
0	0	00
0	1	01
1	0	11
1	1	10

Table 1.1: Truth table of CNOT gate.

The actual information processing occurs through the operation of quantum gates, i.e., transformations that operate on the quantum register and correspond to logical operations:

$$|\psi_0\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_1} |\psi_1\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_2} |\psi_2\rangle \cdots$$
(1.3)

The sequence of quantum gates is determined by the specific algorithm to be implemented. The program that specifies this sequence may be stored in a classical device associated with the quantum computer, such as a classical computer.

Like any change in a quantum mechanical system, logical operations are driven by a suitable Hamiltonian acting on the state that represents the quantum register. It is in most cases difficult to find a Hamiltonian that directly performs the desired transformation, such as the decomposition of an integer into its prime factors. Instead, the total transformation is usually split into elementary logical operations that transform a single bit of information or connect two bits by operating on one bit in a way that depends on the state of the other bit. It turns out that all possible logical operations can be decomposed into a small group of elementary operations:

- single qubit operations, corresponding to arbitrary rotations of the spinor representing the qubit and
- one type of 2-qubit operations, e.g., the "controlled NOT" or CNOT.

A quantum computer implementation that can perform arbitrary calculations must therefore implement these two types of operations. Particularly critical are the two-qubit operations, since they require interactions between thee qubits. A typical operation is the CNOT gate, whose truth table is shown in Table 1.1: this particular gate has two inputs and two outputs. If the control bit is zero, it simply passes both bits to the output. If the control bit is one, it passes the control bit through unchanged, but inverts the target bit.

The 2-qubit operations must also be applied to arbitrary pairs of qubits. It is possible, however, to decompose a 2-qubit operation between any pair into a series of 2-qubit operations between nearest neighbors. Such schemes are often much easier to implement than schemes with interactions between arbitrary pairs. The number of 2-qubit operations is larger, but increases only linearly with the number of qubits. The overall process therefore remains efficient. Implementing 2-qubit gates always requires a coupling between the qubits on which the gate operates. How this coupling is implemented depends on the details of the physical system.

1.2.4 Decoherence

Possibly the biggest obstacle to overcome when one tries to build a quantum computer is decoherence. This term summarizes all processes that contribute to a decay of the information coded in the quantum register. As we have stressed above, quantum computers derive their power from the possibility of performing logical operations on a large number of states simultaneously, which have been combined into a superposition state. If the relative phase between these states slips, the result of the operation will effectively become associated with the wrong input, thereby destroying the information. As the number of qubits in the quantum register increases, the processing power increases, but at the same time the quantum information becomes more fragile.

The biggest contribution to decoherence is usually dephasing. In a simple picture, dephasing occurs when the energy difference between the two states representing the qubit fluctuates. As a result, the relative phase of the superposition state acquires an additional phase proportional to the energy change.

The effect of such a dephasing as well as other decoherence processes is a loss of information in the system. Since it is highly unlikely that any system will be able to successfully complete a useful quantum information processing algorithm before decoherence becomes noticeable, it is vital to develop strategies that eliminate or reduce the effect of decoherence. One possibility that is pursued actively, is to apply quantum error corrections. Basically these processes use coding of quantum information in additional qubits. Algorithms have been developed for using these additional qubits to check for and eliminate various types of errors.

1.2.5 Implementation

To actually build a quantum computer, a suitable physical system has to be identified and the associated controls must be put in place. We give here a brief overview of the conditions that all implementations must fulfill and discuss some issues that help in identifying suitable systems.

The quantum information is stored in a register. Any implementation therefore has to define a quantum mechanical system that provides the quantum register containing N qubits. For a "useful" quantum computer, N should be at least 400, or preferably 1000; limitations on the number N of identifiable qubits will therefore be an important consideration.



Figure 1.4: Principle of operation of quantum processors.

These qubits must be initialized into a well defined state, typically into a ground state $|0\rangle$. This is necessarily a dissipative process. Implementations must therefore provide a suitable mechanism for initialization. The implementation must then provide a mechanism for applying computational steps to the quantum register. Each of these steps consists of a unitary operation $e^{-i\mathcal{H}_i\tau_i}$ defined by a Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_i that is applied for a time τ_i . The Hamiltonian must act on specific qubits and pairs of qubits by applying electromagnetic fields. The quantum computer must therefore contain mechanisms for generating these fields in a well controlled manner. After the last processing step, the resulting state of the quantum register must be determined, i.e., the result of the computation must be read out. This would typically correspond to an ideal quantum mechanical measurement, i.e., the projection onto an eigenstate of the corresponding observable. Readout has to be done on each qubit separately.

A number of different systems have been considered for implementing quantum information processors. The obvious connection between qubits and spins 1/2 as two-level systems suggests using spin systems for storing the quantum information. Their advantage is not only the easy mapping scheme from bits of information to their state space, but also an excellent degree of isolation of the spin degrees of freedom from their environment, which provides long decoherence times. Unfortunately, the weakness of this coupling also makes it difficult to read out the result of a computation from the quantum register. Spins have therefore not been used as individual entities so far, but only in bulk form: liquid state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), which forms the basis for the most advanced quantum computers so far uses typically 10²⁰ identical molecules to implement a quantum register. The advantage of this scheme is a relatively straightforward implementation of gate operations, the main disadvantage is that such "ensemble" quantum computers are difficult to scale to large numbers of qubits.

Another physical system that is relatively well isolated from its environment is a system of atomic ions stored in electromagnetic traps. Storing information in these systems is less straightforward, since the number of states accessible to each ion is infinite and the interactions are harder to control with sufficient precision. The main advantage of trapped ions may be that it is relatively easy to read out the result from individual ions.

While NMR and ion traps are the only implementations available to date, a significant amount of research is directed towards solid-state implementations, which may be easier to scale to larger numbers of qubits. Their main difficulty is the much faster decoherence processes and the difficulty in manufacturing such small structures in a reproducible way.

1.3 History of quantum information processing

1.3.1 Initial ideas

Quantum information processing has deep roots that are almost as old as quantum mechanics itself. If we believe that quantum mechanics is the fundamental physical theory that lets us derive properties of all materials, it should also be the basis for the description of any computer. However, in most cases, classical mechanics (and optics, electrodynamics etc.) are excellent approximations to the underlying quantum theory and perfectly adequate for the description of the operation of computational machinery.

The more relevant question is therefore, what happens when the physical basis for the computer is an explicitly quantum system for whose description the classical approximation fails. Explicit discussions on this possibility essentially started in 1982, when Benioff showed how the time dependence of quantum systems could be used to efficiently simulate classical computers operating according to Boolean logic [Ben82].

In the same year, Richard Feynman asked the opposite question: Can classical computers efficiently simulate quantum mechanical systems [Fey82]. He noted that the number of variables required to describe the system grows exponentially with its size. As an example, consider a system of N spins-1/2. The size of the corresponding Hilbert space is 2^N and a specification of its wavefunction therefore requires $2 \cdot 2^N - 1$ real numbers. Any computer trying to simulate the evolution of such a system therefore must keep track of 2^N complex numbers. Even for a few hundred particles, 2^N exceeds the number of atoms in the universe and therefore the memory of any conceivable computer that stores these variables in bit sequences. At the same time, the time required to run a simulation grows exponentially with the number of particles in the quantum system. Feynman concluded that classical computers will never be able to exactly simulate quantum mechanical systems containing more than just a few particles. Of course, these considerations only take the general case into account. If the particles (or at least the majority) do not interact, e.g., it is always possible to perform the computation in a smaller Hilbert space, thus reducing the computational requirements qualitatively.

After stating the problem, Feynman immediately offered a solution: "Quantum computers – universal quantum simulators". He showed that the drastic increase in the storage requirements and the computation time can be viewed as a consequence of the large amount of information that is present in the quantum mechanical system. The consideration that quantum systems effectively simulate themselves may then be taken as an indication that they are efficient processors of information. He stated "I therefore believe it is true that with a suitable class of quantum machines you could imitate any quantum system, including the physical world." As an open question he asked which systems could actually be simulated and where such simulations would be useful.

A first proof of this conjecture was given in 1993 by Bernstein and Vazirani [BV93]. They showed that a quantum mechanical Turing machine is capable of simulating other quantum mechanical systems in polynomial time. This implied that quantum computers are more powerful than classical computers. This was a proof of principle, but no example was given for such a procedure, i.e., no algorithm was yet known that would run more efficiently on a quantum computer than on a classical computer.

1.3.2 Quantum algorithms

Such algorithms, which require a quantum computer, are called "quantum algorithms". The first quantum algorithm that can run faster on a quantum computer than on any classical computer was put forward by Deutsch in 1985 [Deu85] and generalized by Deutsch and Jozsa in 1992 ([DJ92]]. The problem they solved – deciding if all possible results of a function are either identical or equally distributed between two values – had little practical relevance.

A very useful algorithm was developed in 1994 by Coppersmith [Cop94]: he showed how the Fourier transform can be implemented efficiently on a quantum computer. The Fourier transform has a wide range of applications in physics and mathematics. In particular it is also used in number theory for factoring large numbers. The best known application of the quantum Fourier transform is the factoring algorithm that Peter Shor published in 1994 [Sho94]. Factoring larger numbers is not only of interest for number theory, but also has significant impact on the security of digital data transmission: The most popular cryptographic systems rely on the difficulty of factoring large numbers.

The best classical algorithms for factorization of an l digit number use a time that grows as $\exp(cl^{(1/3)}(\log l)^{(2/3)})$, i.e., exponentially with the number of digits. Shor proposed a model for quantum computation and an algorithm that solves the factorization problem in a time proportional to $O(l^2 \log l \log \log l)$, i.e., polynomially in the number of digits. This is a qualitative difference: polynomial-time algorithms are considered "efficient", while exponential algorithms are not usable for large systems. The different behavior implies that for a sufficiently large number, a quantum computer will always finish the factorization faster than a classical computer, even if the classical computer runs on a much faster clock.



Figure 1.5: Time required for classical factorization algorithm vs. quantum algorithm.

We illustrate this by a numerical example. We will assume that a fast classical computer can factorize a 50 digit number in one second, while the quantum computer may take as much as an hour for the same operation. If the number of digits increases to 300, both computers require some 2.5 days to solve the problem, as shown in figure 1.5. A further increase to 1000 digits requires 42 days on the quantum computer, while the classical computer would need some 19000 years – clearly too long for any practical purposes. With 2000 digits, the quantum computer needs half a year, while the computation time on the classical computer becomes roughly equal to the age of the universe.

1.3.3 Implementations

A quantum mechanical system that can be used as an information processing device must meet a number of rather restrictive conditions, including:

- It must be possible to initialize the system into a well-defined quantum state.
- It must be possible to apply unitary operations to each individual two-level system that serves as a qubit.
- It must be possible to apply unitary operations to some pairs of qubits.