

THE VALUE MINDSET

RETURNING TO THE FIRST PRINCIPLES OF CAPITALIST ENTERPRISE

ERIK STERN Stern Stewart & Co. MIKE HUTCHINSON

Contents

<u>Preface</u>

<u>Acknowledgments</u>

Introduction

Part One: Value Mindset

Chapter 1: A Revolution in Value

<u>Chapter 2: The Efficiency of the</u> <u>Market</u>

<u>Chapter 3: Other People's Money</u>

<u>Chapter 4: Corporate Governance in</u> <u>Crisis</u>

Chapter 5: Back to Basics

Chapter 6: Introduction to Incentives

Chapter 7: Growing Value

Chapter 8: Focus on Value

Chapter 9: The Mindset of Success

<u>Part Two: Strategic</u> <u>Reconfiguration—Unleashing</u> <u>Hidden Value</u>

Chapter 10: Configuring Companies

<u>Chapter 11: Changing Organizational</u> <u>Architecture</u>

<u>Chapter 12: Strategic Reconfiguration</u> <u>in Theory</u>

<u>Chapter 13: Strategic Reconfiguration</u> <u>in Practice</u>

<u>Retailing and Services</u> <u>Airlines</u> <u>Pharmaceuticals</u> <u>Automobiles and Manufacturing</u>

Chapter 14: The Growth of Content

<u>Chapter 15: The Benefits of Strategic</u> <u>Reconfiguration</u>

Part Three: Financial Architecture—Challenging Existing Thinking **Chapter 16: Paying for Size**

Chapter 17: Acquisitions

<u>Chapter 18: Outcomes as Real</u> <u>Options</u>

Chapter 19: Divestments

Chapter 20: Initial Public Offerings

Chapter 21: Financing Issues

Part Four: Motivating Managers and Employees to Deliver Value

Chapter 22: Awarding Decision Rights

<u>Chapter 23: Fixed Pay and Incentives</u>

<u>Chapter 24: Balancing Incentives</u>

<u>Chapter 25: Do Options Encourage</u> <u>Value Creation?</u>

<u>Chapter 26: The Value of Variable Pay</u>

<u>Chapter 27: Franchising as a Value</u> <u>Mindset</u>

Chapter 28: Share Options

<u>Chapter 29: Motivating All Employees</u> <u>to Create Value</u>

<u>Chapter 30: The Benefits of Variable</u> <u>Pay</u>

Part Five: Taking Strategic Reconfiguration Further—The Public Sector

<u>Chapter 31: The State We're In</u>

<u>Chapter 32: How Did the State Grow</u> <u>So Large?</u>

<u>Chapter 33: Let Us Build Up a Decent</u> <u>World</u>

<u>Chapter 34: Reconfiguring Global</u> <u>Relations I</u>

Chapter 35: Reconfiguring the State

<u>Chapter 36: Reconfiguring Global</u> <u>Relations II</u>

Part Six: Conclusion

Chapter 37: Opportunity for All

Chapter 38: A Final Word

Appendix: Miscellaneous Industries

<u>Index</u>

The Value Mindset

Returning to the First Principles of Capitalist Enterprise

> Erik Stern Mike Hutchinson



John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Copyright © 2004 by Erik Stern and Mike Hutchinson. All rights reserved.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey. Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400, fax 978-646-8600, or on the web at <u>www.copyright.com</u>. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, 201-748-6011, fax 201-748-6008.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information on our other products and services, or technical support, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at 800-762-2974, outside the United States at 317-572-3993 or fax 317-572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at <u>www.wiley.com</u>.

EVA® and FGV® are registered trademarks of Stern Stewart & Co. Relative Wealth Added [™], RWA [™], Wealth Added Index [™], WAI [™], Future Growth Value [™], Current Operations Value [™], and COV [™] are trademarks of Stern Stewart & Co.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data:

Stern, Erik, 1971-

The value mindset : returning to the first principles of capitalist enterprise / Erik Stern, Mike Hutchinson.

p. cm.

Published simultaneously in U.S. and Canada.

ISBN 0-471-65029-3 (cloth)

1. Economic value added. 2. Corporations—Valuation. 3. Industrial management. 4. Corporate profits. 5. Corporations —Growth. I. Hutchinson, Mike, 1958– II. Title.

HG4028.V3 S825 2004 658.15-dc22

2003021760

To my parents, Joel and Karen —*Erik To my wife, Philippa* —*Mike*

PREFACE

The Value Mindset and Strategic Reconfiguration, we believe, are essential concepts for anyone to master. Understanding them in detail requires that we cover a wide range of subject matter, and thus, this volume covers a breadth of topics. From competitive and financial strategy to corporate structure, from compensation to decision making, from the nature of the nation state to that of stateless everything see nations. vou will that individuals. corporations, and governments do has value implications and, hence, requires a value mindset. Few activities do not need to be strategically reconfigured. We hope to make understanding and applying the value mindset and strategic reconfiguration as natural and essential to you as breathing.

Although we have sought to cover the maximum number of topics, we have had to strike a balance in terms of depth of analysis, fearing that too shallow an analysis could compromise our ability to make a case for cultural change. Hence, novices in finance, the capital markets, and human resources may find some aspects of our argument overly technical and a slow go. At the same time, experts in strategy, finance, and human resources, as well as hardened politicos, may deride our simplification of theory and practice to allow us to reach a wider audience.

For this reason, we provide a compass to help you navigate the pages ahead, to maximize the value of your experience and the time you devote to those subjects of most importance to you. For example, if you are a general reader of business, a periodic or addicted reader of the business press, then you should plow through Part One, Value Mindset, and Part Two, Strategic Reconfiguration— Unleashing Hidden Value. If you can boast greater financial expertise and have avidly monitored successive deals of the recent boom, then continue straight on to Part Three, Financial Architecture—Challenging Existing Thinking. If you are interested in compensation issues, including pay—from that of executives to those on the shop floor—and perhaps EVA incentive compensation and the recent craze for share options, then foray further into Part Four, Motivating Managers and Employees to Deliver Value. If you count yourself as a general reader of politics, a politician, or a frustrated voter, then your destination should be Part Five, Taking Strategic Reconfiguration Further—The Public Sector.

All readers of the book should at least skim Chapters 1 and 2 and 10 through 12, because they add some muscle to the thesis mapped out in the Introduction and provide the framework and perspective of the general argument in more depth. Naturally, we hope that others, as engaged as we are by the concept of value and how to create it, cannot resist following us all the way through.

There are significant precedents for applying economic and financial theory to business and politics, not least Adam Smith's *The Wealth of Nations*, which inspired this admittedly slighter volume. We underline this fact in the Introduction, where we outline our general thesis across disciplines, and in the Conclusion, where we wrap up our argument. Throughout, we have tried to step back and identify common themes within business, government, and the nation state. We hope this simple road map helps you to make some sense of how much time you want to spend with us before you begin.

Erik Stern

Mike Hutchinson

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge all who researched the many topics in this volume. Thanks to Charisse Drobis of the Wits Business School, Johannesburg, without whose army of interns this book would not have been possible. On the background for strategic reconfiguration, we would like to thank several: Bronwyn Moir performed brilliant work on the oil industry; Diane McKie-Thomson exhaustively researched pharmaceuticals and technology; Laurent Pieton was innovative in analyzing airlines; Ulric Taylor came up with outstanding conclusions on the chemicals industry. Our thanks also to Benter Okello, for her work on banks; Paul Liebmann, for retail; Trevor Crouse, for automotive; and Zaheer Joosub, for his work on media. On finance, we would like to thank Amanda Pienaar, Fiona Wallace, Nainesh Sectha, Samantha Bannatyn, and especially Arthur Poulos, who worked brilliantly on close to 400 mergers, IPOs, and divestments. Thanks to Mark Cadle, Roy Mutooni, and Samantha Urguhart of Stern Stewart's South African office managing the researchers, and to Marius, Erik's for Bulgarian driver and, of necessity, bodyguard in Jo'burg. In the London office, thanks to Katinka Gertz, for excellent work on utilities, and to Caroline Boudergue for her guantitative analysis. Special thanks to the ever-dedicated and loyal Ewelina Stachnik for excellent work on a range of topics, including finance, strategy, and Wealth Added. Thanks to C. K. Cheng for supporting Ewelina and the authors throughout. Our London office has benefited from outstanding analysts and vice presidents over the past two years. Of the latter, Ajay Gupta, with his background in investment banking and corporate finance, was invaluable in generating ideas and studying and modeling deals. We pay tribute to numerous other members of the London office

2002–2003 for their work on pioneering research—on global incentives, Wealth Added, and so on—that initially appeared in press articles before becoming part of this book.

Those who contributed to the ideas in this volume deserve special honor. The initial concepts were conceived in the business strategy class of Professors Harry Davis and John P. Gould at the University of Chicago and outlined in Erik's final paper: "Strategy and the American Airline Industry: Fasten Your Seat Belt" (March 1997). John Pigott, cocreator with Erik of Wealth Added, generously discussed over many hours a number of the themes that made their way into this book: No one is better equipped intellectually to test new, and possibly ill-judged, theories. Thanks to our readers and commentators: Joel Stern, managing partner of Stern Stewart & Co. and Chaith Kondragunta, co-managing director with Erik of Stern Stewart's operations in Europe who both offered a series of powerful reality checks. Amnon Danzig, Stern Stewart's agent in Israel, gave Erik the first opportunity to speak professionally on-and thus to clarifysome of the issues in this book. Professor Julian Franks at the London Business School was as helpful as ever in reviewing ideas. Stefan Kirsten, formerly of Metro AG, played a significant role in the creation of Wealth Added.

Additionally, Erik would like to highlight Professors Abbie Smith and Robert Fogel at the University of Chicago and Professors Volker Berghann, Abbott Gleason, and Dore Levy at Brown University, Rhode Island, whose classes inspired ideas in this book. Mike would like to thank his mentors, Hugh Black-Hawkins, Tony O'Sullivan, Matthew Lewin, and Val Bethell. To Mike and Erik, Professors Michael Jensen, Peter Drucker, and Gary Becker, among others, have been as inspiring in the flesh as they are to read.

Many people contribute to the production of a manuscript, directly or indirectly; and without them, the authors would be at a loss. Thanks to Erik's assistant Roseanna Eisdell, and to our ever-patient IT guru, Farrukh "Switch off and reboot" Hyder. Chaith, once again, deserves credit for managing the European business so ably while Erik briefed interns in South Africa, plagued Stern Stewart's London analysts with research requests, and hunkered down over drafts with Mike. Few show more loyalty to colleagues than Chaith, who overwhelmingly supported the authors and their project. We appreciate, too, the support of Stern Stewart's partners (2003). Joel Stern and Bennett Stewart pioneered the ideas behind the value mindset during the 1970s and after at Chase Manhattan Bank and, after founding Stern Stewart, launched a value revolution to which we have only added a further battle cry. Mack Ferguson in Central and South America enthused us all with his pioneering take on value creation in practice. Al Ehrbar, author of EVA: The Real Key to Creating Wealth and chairman of the brand value organization BrandEconomics, and Don Chew, editor of the *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, have showcased Stern* Stewart's ideas publicly. Thank you, too, from Erik, to his teachers at Stern Stewart: Gregory Milano, who opened the London office and took so much of Stern Stewart's thinking further, and Patrick Furtaw, the best manager of a project and people. Ashley Joffe deserves credit for holding the London office's hand on a roller coaster ride. Finally, Erik would like to acknowledge Madame Lagraulet at France's SNCF, who refused to accept that bureaucracy stifles initiative.

Needless to say, any errors or inaccuracies are the responsibilities of the authors alone.

On a personal level, Erik would like to thank his parents, Karen and Joel, for years of support for everything he does; Michelle, his bedrock; Morten, his unrelated brother; and David, his inspiration for higher learning. Mike would like to thank his wife Philippa, whose patience has been Griselda's; his parents, Pat and Tom, for their inspiration and support over the years; and his children Georgia, Lottie, and Bertie, for occasional bouts of self-discipline.

E.S.

M.H.

INTRODUCTION

What is your "mindset"—your frame of mind, your general attitude, your outlook or way of thinking? When you make decisions, whether at work or at play, do you explicitly consider the value—that is, the worth or merit—of the consequences? And do you approach decisions at work in the same way that you weigh up options *after* work?

These are not esoteric questions. Most people are one person at work and another at home. Some people are "can do" and "go for it" whether at work or at play. Why? The answer has to do with a sense of ownership.

For people without that sense of ownership, work drags and experiences pall. Their real life begins after the working day ends. By contrast, a person with a *value mindset* takes decisions in *all* walks of life with a sense of owning the results, with the entrepreneurial mentality and the enthusiasm of the franchisee. You experience a greater thrill when the consequences of a decision—the accountability and responsibility—are yours. You may be more or less risk averse; but, depending on your unspoken risk-reward requirements, your roster of abilities, and the opportunities on offer, a value mindset has the potential to stretch anyone "to the max." It is, in the jargon, a value maximizing proposition.

The value mindset is not a purely individual phenomenon. Companies and governments may seem distant from us individually, but in every case, they too are made up of people, bound together by explicit or implicit contracts. Our society is a web of such contracts. We may have been born in our home country or we may have moved there, but we implicitly contract with our fellow citizens to form our society. The terrorist actions of September 11, 2001, so shocked the United States and the world because 19 suicidal fanatics breached the implicit contract of American, Western, democratic, liberal, capitalistic, Judeo-Christian, Enlightenment, humanist, and, yes, even Islamic society.

We feel anger whenever a person, a group, a company, or a government breaches this deeply felt, but largely unspoken, contract. Murderers and other criminals flout it, as do special interests. Companies that monopolize and defraud break the contract. Tyrannies drive a coach and horses through it.

In the West, this social contract offers democratic freedoms and economic liberalism, as well as all the opportunities that go with them. Our icons are those who tore down the Berlin Wall, Nelson Mandela on his long march to freedom, the students of Tiananmen Square, Boris Yeltsin brandishing a revolver on top of a tank, and perhaps, more equivocally, even Margaret Thatcher, dismantling the common wisdom of what government should do. We shudder at despots: Saddam Hussein, Robert Mugabe, Kim II Sung.

Freedom of opportunity works when we all share the same freedom. This is liberty. It thrives when none of us has undue influence. We each have our interests. We may decry those we see as more avaricious than others, but we all have interests, often strong ones. As long as we keep our interests in perspective, what is wrong with accepting that we are all self-motivated, that we all have wants, needs, expectations, and interests? There is nothing wrong with this. Aspirations—hopes and dreams—may be the essence of the human condition.

We are all self-interested, and self-interest is good, if held in check by everyone else's self-interests. Unimpeded, selfinterest can become destructive. It tramples liberty and curtails freedoms. It threatens meritocracy and encourages others, by example, to reject responsibility and accountability. It may not actively breach its contracts with other self-interests—that would be like the parasite killing its host—but it will strain them, and we live daily with the strained consequences. Where self-interests compete freely, there is equilibrium and harmony. Where self-interests are stayed or strengthened, there is volatility and injustice.

None of this is new. Let us pay homage to the father of economics, Adam Smith, who single-handedly identified the role of self-interest in the workings of markets. So much of what we take for granted in economics today derives from him, including such recently rediscovered concepts, invaluable to corporate finance, as the cost of capital and the value of intangible assets. We all owe Smith an incalculable debt.

In *The Wealth of Nations* (1776)—a book that truly deserves the accolade "seminal"—Adam Smith famously argued that capitalism worked because an "invisible hand" of self-interest guided individuals in their dealings with one another in the market. The invisible hand is the motivating force of capitalism and the market system. This profound contribution to economics is perhaps most succinctly described here:

Every individual is continually exerting himself to find out the most advantageous employment for whatever capital he can command. It is his own advantage, indeed, and not that of the society, which he has in view. But the study of his own advantage naturally, or rather necessarily leads him to prefer that employment which is most advantageous to the society.¹

What this means is that in a competitive market place, competing self-interests create value for society. They are the value mindset in action. You offer a price for a product or a service. He offers another. She puts a third on the table. I chip in with a fourth. We are all free to offer a price; and in the end, buyers and sellers negotiate to determine the "true" market price, the most accurate price, given all the available information. The result? Consensus. This is, of course, a simplistic model. In reality, only a few people, "at the margin," need to engage in this activity for everyone to benefit. Alas, those who attempt to exploit undue influence disrupt the market's consensus—and few of us can claim to be wholly innocent.

Contract theory and the efficiency of the market—that it processes and acts upon all information available to it, impartially—provide the background to the discussion that follows, in both the corporate and the state sectors and in the context of government. How do we align the interests of *all* those involved in each of these networks of contracts? In team sports, for instance, alignment is almost perfect. It is not an idle aspiration but the *sine qua non* of success. All the players, their support staff, and their supporters are clear about what constitutes "victory." How can that clarity and alignment be replicated within companies and the state?

With companies, the restraints on Adam Smith's invisible hand are perhaps most obvious, so let us turn to those first. Often, those restraints are inbuilt and prevent the company from generating more than a certain level of value. Politics, or politicking, is often the culprit. On an individual level, company managers may be encouraged to seek short-term outcomes through what have been dubbed "perverse incentives," damaging the company's value in the process. At a wider level, the company as a whole may become fixated on a strange, faintly grotesque quality-size. For reasons we will explore, size can drive much of corporate thinking. Size is a goal, rather than an outcome, and value suffers. Companies become huge and diverse—so much so that there are aspects within the enterprise that are at war with each other and that diffuse and defeat a focus on value creation.

In many ways, such an enterprise is rather like one of the old failed conglomerates, with all the drawbacks of those cumbersome beasts. Each of its constituent parts is significantly, and damagingly, different. Some parts specialize in asset management of all kinds; others are focused on logistics; yet others can boast of expertise in varieties of intellectual content. Few companies focus on one of these different "businesses" alone. Crucially, the risk profiles of each differ. When such businesses subsidize each other within an organization, they considerably weaken its potential for creating value. How would a value mindset change things?

The value mindset resists the siren call of size for its own sake: To the value mindset, the acquisitions and divestments and alliances that a company may have the opportunity to pursue are all on a par, and the measure of their success is how much value they create. The focus is *value*, whatever it takes.

And what it takes may alarm, if value has been wasted in such a wholesale fashion that major surgery is required. Take that tremendous euphemism of the 1980s business culture, particularly in the United States, "the active market for corporate control." "Corporate control" was the question of who managed the company. "Active market" meant, in practice, a series of bitterly contested takeovers. (For "active," read "seriously determined.") In fact, there were relatively few hostile takeovers of this kind, but the fear they generated served to encourage less shameful destruction of value throughout the corporate world.

The active market for corporate control reached thrilling heights—in the United States, at least—before largely being emasculated by legislation. And its achievements were considerable. This active market laudably created huge shareholder value.² Indeed, the shareholder value created had to be on an enormous scale, because no one launches an expensive hostile takeover without being certain that there are vast reservoirs of untapped value that will serve to pay for the bid. In the 1980s, what was outrageous was not the savagery of a few high-profile takeover battles, but the existence of so many untapped reservoirs of value to be exploited. How on earth did they evolve?

Create value the active market certainly did. But, with a few understandably self-interested exceptions, in no one's book would the fear of hostile takeover be the preferred method of encouraging value creation. There had to be better, more constructive ways. Was there a measure, or a series of measures, that replicated sport's clarity, transparency, and alignment of activity and interests by encouraging the creation of value?

There was. EVA—*Economic Value Added*—a form of economic profit pioneered by the management consultancy Stern Stewart, became highly popular around the world in the 1990s. EVA—value created over and above the expectations of investors and reflected in market valuations —enabled enterprises to extract enormous, untapped value from their organizations, encouraging value creation not only among managers, but also, potentially, among all employees. EVA has proved its worth to many enterprises in particular, at Coca-Cola, of which more shortly.

Tapping value this way is no one-shot activity. It does not simply stop one day. But what if, as we said, there are limits imposed by the structure of the company itself? How would a value mindset approach this dilemma?

An alternative means to achieve the aim of unlocking value from companies is proposed in this book. Our aim: Rethink, reconsider, reconfigure. Strategic reconfiguration is a radical, but palatable, alternative to hostile takeover. In effect, it is a more active internal governance system, one that depends on an explicit, systematic value mindset. What strategic reconfiguration aims to do is to identify how a company splits, in terms of its businesses, and which businesses constitute the company's key expertise, in terms of value creation. It is on that business that the company concentrates.

If this sounds similar to outsourcing, it differs in two key respects. First, companies that outsource usually outsource peripheral activities, such as payroll. *Core* is defined as everything that is not peripheral. Strategic reconfiguration is much more rigorous about what is core and what is not. Core creates value. However, where core activities could be better performed by another company, even a competitor and by "better performed," we mean "better create value"—they should be reconfigured. This is as far from outsourcing as the Lindisfarne Gospels are from cave paintings.

Second, unlike outsourcing, strategic reconfiguration pays for itself. If our company reconfigures to concentrate on asset management, then the "businesses" more concerned with logistics and content will earn their fee from the value they create over and above the value the business is currently creating, or might be expected to generate on its own. In other words, unless the company brought in to manage the reconfigured businesses creates extra value, it is not going to benefit—*even though the reconfiguring company may.*

In a strategically reconfigured world, all companies focus on the aspects of their business where they can boast the most value-creating expertise. They offer their valuedestroying (and less value-creating) businesses to be managed by companies that have greater value-creating expertise, at least in that particular business. The added value created is split in a win-win agreement. However, we should stress at this point—and we make no apology for it that strategic reconfiguration releases value over the long term, and is thus aimed at companies that are owned by a core of sophisticated long-term investors. Of course, there are lessons to be learned for other firms, but a long-term view is key. All investors, including short-term investors, benefit from a company's long-term focus.

With strategic reconfiguration, we can achieve all the goals of the active market for corporate control, without any of the unpalatable side effects. The value mindset that constructs these strategic reconfigurations is pro-market, probusiness. Of course, business benefits from not reconfigurations—there would be little point in suggesting them otherwise—but our focus is on the market. Too often, a policy, as pursued by a government is probusiness antimarket. Preferring and protecting certain companies or industries stays Adam Smith's invisible hand, which unstayed sees self-interests as competing equally. Strategic reconfiguration allows, and encourages, the invisible hand to do its benign work.

Both the value mindset and strategic reconfiguration are revolutionary, in the true sense of the word; but pioneers of value creation have prefigured both. In the first part of this book, we investigate two pioneering companies that illustrate the concentrated focus on value that derives from the value mindset: (1) the Four Seasons hotel group of Isadore Sharp and (2) the Coca-Cola of the late Roberto Goizueta.

When we develop the principles and practice of the value mindset, in the second and third parts of this book, the examples given tend to be large U.K. and U.S. companies; but the principles of strategic reconfiguration and the benefits of the value mindset apply equally to small companies and to those in other countries, too. These principles, founded as they are on the efficiency of the market and on the rationality of the invisible hand, are universal. Democratizing decision making and management and even, further, democratizing the ownership of value created by employees have tremendous potential, as discussed in the fourth part of this book. It gives employees the chance to vote for responsibility—an opportunity they have had under few previous political or corporate regimes. In claiming the right to own the value they have helped to create, accountability is enhanced.

Why might the ideas behind strategic reconfiguration not be applied to the state and to government? After all, as we saw, contracts, implicit and explicit, underpin all human intercourse, not just the activities of the company. They are there in the state, in government, and even in the family (what else is marriage?).³ The wider benefits of a value mindset are discussed in the fifth part of this book. Effectively, a value mindset proposes a democratization of capitalism—a "capitalist manifesto," as The it were. methods of strategically reconfiguring a company are brought to bear on the state and on the way democracy works. In particular, government's pernicious practice of goal-seeking equality of outcome, rather than equality of opportunity, comes under scrutiny.

This book takes the principles behind EVA and the creation of value further than they have been taken before. We meet a new metric, Wealth Added, for instance; but perhaps more importantly, we consider how a value mindset applies to value-based management in practice. Many of the best value-focused companies worldwide—among them manufacturers like Herman Miller, Briggs & Stratton, SPX, and Siemens—are object lessons in value creation; but even they, and certainly others who think that they are managing for value, may profitably take up some of these ideas. Our first challenge is to them: to transform robust theory into profitable practice, to rethink, reconsider, and reconfigure. However, this is a book that is not meant just for practitioners, who will find most interest in the technical ideas within its covers. We hope there are chapters that will prove of interest to investors and, particularly the final part, to all of us who are citizens of the nation state. We apply a value mindset not just to business strategy and company finance, but also to people in general and to the political systems that have evolved, in the process anatomizing the twenty-first century individual. Throughout, the ideas—in common with all robust micro- and macroeconomic ideas are grounded in the first principles developed by Adam Smith.

This book is published in a U.S. election year, from which an apology, a question, and a second challenge flow. Our apology is specifically to those who are not U.S. nationals or who live outside the United States. One of your authors is American and the other is British. We have tried hard not to make this book, and particularly the final part, too U.S.oriented. But the forthcoming U.S. election comes at a time of particular significance and, hence, is of particular significance in itself, not just to the United States but to all nations of the world. Offering some ideas on how the United States might achieve its "manifest destiny" of becoming a "city on a hill"—a beacon to other nations—seemed appropriate, but these ideas apply as urgently to Europe as they do to the United States.

Our question is one for you, dear reader. In the Western democracy in which you live, do you feel that your vote counts? Chances are that you don't. After all, Western elections regularly see voter turnouts below 50 percent. Clearly, many voters are frustrated with their political system, or they would not stay away. This book includes some thoughts on reconfiguring democracy to take the politics out of government and to make individual votes count. If this sounds intriguing, then our second challenge is yours. Stand up and make your vote count, not only as a voter but also as a manager or a worker, perhaps as a shareholder, and in all other incarnations as a valueoriented individual.

The aim of this radical manifesto is to encourage more both physical effective use of and mental capital. encouraging higher productivity, growth, and self-esteem. Needless to say, this is as valuable for nation states as it is for individuals. After September 11-in the aftermath of which every last one of us is destined to spend the rest of his or her days—we suggest some ideas for reconfiguring how nations relate to each other. With more than a wink at the leveraged buyouts of the 1980s, we suggest that a value mindset can construct ways of promoting value creation by reconfiguring the stale, unproductive concepts of aid to and patronage of the developing world. This may well be the biggest prize on offer from the value mindset: peace.

NOTES

<u>1</u>. Adam Smith, *The Wealth of Nations,* bk. 4, chap. 2, ed. Edwin Cannan (1776; reprint, New York: Modern Library, 2000), 482.

2. See Michael C. Jensen with Donald H. Chew, "U.S. Corporate Governance: Lessons from the 1980s," in *The Portable MBA in Investment*, ed. Peter L. Bernstein (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1995), 377–406; and in abridged form in Michael C. Jensen *A Theory of the Firm: Governance, Residual Claims, and Organizational Forms* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2000), 9–15. Available from Social Science Research Network Electronic Library (<u>http://papers.ssrn.com</u>). See also two excellent contributions to the *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance:* (1) Andrei Schleifer and Robert W. Vishney, "The Takeover

Wave of the 1980s" (vol. 4, no. 3 [Fall 1991]); and (2) Gordon Donaldson, "Corporate Restructuring in the 1980s" (vol. 6, no. 4 [Winter 1994]).

3. In 1954, the U.S. Supreme Court interpreted the Fourteenth Amendment (1868) of the U.S. Constitution —"no State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States"—to be broadly in defense of corporate interests. A corporation was also a "person."

PART ONE

Value Mindset

Chapter 1

A REVOLUTION IN VALUE

Revolution is a much-abused word. Its accepted meaning is "complete change." In fact, a revolution is, as the word suggests, a return to the original. There is a world of difference between a revolt and a revolution.

The value mindset is a revolutionary concept, in that it returns to the very roots of capitalism: the concept of investing resources in order to generate a return based on the risk taken. Jesus Christ's Parable of the Talents takes the idea back two millennia.¹ Likewise, the value mindset was not alien to the original capitalists who despatched galleons to the Spice Islands or raised satanic mills on England's green and pleasant land. These capitalists managed directly for value. The money risked was theirs, and the rewards that flowed from taking that risk—after sharing the booty with surviving sailors or paying the mill workers—came directly to them.

Fast forward to the present. What do we see? Like government, companies grow big, diversify, cross subsidize, bloat, and stagnate. As with government, goal seeking and politics compromise the quest for value. Creating value is in practice a "take it or leave it" option—either you create value, or you do not. There is no half way. And yet shareholder value has become a mantra, much repeated. When challenged, every chief executive will claim value lies at the heart of everything he or she does and close to the heart of every manager in the enterprise. The finance director will provide reams of numbers in support of this laudable assertion, showing how the company has met or