**Fundamentals of Rock Mechanics** 

## **Fundamentals of Rock Mechanics**

## **Fourth Edition**

J. C. Jaeger, N. G. W. Cook, and R. W. Zimmerman



© 2007 Blackwell Publishing

BLACKWELL PUBLISHING 350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148-5020, USA 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford OX4 2DQ, UK 550 Swanston Street, Carlton, Victoria 3053, Australia

The right of J.C. Jaeger, N.G.W. Cook, and R.W. Zimmerman to be identified as the Authors of this Work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs, and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs, and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

First edition published 1969 by Methuen Reprint published 1971 by Chapman and Hall Second edition published 1976 by Chapman and Hall Third edition published 1979 Fourth edition published 2007 by Blackwell Publishing Ltd

1 2007

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Jaeger, J.C. (John Conrad), 1907Fundamentals of rock mechanics – J.C. Jaeger, N.G.W. Cook, and R.W. Zimmerman. – 4th ed. p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN-13: 978-0-632-05759-7 (pbk.: alk. paper)
ISBN-10: 0-632-05759-9 (pbk.: alk. paper) 1. Rock mechanics. I. Cook, Neville G.W.
II. Zimmerman, Robert W. III. Title.

TA706.J32 2007 624.1<sup>°</sup>513–dc22

2006036480

A catalogue record for this title is available from the British Library.

Set in 10.5/12.5pt Dante by Newgen Imaging Systems (P) Ltd, Chennai, India Printed and bound in Singapore by Markono Print Media Pte Ltd

The publisher's policy is to use permanent paper from mills that operate a sustainable forestry policy, and which has been manufactured from pulp processed using acid-free and elementary chlorine-free practices. Furthermore, the publisher ensures that the text paper and cover board used have met acceptable environmental accreditation standards.

For further information on Blackwell Publishing, visit our website: www.blackwellpublishing.com

## Contents

Preface to the Fourth Edition			1X
1	Rock	as a Material	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Joints and faults	2
	1.3	Rock-forming minerals	4
	1.4	The fabric of rocks	6
	1.5	The mechanical nature of rock	7
2	Anal	ysis of Stress and Strain	9
	2.1	Introduction	9
	2.2	Definition of traction and stress	10
	2.3	Analysis of stress in two dimensions	17
	2.4	Graphical representations of stress in two dimensions	23
	2.5	Stresses in three dimensions	27
	2.6	Stress transformations in three dimensions	32
	2.7	Mohr's representation of stress in three dimensions	35
	2.8	Stress invariants and stress deviation	38
	2.9	Displacement and strain	41
	2.10	Infinitesimal strain in two dimensions	43
	2.11	Infinitesimal strain in three dimensions	49
	2.12	Determination of principal stresses or strains from	
		measurements	55
	2.13	Compatibility equations	56
	2.14	Stress and strain in polar and cylindrical coordinates	57
	2.15	Finite strain	60
3	Frict	ion on Rock Surfaces	65
	3.1	Introduction	65
	3.2	Amonton's law	66
	3.3	Friction on rock surfaces	67
	3.4	Stick–slip oscillations	70
	3.5	Sliding on a plane of weakness	73
	3.6	Effects of time and velocity	76

4	Defo	rmation and Failure of Rock	80
	4.1	Introduction	80
	4.2	The stress–strain curve	80
	4.3	Effects of confining stress and temperature	85
	4.4	Types of fracture	87
	4.5	Coulomb failure criterion	90
	4.6	Mohr's hypothesis	94
	4.7	Effects of pore fluids	97
	4.8	Failure under true-triaxial conditions	100
	4.9	The effect of anisotropy on strength	103
5	Linea	nr Elasticity	106
	5.1	Introduction	106
	5.2	Stress–strain relations for an isotropic linear elastic	107
	53	Special cases	111
	5.4	Hooke's low in terms of deviatoric stresses and strains	111
	5.5	Equations of stress equilibrium	115
	5.6	Equations of stress equilibrium in cylindrical and spherical	110
	9.0	coordinates	122
	57	Airy stress functions	122
	5.8	Flastic strain energy and related principles	120
	5.9	Uniqueness theorem for elasticity problems	120
	5.10	Stress–strain relations for anisotropic materials	137
6	Labo	ratory Testing of Rocks	145
	6.1	Introduction	145
	6.2	Hydrostatic tests	146
	6.3	Uniaxial compression	148
	6.4	Triaxial tests	150
	6.5	Stability and stiff testing machines	152
	6.6	True-triaxial tests	157
	6.7	Diametral compression of cylinders	158
	6.8	Torsion of circular cylinders	161
	6.9	Bending tests	162
	6.10	Hollow cylinders	165
7	Poro	elasticity and Thermoelasticity	168
	7.1	Introduction	168
	7.2	Hydrostatic poroelasticity	169
	7.3	Undrained compression	175
	7.4	Constitutive equations of poroelasticity	178
	7.5	Equations of stress equilibrium and fluid flow	183
	7.6	One-dimensional consolidation	189
	7.7	Applications of poroelasticity	195
	7.8	Thermoelasticity	197

8	Stres	eses around Cavities and Excavations	205	
	8.1	Introduction	205	
	8.2	Complex variable method for two-dimensional elasticity		
		problems	206	
	8.3	Homogeneous state of stress	211	
	8.4	Pressurized hollow cylinder	214	
	8.5	Circular hole in a rock mass with given far-field		
		principal stresses	216	
	8.6	Stresses applied to a circular hole in an infinite rock mass	221	
	8.7	Stresses applied to the surface of a solid cylinder	225	
	8.8	Inclusions in an infinite region	228	
	8.9	Elliptical hole in an infinite rock mass	231	
	8.10	Stresses near a crack tip	237	
	8.11	Nearly rectangular hole	242	
	8.12	Spherical cavities	244	
	8.13	Penny-shaped cracks	247	
	8.14	Interactions between nearby cavities	250	
9	Inela	stic Behavior	252	
	9.1	Introduction	252	
	9.2	Plasticity and yield	252	
	9.3	Elastic–plastic hollow cylinder	255	
	9.4	Circular hole in an elastic – brittle – plastic rock mass	257	
	9.5	Perfectly plastic behavior	260	
	9.6	Flow between flat surfaces	263	
	9.7	Flow rules and hardening	266	
	9.8	Creep	268	
	9.9	Simple rheological models	271	
	9.10	Theory of viscoelasticity	276	
	9.11	Some simple viscoelastic problems	279	
10	Mic	romechanical Models	281	
	10.1	Introduction	281	
	10.2	Effective moduli of heterogeneous rocks	281	
	10.3	Effect of pores on compressibility	284	
	10.4	Crack closure and elastic nonlinearity	292	
	10.5	Effective medium theories	295	
	10.6	Sliding crack friction and hysteresis	301	
	10.7	Griffith cracks and the Griffith locus	307	
	10.8	Linear elastic fracture mechanics	311	
	10.9	Griffith theory of failure	314	
11 Wave Propagation in Rocks				
	11.1	Introduction	321	
	11.2	One-dimensional elastic wave propagation	322	
	11.3	Harmonic waves and group velocity	327	
	11.4	Elastic waves in unbounded media	332	

Index		469
References		
14.7	Earthquake mechanics	434
14.6	Beam models for crustal folding	431
14.5	Mechanics of intrusion	428
14.4	Stresses around faults	425
14.3	Overthrust faulting and sliding under gravity	423
14.2	Stresses and faulting	419
14.1	Introduction	419
14 Geo	logical Applications	419
13.7	Other stress-measurement methods	415
13.6	Hydraulic fracturing	411
13.5	Surface loads on a half-space: three-dimensional theory	408
13.4	Surface loads on a half-space: two-dimensional theory	404
13.3	Measured values of subsurface stresses	403
13.2	Simple models for the state of stress in the subsurface	400
13.1	Introduction	399
13 Stat	e of Stress Underground	399
12.8	Fractured rock masses	394
12.7	Seismic response of rock fractures	388
12.6	Coupled hydromechanical behavior	386
12.5	Hydraulic transmissivity of rock fractures	377
12.4	Behavior of rock fractures under shear	375
12.3	Normal stiffness of rock fractures	369
12.2	Geometry of rock fractures	365
12.1	Introduction	365
12 Hvd	romechanical Behavior of Fractures	365
11.10	Inelastic waves	360
11.9	Attenuation	355
11.8	Effects of fluid saturation	353
11.7	Transient waves	347
11.5	Surface and interface waves	343
11.5	Reflection and refraction of waves at an interface	337

## Preface to the Fourth Edition

When the first edition of this book appeared in 1969, rock mechanics had only recently begun to emerge as a distinct and identifiable scientific subject. It coalesced from several strands, including classical continuum mechanics, engineering and structural geology, and mining engineering. The two senior authors of *Fundamentals of Rock Mechanics* were perhaps uniquely qualified to play seminal roles in bringing about this emergence. John Jaeger had by that time already enjoyed a long and distinguished career as arguably the preeminent applied mathematician of the English-speaking world, and was the coauthor, with H. S. Carslaw, of one of the true classics of the scientific literature, *Conduction of Heat in Solids*. Neville Cook was at that time barely 30 years old, but was already the director of research at the South African Chamber of Mines, and well on his way to becoming acknowledged as the leading and most brilliant figure in this new field of rock mechanics.

The earlier editions of this book played a large role in establishing an identity for the field of rock mechanics and in defining what are now accepted to be the "fundamentals" of the field. These fundamentals consist firstly of the classical topics of solid mechanics – stress and strain, linear elasticity, plasticity, viscoelasticity, and elastic wave propagation. But rocks are much more complex than are most of the traditional engineering materials for which the classical mechanics theories were intended to apply. Hence, a book entitled *Fundamentals of Rock Mechanics* must also treat certain topics that are either unique to rocks, or at any rate which assume great importance for rocks, such as friction along rough surfaces, degradation and failure under compressive loads, coupling between mechanical deformation and fluid flow, the effect of cracks and pores on mechanical deformation, and, perhaps most importantly, the effect of fractures and joints on large-scale rock behavior.

Rock mechanics, thus defined, forms a cornerstone of several fields of science and engineering – from structural geology and tectonophysics, to mining, civil, and petroleum engineering. A search of citations in scientific journals shows that previous editions of this book have found an audience that encompasses not only these areas, but also includes material scientists and ceramicists, for example. It is hoped that this new edition will continue to be found useful by such a variety of researchers, students, and practitioners.

The extent to which the different chapters of this edition are new or expanded varies considerably, but aside from the brief, introductory Chapter 1, all have

been revised and updated to one extent or another. The discussion of the basic theory of stress and strain in Chapter 2 has now been complemented by extensive use of vector and matrix notation, although all of the major results are also displayed in explicit component form. A discussion of rate-dependence has been added to Chapter 3 on friction. Chapter 4 on rock deformation has been updated, with more emphasis on true-triaxial failure criteria. Chapter 5 on linear elasticity now includes more discussion of anisotropic elasticity, as well as coverage of important general theorems related to strain energy. A detailed discussion of issues related to measurement of the strain-softening portion of the complete stress-strain curve has been added to Chapter 6 on laboratory measurements. Chapter 7 on poroelasticity is almost entirely new, and also includes a new section on thermoelasticity. Chapter 8 on stresses around cavities and inclusions, which is based heavily on the chapter in the 3rd edition that was entitled "Further Problems in Elasticity," has been simplified by moving some material to other more appropriate chapters, while at the same time adding material on three-dimensional problems. The chapters of the 3rd edition on ductile materials, granular materials, and time-dependent behavior have been combined to form Chapter 9 on inelastic behavior. Chapter 10, on micromechanical models, is a greatly enlarged and updated version of the old chapter on crack phenomena, with expanded treatment of effective medium theories. Chapter 11 on wave propagation has been doubled in size, with new material on reflection and refraction of waves across interfaces, the effects of pore fluids, and attenuation mechanisms. The important influence of rock fractures on the mechanical, hydraulic, and seismic behavior of rock masses is now widely recognized, and an entirely new chapter, Chapter 12, has been devoted to this topic. Chapter 13 on subsurface stresses collects material that had been scattered in various places in the previous editions. The final chapter, Chapter 14, briefly shows how the ideas and results of previous chapters can be used to shed light on some important geological and geophysical phenomena.

In keeping with the emphasis on fundamentals, this book contains no discussion of computational methods. Methods such as boundary elements, finite elements, and discrete elements are nowadays an indispensable tool for analyzing stresses and deformations around subsurface excavations, mines, boreholes, etc., and are also increasingly being used to study problems in structural geology and tectonophysics. But the strength of numerical methods has, at least until now, been in analyzing specific problems involving complex geometries and complicated constitutive behavior. Analytical solutions, although usually limited to simplified geometries, have the virtue of displaying the effect of the parameters of a problem, such as the elastic moduli or crack size, in a clear and transparent way. Consequently, many important analytical solutions are derived and/or presented in this book.

The heterogeneous nature of rock implies that most rock properties vary widely within a given rock type, and often within the same reservoir or quarry. Hence, rock data are presented in this work not to provide "handbook values" that could be used in specific applications, but mainly to illustrate trends, or to highlight the level of agreement with various models and theories. Nevertheless, this new edition contains slightly more actual rock data than did the previous edition, as measured by the number of graphs and tables that contain laboratory or field data. The reference list contains about 15% more items than in the 3rd edition, and more than half of the references are new. With only a few exceptions for some key references that originally appeared in conference proceedings or as institutional reports or theses, the vast majority of the references are to journal articles or monographs.

The ordering of the chapters remains substantially the same as in the 3rd edition. The guiding principle has been to minimize, as much as possible – in fact, almost entirely – the need to refer in one chapter to definitions, data or theoretical results that are not presented until a later chapter. In particular, then, the chapters are not structured so as to follow the workflow that would be used in a rock engineering project. For example, although knowledge of the *in situ* stresses would be required at the early stages of an engineering project, the chapter on subsurface stresses is placed near the end, because its presentation requires reference to analytical solutions that have been developed in several previous chapters.

The mathematical level of this edition is the same as in previous editions. The mathematical tools used are those that would typically be learned by undergraduates in engineering or the physical sciences. Thus, matrix methods are now extensively used in the discussion of stress and strain, as these have become a standard part of the undergraduate curriculum. Conversely, Cartesian tensor indicial notation, which is convenient for presenting the equations of stress, strain, and elasticity, has not been used, as it is not widely taught at undergraduate level. Perhaps the only exception to this rule is the use in Chapter 8 of functions of a complex variable for solving two-dimensional elasticity problems. But the small amount of complex variable theory that is required is presented as needed, and the integral theorems of complex analysis are avoided.

Rock mechanics is indeed a subfield of continuum mechanics, and my contribution to this book owes a heavy debt to the many excellent teachers of continuum mechanics and applied mathematics with whom I have been fortunate enough to study. These include Melvin Baron, Herbert Deresiewicz, and Morton Friedman at Columbia, and David Bogy, Michael Carroll, Werner Goldsmith, and Paul Naghdi at Berkeley. Although this book shows little obvious influence of Paul Naghdi's style of continuum mechanics, it was only after being inspired by his elegant and ruthlessly rigorous approach to this subject that I changed my academic major field to continuum mechanics, thus setting me on a path that led me to do my PhD in rock mechanics.

Finally, I offer my sincere thanks to John Hudson of Imperial College and Rock Engineering Consultants, and Laura Pyrak-Nolte of Purdue University for reading a draft of this book and providing many valuable suggestions.

> R. W. Zimmerman Stockholm, May 2006

Artwork from the book is available to instructors at:

www.blackwellpublishing.com/jaeger

To my wife, Jennifer, my partner in everything

Neville Cook, Lafayette, Calif. January 1998

## Rock as a material

#### 1.1 Introduction

1

Rock mechanics was defined by the Committee on Rock Mechanics of the Geological Society of America in the following terms: "Rock mechanics is the theoretical and applied science of the mechanical behavior of rock; it is that branch of mechanics concerned with the response of rock to the force fields of its physical environment" (Judd, 1964). For practical purposes, rock mechanics is mostly concerned with rock masses on the scale that appears in engineering and mining work, and so it might be regarded as the study of the properties and behavior of accessible rock masses due to changes in stresses or other conditions. Since these rocks may be weathered or fragmented, rock mechanics grades at one extreme into soil mechanics. On the other hand, at depths at which the rocks are no longer accessible to mining or drilling, it grades into the mechanical aspects of structural geology (Pollard and Fletcher, 2005).

Historically, rock mechanics has been very much influenced by these two subjects. For many years it was associated with soil mechanics at scientific conferences, and there is a similarity between much of the two theories and many of the problems. On the other hand, the demand from structural geologists for knowledge of the behavior of rocks under conditions that occur deep in the Earth's crust has stimulated much research at high pressures and temperatures, along with a great deal of study of the experimental deformation of both rocks and single crystals (Paterson and Wong, 2005).

An important feature of accessible rock masses is that they are broken up by joints and faults, and that pressurized fluid is frequently present both in open joints and in the pores of the rock itself. It also frequently happens that, because of the conditions controlling mining and the siting of structures in civil engineering, several lithological types may occur in any one investigation. Thus, from the outset, two distinct problems are always involved: (i) the study of the orientations and properties of the joints, and (ii) the study of the properties and fabric of the rock between the joints.

In any practical investigation in rock mechanics, the first stage is a geological and geophysical investigation to establish the lithologies and boundaries of the rock types involved. The second stage is to establish, by means of drilling or investigatory excavations, the detailed pattern of jointing, and to determine the mechanical and petrological properties of the rocks from samples. The third stage, in many cases, is to measure the *in situ* rock stresses that are present in the unexcavated rock. With this information, it should be possible to predict the response of the rock mass to excavation or loading.

This chapter presents a very brief introduction to the different rock types and the manner in which rock fabric and faulting influences the rock's engineering properties. A more thorough discussion of this topic can be found in Goodman (1993).

# 1.2 Joints and Joints are by far the most common type of geological structure. They are defined as cracks or fractures in rock along which there has been little or no transverse displacement (Price, 1966). They usually occur in sets that are more or less parallel and regularly spaced. There are also usually several sets oriented in different directions, so that the rock mass is broken up into a blocky structure. This is a main reason for the importance of joints in rock mechanics: they divide a rock mass into different parts, and sliding can occur along the joint surfaces.

Joints occur on all scales. Joints of the most important set, referred to as *major joints*, can usually be traced for tens or hundreds of meters, and are usually more or less planar and parallel to each other. The sets of joints that intersect major joints, known as *cross joints*, are usually of less importance, and are more likely to be curved and/or irregularly spaced. However, in some cases, the two sets of joints are of equal importance. The spacing between joints may vary from centimeters to decameters, although very closely spaced joints may be regarded as a property of the rock fabric itself.

These joints can also provide paths for fluids to flow through the rock mass.

Joints may be "filled" with various minerals, such as calcite, dolomite, quartz or clay minerals, or they may be "open," in which case they may be filled with fluids under pressure.

Jointing, as described above, is a phenomenon common to all rocks, sedimentary and igneous. A discussion of possible mechanisms by which jointing is produced is given by Price (1966) and Pollard and Aydin (1988). Joint systems are affected by lithological nature of the rock, and so the spacing and orientation of the joints may change with the change of rock type.

Another quite distinct type of jointing is *columnar jointing*, which is best developed in basalts and dolerites, but occasionally occurs in granites and some metamorphic rocks (Tomkeieff, 1940; Spry, 1961). This phenomenon is of some importance in rock mechanics, as igneous dykes and sheets are frequently encountered in mining and engineering practice. In rocks that have columnar jointing, the rock mass is divided into columns that are typically hexagonal, with side lengths on the order of a few tens of centimeters. The columns are intersected by cross joints that are less regular toward the interior of the body. The primary cause of columnar jointing appears to be tensile stresses that are created by thermal contraction during cooling. At an external surface, the columns run normal to the surface, and Jaeger (1961) and others have suggested that in the interior of the rock mass the columns run normal to the isotherms during cooling. The detailed mechanism of columnar jointing has been discussed by Lachenbruch (1961); it has similarities to the cracks that form in soil and mud during drying, and to some extent to cracking in permafrost.

Faults are fracture surfaces on which a relative displacement has occurred transverse to the nominal plane of the fracture. They are usually unique structures, but a large number of them may be merged into a *fault zone*. They are usually approximately planar, and so they provide important planes on which sliding can take place. Joints and faults may have a common origin (de Sitter, 1956), and it is often observed underground that joints become more frequent as a fault is approached. Faults can be regarded as the equivalent, on a geological scale, of the laboratory shear fractures described in Chapter 4. The criteria for fracturing developed in Chapter 4 are applied to faults in §14.2.

From the point of view of rock mechanics, the importance of joints and faults is that they cause the existence of fairly regularly spaced, approximately plane surfaces, which separate blocks of "intact" rock that may slide on one another. In practice, the essential procedure is to measure the orientation of all joint planes and similar features, either in an exploratory tunnel or in a set of boreholes, and to plot the directions of their normal vectors on a stereological projection. Some typical examples are shown in the following figures taken from investigations of the Snowy Mountain Hydroelectric Authority in Australia.

Figure 1.1 is a stereographic projection plot of the normals to the fracture planes in the Headrace Channel for the Tumut 3 Project. The thick lines show the positions of the proposed slope cuts. In this case, 700 normal vectors were measured.



#### Fig. 1.1

Stereographic plot (lower hemisphere) of normals to fracture planes in Tumut 3 Headrace Channel. The contours enclose areas of equal density of poles.



Figure 1.2 shows the important geological features at the Murray 2 dam site on a different representation. Here, the directions of strike of various features are plotted as a rosette, with the angles of dip of the dominant features at each strike given numerically. The features recorded are joints, sheared zones, and bedding planes, any or all of which may be of importance.

Finally, Fig. 1.3 gives a simplified representation of the situation at the intersection of three important tunnels. There are three sets of joints whose dips and strikes are shown in Fig. 1.3.

Igneous rocks consist of a completely crystalline assemblage of minerals such as quartz, plagioclase, pyroxene, mica, etc. Sedimentary rocks consist of an assemblage of detrital particles and possibly pebbles from other rocks, in a matrix of materials such as clay minerals, calcite, quartz, etc. From their nature, sedimentary rocks contain voids or empty spaces, some of which may form an interconnected system of pores. Metamorphic rocks are produced by the action of heat, stress, or heated fluids on other rocks, sedimentary or igneous.

Fig. 1.2 Rosette diagram showing strikes of joints, sheared zones, and bedding planes at the Murray 2 dam site. The predominant dip for each strike is also shown.

#### 1.3 Rock-forming minerals



All of these minerals are anisotropic, and the elastic moduli of the more common ones, as defined in §5.10, are known numerically. If in a polycrystalline rock there are any preferred orientations of the crystals, this will lead to anisotropy of the rock itself. If the orientations of the crystals are random, the rock itself will be isotropic, and its elastic moduli may be estimated by the methods described in §10.2.

There are a number of general statistical correlations between the elasticity and strength of rocks and their petrography, and it is desirable to include a full petrographic description with all measurements. Grain size also has an effect on mechanical properties. In sedimentary rocks there are, as would be expected, some correlations between mechanical properties and porosity (Mavko et al., 1998).

A great amount of systematic research has been done on the mechanical properties of single crystals, both with regards to their elastic properties and their plastic deformation. Single crystals show preferred planes for slip and twinning, and these have been studied in great detail; for example, calcite (Turner et al., 1954) and dolomite (Handin and Fairbairn, 1955). Such measurements are an essential preliminary to the understanding of the fabric of deformed rocks, but

**Fig. 1.3** Dips and strikes of three joint sets, (a) (b) and (c), at the intersection of three tunnels: I, Island Bend intake; II, Eucumbene-Snowy tunnel; III, Snowy-Geehi tunnel. they have little relevance to the macroscopic behavior of large polycrystalline specimens.

## 1.4 The fabric of rocks

The study of the fabric of rocks, the subject of *petrofabrics*, is described in many books (Turner and Weiss, 1963). All rocks have a fabric of some sort. Sedimentary rocks have a primary depositional fabric, of which the bedding is the most obvious element, but other elements may be produced by currents in the water. Superimposed on this primary fabric, and possibly obscuring it, may be fabrics determined by subsequent deformation, metamorphism, and recrystallization.

The study of petrofabrics comprises the study of all fabric elements, both microscopic and macroscopic, on all scales. From the present point of view, the study of the larger elements, faults and relatively widely spaced joints, is an essential part of rock mechanics. Microscopic elements and very closely spaced features such as cleats in coal, are regarded as determining the fabric of the rock elements between the joints. These produce an anisotropy in the elastic properties and strength of the rock elements. In principle, this anisotropy can be measured completely by mechanical experiments on rock samples, but petrofabric measurements can provide much useful information, in particular about preferred directions. Petrofabric measurements are also less time-consuming to make, and so are amenable to statistical analysis. Studies of rock fabric are therefore better made by a combination of mechanical and petrofabric measurements, but the latter cannot be used as a substitute for the former. Combination of the two methods has led to the use of what may be regarded as standard anisotropic rocks. For example, Yule marble, for which the calcite is known (Turner, 1949) to have a strong preferred orientation, has been used in a great many studies of rock deformation (Turner et al., 1956; Handin et al., 1960).

A second application of petrofabric measurements in rock mechanics arises from the fact that some easily measured fabric elements, such as twin lamellae in calcite and dolomite, quartz deformation lamellae, kink bands, and translation or twin gliding in some crystals, may be used to infer the directions of the principal stresses under which they were generated. These directions, of course, may not necessarily be the same as those presently existing, and so they form an interesting complement to underground stress measurements. Again, such measurements are relatively easy to make and to study statistically. The complete fabric study of joints and fractures on all scales is frequently used both to indicate the directions of the principal stresses and the large-scale fabric of the rock mass as a whole (Gresseth, 1964).

A great deal of experimental work has been concentrated on the study of the fabrics produced in rocks in the laboratory under conditions of high temperature and pressure. In some cases, rocks of known fabric are subjected to prescribed laboratory conditions, and the changes in the fabric are studied; for example, Turner et al. (1956) on Yule marble, and Friedman (1963) on sandstone.

Alternatively, specific attempts to produce certain types of fabrics have been made. Some examples are the work of Carter et al. (1964) on the deformation

of quartz, Paterson and Weiss (1966) on kink bands, and Means and Paterson (1966) on the production of minerals with a preferred orientation.

Useful reviews of the application of petrofabrics to rock mechanics and engineering geology have been given by Friedman (1964) and Knopf (1957).

# 1.5 The mechanical nature of rock

The mechanical structure of rock presents several different appearances, depending upon the scale and the detail with which it is studied.

Most rocks comprise an aggregate of crystals and amorphous particles joined by varying amounts of cementing materials. The chemical composition of the crystals may be relatively homogeneous, as in some limestones, or very heterogeneous, as in a granite. Likewise, the size of the crystals may be uniform or variable, but they generally have dimensions of the order of centimeters or small fractions thereof. These crystals generally represent the smallest scale at which the mechanical properties are studied. On the one hand, the boundaries between crystals represent weaknesses in the structure of the rock, which can otherwise be regarded as continuous. On the other hand, the deformation of the crystals themselves provides interesting evidence concerning the deformation to which the rock has been subjected.

On a scale with dimensions ranging from a few meters to hundreds of meters, the structure of some rocks is continuous, but more often it is interrupted by cracks, joints, and bedding planes that separate different strata. It is this scale and these continuities which are of most concern in engineering, where structures founded upon or built within rock have similar dimensions.

The overall mechanical properties of rock depend upon each of its structural features. However, individual features have varying degrees of importance in different circumstances.

At some stage, it becomes necessary to attach numerical values to the mechanical properties of rock. These values are most readily obtained from laboratory measurements on rock specimens. These specimens usually have dimensions of centimeters, and contain a sufficient number of structural particles for them to be regarded as grossly homogeneous. Thus, although the properties of the individual particles in such a specimen may differ widely from one particle to another, and although the individual crystals themselves are often anisotropic, the crystals and the grain boundaries between them interact in a sufficiently random manner so as to imbue the specimen with average homogeneous properties. These average properties are not necessarily isotropic, because the processes of rock formation or alteration often align the structural particles so that their interaction is random with respect to size, composition and distribution, but not with respect to their anisotropy. Nevertheless, specimens of such rock have gross anisotropic properties that can be regarded as being homogeneous.

On a larger scale, the presence of cracks, joints, bedding and minor faulting raises an important question concerning the continuity of a rock mass. These disturbances may interrupt the continuity of the displacements in a rock mass if they are subjected to tension, fluid pressure, or shear stress that exceeds their frictional resistance to sliding. Where such disturbances are small in relation to the dimensions of a structure in a rock, their effect is to alter the mechanical properties of the rock mass, but this mass may in some cases still be treated as a continuum. Where these disturbances have significant dimensions, they must be treated as part of the structure or as a boundary.

The loads applied to a rock mass are generally due to gravity, and compressive stresses are encountered more often than not. Under these circumstances, the most important factor in connection with the properties and continuity of a rock mass is the friction between surfaces of cracks and joints of all sizes in the rock. If conditions are such that sliding is not possible on any surfaces, the system may be treated to a good approximation as a continuum of rock, with the properties of the average test specimen. If sliding is possible on any surface, the system must be treated as a system of discrete elements separated by these surfaces, with frictional boundary conditions over them.

## Analysis of stress and strain

#### 2.1 Introduction

In the study of the mechanics of particles, the fundamental kinematical variable that is used is the *position* of the body, and its two time derivatives, the *velocity* and the *acceleration*. The interaction of a given body with other bodies is quantified in terms of the *forces* that these other bodies exert on the first body. The effect that these forces have on the body is governed by Newton's law of motion, which states that the sum of the forces acting on a body is equal to the mass of the body times its acceleration. The condition for a body to be in equilibrium is that the sum of the external forces and moments acting on it must vanish.

These basic mechanical concepts such as position and force, as well as Newton's law of motion, also apply to extended, deformable bodies such as rock masses. However, these concepts must be altered somewhat, for various reasons. First, the fact that the force applied to a rock will, in general, vary from point to point, and will be distributed over the body must be taken into account. The idealization that forces act at localized points, which is typically used in the mechanics of particles, is not sufficiently general to apply to all problems encountered in rock mechanics. Hence, it is necessary to introduce the concept of *traction*, which is a force per unit area. As the traction generally varies with the orientation of the surface on which it acts, it is most conveniently represented with the aid of an entity known as the *stress tensor*.

Another fundamental difference between the mechanics of particles and deformable bodies such as rocks is that different parts of the rock may undergo different amounts of displacement. In general, it is the relative displacement of neighboring particles, rather than the absolute displacement of a particular particle, that can be equating in some way to the applied tractions. This can be seen from the fact that a rock sample can be moved *as a rigid body* from one location to another, after which the external forces acting on the rock can remain unaltered. Clearly, therefore, the displacement itself cannot be directly related to the applied loads. This relative displacement of nearby elements of the rock is quantified by an entity known as the *strain*.

The stress tensor is a symmetric second-order tensor, and many important properties of stress follow directly from those of second-order tensors. In the event that the relative displacements of all parts of the rock are small, the strain can also be represented by a second-order tensor called the *infinitesimal strain*  *tensor*. A consequence of this fact is that much of the general theory of stresses applies also to the analysis of strains. The general theory of stress and strain is the topic of this chapter. Both of these theories can be developed without any reference to the specific properties of the material under consideration (i.e., the constitutive relationship between the stress and strain tensors). Hence, the discussion given in this chapter parallels, to a great extent, that which is given in many texts on elasticity, or solid mechanics in general. Among the many classic texts on elasticity that include detailed discussion of the material presented in this chapter are Love (1927), Sokolnikoff (1956), Filonenko-Borodich (1965), and Timoshenko and Goodier (1970). The chapter ends with a brief introduction to the theory of finite strains.

#### 2.2 **Definition of** traction and stress

Consider a rock mass that is subject to some arbitrary set of loads. At any given point within this rock, we can imagine a plane slicing through the rock at some angle. Such a plane may in fact form an external boundary of the rock mass, or may represent a fictitious plane that is entirely internal to the rock. Figure 2.1a shows such a plane, along with a fixed (x, y) coordinate system. In particular, consider an element of that plane that has area *A*. Most aspects of the theory of stress (and strain) can be developed within a two-dimensional context, and extensions to three dimensions are in most cases straightforward. As most figures are easier to draw, and to interpret, in two dimensions than in three, much of the following discussion will be given first in two-dimensional form.

The plane shown in Fig. 2.1a can be uniquely identified by the unit vector that is perpendicular to its surface. The vector  $\mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y)$  is the *outward unit normal vector* to this plane: it has *unit* length, is *normal* to the plane, and points in the direction *away* from the rock mass. The components of this vector  $\mathbf{n}$  are the direction cosines that the outward unit normal vector makes with the two coordinate axes. For example, a plane that is perpendicular to the *x*-axis would have  $\mathbf{n} = (1, 0)$ . As the length of any unit normal vector is unity, the Pythagorean theorem implies that  $(n_x)^2 + (n_y)^2 = 1$ . The unit normal vectors in the directions of the coordinate axes are often denoted by  $\mathbf{e}_x = (1, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{e}_y = (0, 1)$ . The identification of a plane by its outward unit normal vector is employed frequently





in rock mechanics. It is important to remember that the vector **n** is perpendicular to the plane in question; it does not lie within that plane.

The action that the rock adjacent to the plane exerts on the rock that is "interior" to the plane can be represented by a resultant force  $\mathbf{F}$ , which, like all forces, is a vector. The traction vector  $\mathbf{p}$  is defined as the ratio of the resultant force  $\mathbf{F}$  to the surface area A:

$$\mathbf{p}(\text{averaged over the area}) = \frac{1}{A}\mathbf{F}.$$
 (2.1)

In order to define the traction that acts over a specific "point" in the rock, the area is now allowed to shrink down to a point, so that the magnitude *A* goes to zero. Following the convention often used in applied mathematics, the smallness of the area is indicated by the notation "d*A*," where the "d" denotes "differential," and likewise for the resultant force **F**. As the area shrinks down to a point, the traction at that point can then be defined by (Fig. 2.1b)

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{dA \to 0} \frac{1}{dA} \mathbf{dF}.$$
(2.2)

The notation  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{n})$  denotes the traction vector at the point  $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x, y, z)$ , on a plane whose outward unit normal vector is  $\mathbf{n}$ . In the following discussion, when the point  $\mathbf{x}$  under consideration is either clear from the context, or immaterial to the particular discussion, the dependence of  $\mathbf{p}$  on  $\mathbf{x}$  will be suppressed in the notation.

At this point, it is necessary to introduce a sign convention that is inconsistent with the one used in most areas of mechanics, but which is nearly universal in the study of rocks and soils. The Cartesian component of the traction  $\mathbf{p}$  in any given direction  $\mathbf{r}$  is considered to be a positive number if the inner product (dot product) of  $\mathbf{p}$  and a unit vector in the  $\mathbf{r}$  direction is *negative*. One way to interpret this convention is that the traction is based on  $-\mathbf{F}$ , rather than  $\mathbf{F}$ . The reason for utilizing this particular sign convention will become clear after the stresses are introduced.

It is apparent from the definition given in (2.2) that the traction is a vector, and therefore has two components in a two-dimensional system, and three components in a three-dimensional system. In general, this vector may vary from point to point, and is therefore a function of the location of the point in question. However, at any given point, the traction will also, in general, be different on different planes that pass through that point. In other words, the traction will also be a function of  $\mathbf{n}$ , the outward unit normal vector. The fact that  $\mathbf{p}$  is a function of two vectors, the position vector  $\mathbf{x}$  and the outward unit normal vector  $\mathbf{n}$ , is awkward. This difficulty is eliminated by appealing to the concept of stress, which was introduced in 1823 by the French civil engineer and mathematician Cauchy. The stress concept allows all possible traction vectors at a point to be represented by a single mathematical entity that does not explicitly depend on the unit normal of any particular plane. The price paid for this simplification, so to speak, is that the stress is not a vector, but rather a second-order tensor, which is a somewhat more complicated, and less familiar, mathematical object than is a vector.

Although there are an infinite number of different traction vectors at a point, corresponding to the infinity of possible planes passing through that point, all possible traction vectors can be found from knowledge of the traction vector on two mutually orthogonal planes (or three mutually orthogonal planes in three dimensions). To derive the relationship for the traction on an arbitrary plane, it is instructive to follow the arguments originally put forward by Cauchy. Consider a thin penny-shaped slab of rock having thickness *h*, and radius *r* (Fig. 2.2a). The outward unit normal vector on the right face of this slab is denoted by **n**; the outward unit normal vector of the left face of the slab is therefore  $-\mathbf{n}$ . The total force acting on the face with outward unit normal vector **n** is equal to  $\pi r^2 \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n})$ , whereas the total force acting on the opposing face is  $\pi r^2 \mathbf{p}(-\mathbf{n})$ . The total force acting on the outer rim of this penny-shaped slab will be given by an integral of the traction over the outer area, and will be proportional to  $2\pi rh$ , which is the surface area of the outer rim. Performing a force balance on this slab of rock yields

$$\pi r^2 \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n}) + \pi r^2 \mathbf{p}(-\mathbf{n}) + 2\pi r h \mathbf{t} = 0, \qquad (2.3)$$

where  $\mathbf{t}$  is the mean traction over the outer rim. If the thickness h of this slab is allowed to vanish, this third term will become negligible, and the condition for equilibrium becomes

$$\mathbf{p}(-\mathbf{n}) = -\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n}). \tag{2.4}$$

Equation (2.4), known as *Cauchy's first law*, essentially embodies a version of Newton's third law: if the material to the left of a given plane exerts a traction  $\mathbf{p}$  on the material on the right, then the material on the right will exert a traction  $-\mathbf{p}$  on the material to the left.

Now, consider a triangular slab of rock, as in Fig. 2.2b, with a uniform thickness w in the third (z) direction. Two faces of this slab have outward unit normal vectors that coincide with the negative x and y coordinate directions, respectively, whereas the third face has an outward unit normal vector of  $\mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y)$ . The length of the face with outward unit normal vector  $\mathbf{n}$  is taken to be h. The length of the face that has outward unit normal vector  $\mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{e_x} = (-1, 0)$  is equal



Fig. 2.2 (a) Thin slab used in derivation of Cauchy's first law; (b) triangular slab used in derivation of Cauchy's second law.

to  $hn_x$ , and so its area is  $hwn_x$ . The traction vector on this face is denoted by  $\mathbf{p} = (-\mathbf{e}_x)$ , and so the total force acting on this face is  $hwn_x\mathbf{p}(-\mathbf{e}_x)$ . Similar considerations show that the total force acting on the face with outward unit normal vector  $-\mathbf{e}_y$  will be  $hwn_y\mathbf{p}(-\mathbf{e}_y)$ . Hence, a force balance on this slab leads to

$$hwn_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{p}(-\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}) + hwn_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{p}(-\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}) + hw\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n}) = 0.$$
(2.5)

Canceling out the common terms, and utilizing Cauchy's first law, (2.4), leads to *Cauchy's second law*:

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n}) = n_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}) + n_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{Y}}). \tag{2.6}$$

This result would remain unchanged if we consider the more general case in which a distributed *body force* acts on the tetrahedral-shaped element as in Fig. 2.2b. Whereas surface forces act over the outer surface of an element of rock, body forces act over the entire volume of the rock. The most obvious and common body force encountered in rock mechanics is that due to gravity, which has a magnitude of  $\rho g$  (per unit volume), and is directed in the downward vertical direction. However, as will be shown in Chapter 7, gradients in temperature and pore fluid pressure also give rise to phenomena which have the same effect as distributed body force of  $(1/2)h^2wn_xn_y\mathbf{b}$  would have to be added to the force balance in (2.5). If we divide through by h, and then let the size of the element shrink to zero (i.e.,  $h \rightarrow 0$ ), the body force term would drop out and  $\mathbf{b}$  would not appear in the final result (2.6).

It is now convenient to recall that each traction is a vector, and therefore (in two dimensions) will have two components, one in each of the coordinate directions. The components of a traction vector such as  $p(e_x)$  are denoted using two indices – the first to indicate the direction of the outward unit normal vector and the second to indicate the component of the traction vector:

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xx} \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xx} & \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(2.7)

where we adhere to the algebraic convention that a vector is written as a column, and is therefore equivalent to the *transpose* of a row vector. Equation (2.6) can therefore be written in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n}) = n_x \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xx} \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix} + n_y \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{yx} \\ \tau_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xx} & \tau_{yx} \\ \tau_{xy} & \tau_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_x \\ n_y \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.8)

In the first expression on the right in (2.8),  $n_x$  and  $n_y$  are treated as scalars that multiply the two traction vectors; in the second expression, the formalism of matrix multiplication is used. As the two components of the vector  $p(\mathbf{n})$  are  $p_x(\mathbf{n})$  and  $p_y(\mathbf{n})$ , (2.8) can be written in component form as

$$p_x(\mathbf{n}) = \tau_{xx} n_x + \tau_{yx} n_y, \tag{2.9}$$

$$p_y(\mathbf{n}) = \tau_{xy} n_x + \tau_{yy} n_y. \tag{2.10}$$

If we use the standard matrix algebra convention that the first subscript of a matrix component denotes the row, and the second subscript denotes the column, the matrix appearing in (2.8) is seen to actually be the *transpose* of the stress matrix, in which case we can rewrite (2.8) as

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n}) = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xx} & \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{yx} & \tau_{yy} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} n_x \\ n_y \end{bmatrix},$$
(2.11)

where the matrix that appears in (2.11), without the transpose operator, is the stress matrix,  $\tau$ . Equation (2.11) can be written in direct matrix notation as

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{n},\tag{2.12}$$

where **n** is a unit normal vector, **p** is the traction vector on the plane whose outward unit normal vector is **n**, and  $\tau$  is the stress matrix, or *stress tensor*. In two dimensions the stress tensor has four components; in three dimensions it has nine. Equation (2.12) gives the traction on an arbitrarily oriented plane in terms of the stress matrix, relative to some fixed orthogonal coordinate system, and the direction cosines between the outward unit normal vector to the plane and the two coordinate axes. Note that a tensor can be written as a matrix, which is merely a rectangular array of numbers. However, a tensor has specific mathematical properties that are not necessarily shared by an arbitrary matrix-like collection of numbers. These properties relate to the manner in which the components of a tensor transform when the coordinate system is changed; these transformation laws are discussed in more detail in §2.3. The rows of the matrix that represents  $\tau$  are the traction vectors along faces whose outward unit normal vectors lie along the coordinate axes. In other words, the first row of  $\tau$  is  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{e}_x)$ , the second row is  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{e}_y)$ , etc.

The physical significance of the stress tensor is traditionally illustrated by the schematic diagram shown in Fig. 2.3a. Consider a two-dimensional square element of rock, whose faces are each perpendicular to one of the two coordinate axes. The traction vector that acts on the face whose outward unit normal vector is in the x direction has components ( $\tau_{xx}, \tau_{xy}$ ). Each of these two components can be considered as a vector in its own right; they are indicated in Fig. 2.3a as arrows whose lines of action pass through the center of the face whose outward unit normal vector is  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}$ . As the traction components are considered positive if they are oriented in the directions opposite to the outward unit normal vector, we see that the traction  $\tau_{xx}$  is a positive number if it is *compressive*. Compressive stresses are much more common in rock mechanics than are tensile stresses. For example, the stresses in a rock mass that are due to the weight of the overlying rock are compressive. In most other areas of mechanics, tensile stresses are considered positive, and compressive stresses are reckoned to be negative. The opposite sign convention is traditionally used in rock (and soil) mechanics in order to avoid the frequent occurrence of negative signs in calculations involving stresses.

Many different notations have been used to denote the components of the stress tensor. We will mainly adhere to the notation introduced above, which has





been used, for example, by Sokolnikoff (1956). Some authors use  $\sigma$  instead of  $\tau$ as the basic symbol, but utilize the same subscripting convention. Many rock and soil mechanics treatments, including earlier editions of this book, denote shear stresses by  $\tau_{xy}$ , etc., but denote normal stresses by, for example,  $\sigma_x$  rather than  $\tau_{xx}$ . This notation, which has also been used by Timoshenko and Goodier (1970), has the advantage of clearly indicating the distinction between normal and shear components of the stress, which have very different physical effects, particularly when acting on fracture planes or other planes of weakness (Chapter 3). However, the  $\{\sigma, \tau\}$  notation does not reflect the fact that the normal and shear components of the stress are both components of a single mathematical object known as the stress tensor. Furthermore, many of the equations in rock mechanics take on a simpler and more symmetric form if written in terms of a notation in which all stress components are written using the same symbol. However, a version of the Timoshenko and Goodier notation will occasionally be used in this book when discussing the traction acting on a specific plane. In such cases, for reasons of simplicity (so as to avoid the need for subscripts), it will be convenient to denote the normal stress by  $\sigma$ , and the shear stress by  $\tau$ . Many classic texts on elasticity, such as Love (1927) and Filonenko-Borodich (1965), utilize the notation introduced by Kirchhoff in which  $\tau_{xy}$  is denoted by  $X_y$ , etc. Green and Zerna (1954) use the notation suggested by Todhunter and Pearson (1886), in which  $\tau_{xy}$  is denoted by  $x\hat{y}$ , etc.

Equation (2.12) is usually written without the transpose sign, although strictly speaking the transpose is needed. The reason that it is allowable to write  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{\tau} \mathbf{n}$  in place of  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{\tau}^T \mathbf{n}$  is that the stress matrix is in fact always *symmetric*, so that  $\tau_{xy} = \tau_{yx}$ , in which case  $\mathbf{\tau} = \mathbf{\tau}^T$ . This property of the stress tensor is of great importance, if for no other reason than that it reduces the number of stress components that must be measured or calculated from four to three in two dimensions, and from nine to six in three dimensions. The symmetry of the stress tensor can be proven by appealing to the law of conservation of angular momentum. For simplicity, consider a rock subject to a state of stress that does not vary from point to point. If we draw a free-body diagram for a small

rectangular element of rock, centered at point (x, y), the traction components acting on the four faces are shown in Fig. 2.3b. The length of the element is  $\Delta x$ in the *x* direction,  $\Delta y$  in the *y* direction, and  $\Delta z$  in the *z* direction (into the page). In order for this element of rock to be in equilibrium, the sum of all the moments about any point, such as (x, y), must be zero. Consider first the tractions that act on the right face of the element. The force vector represented by this traction is found by multiplying the traction by the area of that face, which is  $\Delta y \Delta z$ . The *x*-component of this force is therefore  $\tau_{xx} \Delta y \Delta z$ . However, the resultant of this force acts through the point (x, y), and therefore contributes no moment about that point. The *y*-component of this traction is  $\tau_{xy}$ , and the net force associated with it is  $\tau_{xy}\Delta y\Delta z$ . The moment arm of this force is  $\Delta x/2$ , so that the total clockwise moment about the *z*-axis, through the point (x, y), is  $\tau_{xy}\Delta x\Delta y\Delta z/2$ . Adding up the four moments that are contributed by the four shear stresses yields

$$\tau_{xy}\Delta x\Delta y\Delta z/2 + \tau_{xy}\Delta y\Delta x\Delta z/2 - \tau_{yx}\Delta x\Delta y\Delta z/2 - \tau_{yx}\Delta y\Delta x\Delta z/2 = 0.$$
(2.13)

Canceling out the terms  $\Delta x \Delta y \Delta z/2$  leads to the result

$$\tau_{xy} = \tau_{yx}.\tag{2.14}$$

In three dimensions, a similar analysis leads to the relations  $\tau_{xz} = \tau_{zx}$  and  $\tau_{yz} = \tau_{zy}$ . This result should be interpreted as stating that at any specific point (x, y, z), the stress component  $\tau_{xy}(x, y, z)$  is equal in magnitude and sign to the stress component  $\tau_{yx}(x, y, z)$ . There is in general no reason for the conjugate shear stresses *at different points* to be equal to each other.

Although the derivation presented above assumes that the stresses do not vary from point to point, and that the element of rock is in static equilibrium, the result is actually completely general. The reason for this is related to the fact that the result applies at each infinitesimal "point" in the rock. If we had accounted for the variations of the stress components with position, these terms would contribute moments that are of higher order in  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$ . Dividing through the moment balance equation by  $\Delta x \Delta y \Delta z$ , and then taking the limit as  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  go to zero, would cause these additional terms to drop out, leading to (2.14). The same would occur if we considered the more general situation in which the element were not in static equilibrium, but rather was rotating. In this situation, the sum of the moments would be equal to the moment of inertia of the element about the *z*-axis through the point (*x*, *y*), which is  $\rho \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z [(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2]/12$ , where  $\rho$  is the density of the rock, multiplied by the angular acceleration,  $\dot{\omega}$ .

$$\tau_{xy}\Delta x\Delta y\Delta z - \tau_{yx}\Delta x\Delta y\Delta z = \rho\Delta x\Delta y\Delta z [(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2]\dot{\omega}/12.$$
(2.15)

Dividing through by  $\Delta x \Delta y \Delta z$ , and then taking the limit as the element shrinks down to the point (*x*, *y*), leads again to (2.14).

The symmetry of the stress tensor is therefore a general result. However, it is worth bearing in mind that although  $\tau_{xy}$  and  $\tau_{yx}$  are numerically equal, they are in fact physically distinct stress components, and act on different faces of an element

of rock. Although the identification of  $\tau_{xy}$  with  $\tau_{yx}$  is eventually made when solving the elasticity equations, it is usually preferable to maintain a distinction between  $\tau_{xy}$  and  $\tau_{yx}$  when writing out equations, or drawing schematic diagrams such as Fig. 2.3a. This helps to preserve as much symmetry as possible in the structure of the equations.

The symmetry of the stress tensor followed from the principle of conservation of angular momentum. The principle of conservation of *linear* momentum leads to three further equations that must be satisfied by the stresses. These equations, which are known as the *equations of stress equilibrium* and are derived in §5.5, control the rate at which the stresses vary in space. However, much useful information about the stress tensor can be derived prior to considering the implications of the equations of stress equilibrium. Of particular importance are the laws that govern the manner in which the stress components vary as the coordinate system is rotated. These laws are derived and discussed in §2.3 and §2.5.

# 2.3 Analysis of stress in two dimensions

Discussions of stress are algebraically simpler in two dimensions than in three. In most instances, no generality is lost by considering the two-dimensional case, as the extension to three dimensions is usually straightforward. Furthermore, many problems in rock mechanics are essentially two dimensional, in the sense that the stresses do not vary along one Cartesian coordinate. The most common examples of such problems are stresses around boreholes, or around long tunnels. Many other problems are idealized as being two dimensional so as to take advantage of the relative ease of solving two-dimensional elasticity problems as compared to three-dimensional problems. Hence, it is worthwhile to study the properties of two-dimensional stress tensors. Various properties of two-dimensional stress tensors will be examined in this section; their three-dimensional analogues will be discussed in §2.5.

In order to derive the laws that govern the transformation of stress components under a rotation of the coordinate system, we again consider a small triangular element of rock, as in Fig. 2.4. The outward unit normal vector to



**Fig. 2.4** Small triangular slab of rock used to derive the stress transformation equations.

the slanted face of the element is  $\mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y)$ . We can construct another unit vector  $\mathbf{t}$ , perpendicular to  $\mathbf{n}$ , which lies along this face. Being of unit length, the components of  $\mathbf{t}$  must satisfy the condition  $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{t} = (t_x)^2 + (t_y)^2 = 1$ . The orthogonality of  $\mathbf{t}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  implies that  $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{n} = t_x n_x + t_y n_y = 0$ , which shows that  $\mathbf{t} = \pm (n_y, -n_x)$ . Finally, if we require that the pair of vectors  $\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{t}\}$  have the same orientation relative to each other as do the pair  $\{\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y\}$ , the minus sign must be used, in which case  $\mathbf{t} = (-n_y, n_x)$ . This pair of vectors can be thought of as forming a new Cartesian coordinate system that is rotated from the original (x, y) system by a counterclockwise angle of  $\theta = \arccos(n_x)$ . According to (2.9) and (2.10), the components of the traction vector  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n})$ , expressed in terms of the (x, y) coordinate system, are given by

$$p_x = \tau_{xx} n_x + \tau_{yx} n_y, \tag{2.16}$$

$$p_y = \tau_{xy} n_x + \tau_{yy} n_y. \tag{2.17}$$

In order to find the components of **p** relative to the  $\{n, t\}$  coordinate system, we take the inner products of **p** with respect to **n** and **t**, in turn. For example,

$$p_n = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} = p_x n_x + p_y n_y = \tau_{xx} n_x^2 + \tau_{yx} n_y n_x + \tau_{xy} n_x n_y + \tau_{yy} n_y^2.$$
(2.18)

Utilization of the symmetry property  $\tau_{yx} = \tau_{yx}$  allows this to be written as

$$p_n = \tau_{xx} n_x^2 + 2\tau_{xy} n_x n_y + \tau_{yy} n_y^2.$$
(2.19)

Similarly, the tangential component of the traction vector on this face, which is given by  $p_t = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{t}$ , can be expressed as

$$p_t = (\tau_{yy} - \tau_{xx})n_x n_y + \tau_{xy}(n_x^2 - n_y^2).$$
(2.20)

The two unit vectors  $\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{t}\}$  can be thought of as defining a new coordinate system that is rotated by a counterclockwise angle  $\theta$  from the old coordinate system. This interpretation is facilitated by denoting these two new unit vectors by  $\{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}'}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}'}\}$ . Equations (2.19) and (2.20) are therefore seen to give the components of the traction vector on the plane whose outward unit vector is  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}'}$ , that is,

$$p_{x'}(\mathbf{e}_{x'}) \equiv \tau_{xx'x'} = \tau_{xx}n_x^2 + 2\tau_{xy}n_xn_y + \tau_{yy}n_y^2, \qquad (2.21)$$

$$p_{y'}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}'}) \equiv \tau_{x'y'} = (\tau_{yy} - \tau_{xx})n_x n_y + \tau_{xy}(n_x^2 - n_y^2), \qquad (2.22)$$

where, for clarity, we reemphasize that these components pertain to the traction on the plane with outward unit normal vector  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}'}$ . According to the discussion given in §2.2, these components can also be interpreted as the components of the stress tensor in the (x', y') coordinate system. Specifically,  $p_{x'}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}'}) = \tau_{x'x'}$ , and  $p_{y'}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}'}) = \tau_{x'y'}$ . The traction vector on the plane whose outward unit normal vector is  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}'}$  can be found by a similar analysis; the results are

$$p_{y'}(\mathbf{e}_{y'}) \equiv \tau_{y'y'} = \tau_{xx}n_y^2 - 2\tau_{xy}n_xn_y + \tau_{yy}n_x^2, \qquad (2.23)$$

$$p_{x'}(\mathbf{e}_{y'}) \equiv \tau_{y'x'} = (\tau_{yy} - \tau_{xx})n_x n_y + \tau_{xy}(n_x^2 - n_y^2).$$
(2.24)