


FRACTURES OF THE FACIAL SKELETON

second
edition



MICHAEL PERRY
ANDREW BROWN
PETER BANKS

WILEY Blackwell

Fractures of the facial skeleton

Fractures of the facial skeleton

Michael Perry

Consultant Maxillofacial Surgeon, London North West Healthcare Regional Maxillofacial Unit and Regional Trauma Centre (Northwick Park Hospital, Harrow and St Mary's Hospital, London, UK)

Andrew Brown

Honorary Consultant Maxillofacial Surgeon, Regional Maxillofacial Unit, Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead, UK

Peter Banks

Honorary Consultant Maxillofacial Surgeon, Regional Maxillofacial Unit, Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead, UK

SECOND EDITION

WILEY Blackwell

This edition first published 2015 © 2015 by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Registered office: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial offices: 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK
The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK
1606 Golden Aspen Drive, Suites 103 and 104, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

The contents of this work are intended to further general scientific research, understanding, and discussion only and are not intended and should not be relied upon as recommending or promoting a specific method, diagnosis, or treatment by health science practitioners for any particular patient. The publisher and the author make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of fitness for a particular purpose. In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of medicines, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each medicine, equipment, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. Readers should consult with a specialist where appropriate. The fact that an organization or Website is referred to in this work as a citation and/or a potential source of further information does not mean that the author or the publisher endorses the information the organization or Website may provide or recommendations it may make. Further, readers should be aware that Internet Websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. No warranty may be created or extended by any promotional statements for this work. Neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for any damages arising herefrom.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Perry, Michael (Surgeon) author.

Fractures of the facial skeleton / Michael Perry, Andrew Brown, Peter Banks. – 2nd edition.

p. ; cm.

Preceded by: Fractures of the facial skeleton / Peter Banks and Andrew Brown. 2001.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-119-96766-8 (pbk. : alk. paper)

I. Brown, Andrew (Andrew K.), author. II. Banks, Peter, 1936- , author. III. Banks, Peter, 1936- .

Fractures of the facial skeleton. Preceded by (work): IV. Title.

[DNLM: 1. Facial Bones—injuries. 2. Skull Fractures. 3. Facial Injuries—therapy. 4. Fracture

Fixation. WE 706]

RD523

617.5'2044—dc23

2015006389

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Cover credit: Image courtesy of Michael Perry

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Typeset in 8.5/12pt MeridienLTStd by Laserwords Private Limited, Chennai, India

Contents

Preface, vii

Acknowledgements, ix

- 1** Facial trauma: incidence, aetiology and principles of treatment, 1
- 2** Emergency management of facial trauma, 9
- 3** Clinical features of facial fractures, 23
- 4** Imaging, 51
- 5** Treatment of dentoalveolar injuries, 60
- 6** Treatment of fractures of the mandible, 69
- 7** Treatment of fractures of the midface and upper face, 97
- 8** Soft tissue injuries and fractures associated with tissue loss, 127
- 9** Postoperative care, 139
- 10** Complications, 148

Index, 161

Preface

It is now more than a decade since the first edition of this book was published and its popularity has justified several reprints. The original concept was to have a small book that was not simply an exam orientated text for postgraduate students in maxillofacial surgery. That concept bears repetition: to summarize what is accepted and well known while providing detailed debate in areas where controversy remains. The then authors hoped it would appeal to all surgical specialties involved in facial trauma to further accurate diagnosis and an understanding of the principles of management. This new edition has expanded the section on general trauma management and the place of maxillofacial injuries within that spectrum. To that end there is now a third author with wide experience in this field.

The development and improvement in maxillofacial trauma management in recent years is hugely related to advances in imaging. Surgical techniques, however,

have not undergone equivalent dramatic change and in some cases promising ideas and materials have not proved as useful as expected. On the credit side, however, the overall functional and cosmetic outcome for injuries that involve the dentition has advanced as a result of implant technology.

This edition still contains brief descriptions of a few techniques that may only be regularly employed in those parts of the world where easy access to plating equipment continues to be limited. Nevertheless, there are some methods previously in common use which are now clearly obsolete; any mention of them in this revised text is solely to show their limitations or where an historical comparison appeared useful.

Although this book is first and foremost about the management of fractures of the facial skeleton and the dentition, the subject is impossible to divorce from associated soft tissue injury and these sections have been expanded without attempting to be comprehensive.

Acknowledgements

A number of figures are taken from *Atlas of Operative Maxillofacial Trauma Surgery*. Michael Perry and Simon Holmes (Eds): Springer; 2014, and reproduced with kind permission.

Figures 7.10 a–e have been kindly provided by Kenneth Sneddon, Consultant Maxillofacial Surgeon, Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead and illustrate a case operated on by him.

Figure 7.18 has been kindly provided by Jeremy Collyer, Consultant Maxillofacial Surgeon, Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead and are pre-operative images of a patient under his care.

Figures 8.4, 8.5, 8.6 and 8.8 have been kindly provided by Malcolm Cameron, Consultant Maxillofacial Surgeon, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge.

CHAPTER 1

Facial trauma: incidence, aetiology and principles of treatment

Facial trauma is a challenging area of clinical practice. By its very nature, the highly visible effects it can have on both the function and aesthetics of the face means that any repair that is less than perfect will be all too apparent. Injuries to the nasoethmoid region are especially noticeable – the medial canthus needs only to drift a millimetre or so to become obvious. However, fractures are just one component of the spectrum of ‘maxillofacial injuries’. They are variably associated with injuries to the overlying soft tissues and neighbouring structures such as the eyes, lacrimal apparatus, nasal airways, paranasal sinuses, tongue and various sensory and motor nerves.

The bones and tissues of the face support and maintain a number of key functions, including those relating to the oral cavity, nasal cavity and orbits. Not surprisingly, injuries to the face can have a major cosmetic impact and even so-called ‘minor’ injuries if poorly treated can result in significant disability and an unsightly appearance. When fractures extend into the skull base and involve the intracranial contents they are usually referred to as ‘craniofacial’ injuries. These will often require combined management with a neurosurgeon. Facial trauma can vary in severity therefore from a simple crack in a bone to major disruption of the entire facial skeleton with associated severe soft tissue injury.

Most facial injuries occur following relatively low energy impacts and require relatively straightforward treatment. However, despite high patient satisfaction rates, less than perfect results are still common. Clinicians treating these injuries should strive for the ideal goal of returning the patient to their pre-injury form and function. Unfortunately in many cases, especially when high energy injuries have resulted in both comminution of the facial skeleton and significant soft tissue damage, this cannot always be achieved. Despite major developments in the fields of tissue healing,

biomaterials and surgical technology, there is still room for improvement.

Although fractures of the facial skeleton are common, they can easily be overlooked when accompanied by soft tissue swelling or lacerations. Delay in diagnosis can contribute to the likelihood of residual deformity and all doctors working in emergency departments should therefore be able to recognize these injuries, understand their significance and be familiar with basic management. Fractures of the lower jaw or alveolus may also present to a dental surgeon in general practice, or very rarely be a complication of a difficult tooth extraction. An understanding of facial fractures, as well as other facial injuries, has a practical application for many specialists therefore, and is not just of relevance to those studying for higher qualifications or those pursuing a career in specialist surgery.

When considering the topic of facial fractures parallels can be drawn with orthopaedic surgery. In a sense, management of facial trauma can be regarded as ‘facial orthopaedics’ and as such requires the same core knowledge of fracture management and application of similar treatment principles. These include an understanding of fracture healing, principles of fixation and an appreciation of the importance of the ‘soft tissue envelope’. However, facial surgeons will also need to draw on their specialist aesthetic skills to ensure the best possible results, facilitating this by being as anatomically precise as possible.

Incidence

When considering trauma in all its forms maxillofacial injuries are not particularly common, although it is difficult to arrive at any accurate estimate of their global

incidence. Estimates vary considerably both within and between countries. Reported incidences may also be skewed, depending on local referral pathways. Nasal fractures, for instance, are commonly treated by plastic surgeons and otorhinolaryngologists as well as oral and maxillofacial surgeons. As a result they may not be fully captured by any single database. There will also be a variation in the number of fractures treated by any particular specialist unit depending on geographical location, the demographics of the catchment population and seasonal factors. Generally speaking, the most common facial fractures are nasal and mandibular fractures, followed by injuries to the zygoma, maxilla and orbit. Dentoalveolar fractures are also common but may not present to specialist centres, so accurate figures are not widely available. Finally, the terminology used for recording injuries may add to the confusion about fracture incidence. For example, the term 'middle third fracture' is not anatomically precise and may be used to include fractures of the midface, orbito-zygomatic complex and fractures of the nose.

In one large study of patients sustaining injuries as a result of personal assault approximately 80% of all fractures and 66% of all lacerations were facial. Other prospective studies of severely injured patients have shown that a significant number of maxillofacial injuries may also be associated with life-threatening injuries elsewhere. Of these patients, approximately one fifth subsequently died while in hospital. This frequency of coexisting injuries may have major implications when considering transfer to specialist centres.

Aetiology

In many countries the common causes of fractures of the facial bones are interpersonal violence, sporting injuries, falls, motor vehicle collisions (road traffic accidents) and industrial or agricultural trauma. For the first 30 years after the World War II, motor vehicle collisions (MVC) were the major cause of maxillofacial injuries, accounting for between 35 and 60% of fractures of the facial bones. Following the introduction of alcohol, seat belt and crash helmet legislation, these patterns dramatically changed. Many longitudinal studies from countries such as the Netherlands, Germany and the UK have reported that economically prosperous countries have shown a striking reduction in motor vehicle collisions as a specific

cause of facial injuries, while at the same time there has been an increase in interpersonal violence and sports related injuries.

The incidences and causes of facial bone fractures are mostly influenced by:

- 1** Geography.
- 2** Social trends.
- 3** Alcohol and drug abuse.
- 4** Road traffic legislation.
- 5** Seasons.

Geography

Numerous studies have now shown clear relationships between urban living and facial injuries, possibly linked to alcohol consumption and social deprivation. Not surprisingly agricultural-type injuries are more commonly seen in rural communities. In developing countries where there is a rapid increase in road traffic, motor vehicle related trauma is still a major cause of fractures. In some countries, notably in some states in the USA, gunshot trauma now exceeds road traffic accidents as a cause of facial injuries.

Social trends

In more recent years in urban areas, interpersonal violence has accounted for an increasing proportion of facial bone fractures. This includes domestic abuse. Data from a number of centres around the world suggests that interpersonal violence now accounts for more than half of all facial injuries seen in emergency departments. In the United Kingdom between 1977 and 1987 there was a 47% increase in maxillofacial injuries caused by assault, while simultaneously there was a 34% decrease in road accident victims with facial bone fractures. The relative incidence of other facial injuries, such as lacerations, has also been influenced by these trends.

Alcohol and drug abuse

In many countries alcohol and drug abuse are now major factors in the aetiology of traumatic injuries. Maxillofacial injuries are commoner in young men than any other group and to a large extent this is a reflection of the increased alcohol consumption by this section of society and the violence that may ensue. Indeed it has been said that 'the combination of alcohol and testosterone is a potent mix'. Alcohol and drugs may also be a significant factor in maxillofacial injuries sustained

by road users. The influence of alcohol on maxillofacial trauma was clearly demonstrated in a large prospective study of 6114 facial injuries presenting over a period of one week to 163 UK emergency departments. Of these, 40% of facial injuries were caused by falls, a large proportion of which were in children under five years and occurred within the home. However, 24% of the injuries were caused by interpersonal violence, mainly in young adults. In this group alcohol consumption was implicated in some way in 55%. Only 5% of facial injuries were caused by road traffic accidents (RTA) with 15% of victims having consumed alcohol. The 15–25 age group suffered the greatest number of facial injuries due to either assault or RTA and had the highest number of injuries associated with alcohol consumption. Overall at least 22% of all facial injuries in all age groups were related to alcohol consumption within 4 hours of the injury.

Road traffic legislation

Vehicle safety design has been influenced both by research and legislation, and in many countries the use of seat belt restraint has now been made compulsory in law. Seat belts have resulted in a dramatic decrease in injuries overall and severe injury in particular and that general trend has been reflected in the incidence of facial injury. The beneficial effects of improved car design and the use of seat belts are now well accepted, although there is some evidence that seat belts are not entirely effective in reducing the incidence of mandibular fractures. Air bags have also been associated with particular injury patterns to the orbit and globe. Interestingly, enforced low speed limits do not appear to carry the same benefit for facial fractures compared with other types of injury. Presumably, as a result of these changes, many patients who would have otherwise died are now surviving. Helmets are also mandatory for cyclists and motorcyclists alike in many countries, although most cycle helmets are primarily designed for brain protection and offer little effective protection to the face.

Seasons

Facial fractures show a seasonal variation in most temperate zones, which reflects the increased traffic and increased urban violence during summer months and the adverse road conditions in the presence of snow and ice in mid-winter. Sporting injuries also show a

marked seasonal variation. Seasonal affective disorders and failed attempts at suicide may make a very small contribution in some countries.

Principles of treatment

Surgical anatomy

The facial skeleton

Understanding the applied surgical anatomy of the facial skeleton and its associated structures is extremely important in the assessment and management of facial fractures. Specific fracture patterns are well known to commonly occur and the effects of displaced bone fragments, notably at the skull base and orbital apex, can dramatically affect risks and outcomes. Traditionally the facial skeleton has been divided into an upper, middle and lower third. The lower third is the mandible. The upper third is formed by the frontal bone. The middle third is the region extending downwards from the frontal bone to the level of the upper teeth, or if the patient is edentulous the upper alveolus. However, this arbitrary division now has much less role to play in modern management. The terminology used can also sometimes be a little confusing. Fractures of the middle third of the face are often referred to as ‘upper jaw fractures’ or ‘fractures of the maxilla’. However, in view of the fact that the adjacent bones are almost invariably involved, these terms are not strictly accurate. It is perhaps better to use the terms ‘midfacial’ and ‘fractures of the midface’ (Fig. 1.1).

From a functional point of view, an interesting and teleological question is, ‘Why do some animals have sinuses?’ A number of theories exist, but the answer is still unclear. One suggestion is that the skeleton of the midface has evolved into a protective ‘crumple zone’, functioning much like the chassis of a modern car. As such it acts as a cushion, absorbing the energy of any cranially directed impacts coming from an anterior or anterolateral direction. The midface can be considered as a fragile ‘matchbox’ sitting below and in front of a hard shell containing the brain. In this respect it differs markedly from the rigid projection of the mandible below (Fig. 1.2). The midfacial bones have the capacity to absorb impact energy, thereby protecting the brain and conferring a survival advantage. Any impact directly applied to the cranium may be sufficient to cause severe brain injury. However, the same force applied to the



Figure 1.1 Anatomical specimen showing the bones of the mid and upper face separated and mounted to show their complex inter-relationship. Note that the bones of the midfacial skeleton are all relatively fragile. From above downwards they are the perpendicular plate of the ethmoid, with paired lacrimal bones, nasal bones, palatine bones, maxillae and inferior conchae. The zygomatic bones are shown laterally. The midline vomer is missing. (Courtesy of the Wellcome Museum of Anatomy and Pathology, Royal College of Surgeons of England.)

midface is cushioned as the bones collapse. In many cases the force is absorbed to such an extent that it may not even lead to loss of consciousness. Of course the price of surviving such an impact may be considerable damage to the bones and soft tissues of the face. In those cases where the mandible absorbs the entire impact the cushioning effect is reduced and brain injury can result, as with a boxer's knockout punch. However, occasionally one or both condyles fracture following a blow to the chin. This mechanism may afford some degree of protection to the brain stem and upper cervical cord passing through the rigid foramen magnum.

The midface is therefore so ordered that it can simultaneously withstand the forces of mastication and at the same time provide protection for vital structures, notably the brain and eye. This design has evolved as a result of Wolff's law, which states that healthy bone will remodel in response to the functional loads to which it is subjected. In the face most of this loading is related to biting and chewing forces. Where bone is not needed, it is resorbed. Thus, the midface contains the minimal amount of bone required to provide support




Figure 1.2 Diagrammatic representation of the relative strength of the skull and facial bones. The 'matchbox' like structure of the midface cushions the force of impact (B), whereas a blow to the skull is transmitted directly to the intracranial contents (A). An impact to the mandible (C) is transmitted indirectly to the cranial base. Damage to the brain may be prevented by protective fracture of the condylar neck, which is represented here as the handle of a bent baseball bat.

and protection of several important organs, including the eyes and upper respiratory/olfactory tract.

The bones of the midface can therefore be thought of as a series of vertical and horizontal bony struts or 'buttresses' surrounding the sinuses, eyes and uppermost part of the respiratory tract. Joining these buttresses together is wafer-thin bone. The forces of mastication are thus distributed round the nasal airway, globes and paranasal sinuses as they pass upwards to the relatively rigid skull base (Fig. 1.3). Experiments have supported this theory. Fractures of the midface have been shown to occur with forces between one-fifth and one-third of those required to produce simple fractures of the mandible. Although this 'crumple zone' type arrangement may appear to have an obvious survival advantage collapse of the buttresses can result in significant displacement of the tissues. The midfacial bones as a whole have a very low tolerance to impact forces. The nasal bones are least resistant, followed by the zygomatic arch, while the maxilla itself is very sensitive to horizontal impacts.

The upper third of the facial skeleton is chiefly the frontal bone, which forms the superior orbital margin and orbital roof. From here, the base of the skull extends backwards and downwards at approximately 45° from the frontal bone. The midfacial complex articulates with this slope and is effectively suspended from the skull base. In the midline the cribriform plate of the ethmoid makes contact with the meninges of the brain and transmits the olfactory nerves (Fig. 1.4). High energy impacts



Figure 1.3 Transilluminated skull and facial bones demonstrating the thick buttresses of bone that distribute the forces of mastication within the skeleton of the midface. The much stronger bone of the mandible is also clearly evident. (Reproduced with kind permission of Springer Science+Business Media.)




Figure 1.4 View of the anterior cranial base showing the cribriform plate of the ethmoid with the olfactory nerve foramina and midline crista galli. This fragile bone is fractured in high midface Le Fort type and severe naso-orbito-ethmoid injuries. Damage to the underlying dura may result in cerebrospinal fluid rhinorrhoea.

can result in the middle third of the face being sheared off the cranial base with displacement downwards and backwards along this plane. As a result, the upper posterior teeth impact on the lower ones and prop open the bite. Clinically, this results in an elongated face and an anterior open bite (see Fig. 3.12 in Chapter 3). In severe cases there may be significant swelling and severe bleeding. Airway compromise may occur, particularly in the supine patient.

In most fractures of the facial skeleton the frontal and sphenoid bones, including the greater and lesser wings, are not usually fractured. In fact, they are protected to a considerable extent by the cushioning effect achieved by the midface already mentioned. Fractures of the cranial components tend to occur following direct blows to these bones.

The protective buttresses also define the three dimensional shape of the face. When it comes to planning the treatment of the injured facial skeleton attention to the buttresses is important. Anatomical reduction is essential if precise three-dimensional re-establishment of facial height, width and projection is to be achieved (Fig. 1.5). Attention to the nasal septum is also an important part of this and is often overlooked. Not only is the septum crucial in the development of the growing midface, but it is an important element in maintaining nasal projection and patency. A useful way to visualize the facial skeleton is to think of it like a framed picture when viewed from in front. The 'frame' is made up of the rigid frontal bone above, two vertical lateral struts made up by the lateral orbital margins and the zygomatic complex and a lower horizontal mandibular platform, which is hinged and mobile. This frame contains a complicated 'picture' made up of the multiple bones of the midface, the orbital contents, paranasal sinuses and teeth. The overlying soft tissues (the 'glass'), including the cartilaginous nasal skeleton, complete the composition. This analogy is useful. If a framed picture is damaged it is repaired in a logical order. The frame is first reconstructed followed by a detailed restoration of the contents and finally the protective glass is replaced. Although correct sequencing is important when repairing complex facial injuries, the precise order is somewhat controversial and opinions differ. One possible sequence can be represented diagrammatically using concentric circles as a guide (Fig. 1.6).




Figure 1.5 The importance of accurate anatomical reduction to restore all three dimensions of the facial skeleton. Representation of a three-dimensional CT scan of a complex facial fracture that has been reduced and treated with miniplate fixation of the main buttress areas. (Reproduced with kind permission of Springer Science+Business Media.)




Figure 1.6 Diagram to illustrate sequencing of multiple facial fracture repair. The outer circle defines the ‘frame’ of stronger bones that are reduced and immobilized first (frontal bone, lateral orbital margins, zygomas and mandible). The middle circle contains the ‘contents’ of this ‘frame’ (essentially the maxillae) that are reduced and repaired next, and finally the nasal complex (inner circle) is restored.

The ‘soft tissue envelope’

The healing process following a fracture can be considered under two aspects; healing of the soft tissues and healing of the bone. Correct management of the associated soft tissue injury is essential and often under-appreciated. It is not just a case of getting the bones back together. The entire healing process and subsequent rehabilitation relies heavily on the viability of the ‘soft tissue envelope’, more specifically its blood supply. As such it is important to be mindful that the energy force that resulted in the fracture also passed through the overlying soft tissues to get to the bone. The soft tissues are therefore injured to a varying extent, quite apart from the additional trauma of any surgical repair. Blast, crush and compound injuries are obvious examples of soft tissue injury, and lacerations do not necessarily need to be present to indicate extensive damage to the soft tissues. With most blunt trauma of course soft tissue loss and gross contamination is unusual, although the soft tissues may still be significantly damaged.

In orthopaedic surgery it is often taught that the success of fracture management depends not only on the condition of the bones and how well they are repaired, but also to a large extent on the condition of the overlying soft tissues. Consider for example two identical fractures, one of which is covered by healthy, well vascularized soft tissues, while the other is exposed through a heavily contaminated, open wound following a crush injury. Whether the fracture is in the leg, arm, mandible or midface, intuitively outcomes will be better in the first fracture than in the second. This comparison highlights the importance of the soft tissues, and in particular the blood supply, in the healing process. In this regard the mechanism of injury gives useful clues as to the likelihood of injury to the soft tissues. Take for example fractures following a single punch, being kicked by a horse, a blast injury and being shot. Each mechanism carries with it increasing amounts of kinetic energy, potentially compromising the vascularity of the tissues. The more the blood supply is compromised, the greater the chances of infection, non-healing and bone loss. Comminution in a fracture implies high energy transfer and more energy is therefore transferred to the surrounding soft tissues during the injury. Excessive movement across the fracture also has an adverse effect in healing by preventing vascularization of the bone fragments. These factors have major implications for the choice of repair.