# New Frontiers for the Treatment of Keratoconus

César Carriazo María José Cosentino *Editors* 



New Frontiers for the Treatment of Keratoconus

César Carriazo • María José Cosentino Editors

# New Frontiers for the Treatment of Keratoconus



*Editors* César Carriazo University Del Norte Barranquilla, Atlantico Colombia

María José Cosentino University of Buenos Aires Buenos Aires Argentina

#### ISBN 978-3-030-66142-7 ISBN 978-3-030-66143-4 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66143-4

#### © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

#### Foreword

With the new imaging modalities, keratoconus is being diagnosed more frequently at earlier stages than in the past; it is clear now that the incidence and prevalence of keratoconus, may have been underestimated.

There have been reports of this disorder since more than a century, but until 1986 the only possible treatment was contact lenses and in advanced cases, corneal transplantation.

Since then, a huge advance in technological developments has been introduced and a number of treatment options are in our hands. These advances and treatment modalities range from: corneal ring segments to flatten the anterior 5.0 or 6.0 mm central corneal radius, corneal collagen cross-linking to arrest the progression of the disorder, phakic lenses, and corneal refractive surgery to improve visual acuity as well as also a combination of these procedures. Corneal grafts are the last resource, Pre-Descemet lamellar grafts being the first option nowadays.

This book contains the knowledge and experience of a group of important people who have done scientific research in the field of keratoconus, have developed the diagnostic tools that have improved its early detection, and have developed and perfected the treatment modalities that we have in our arsenal today.

César Carriazo and María José Cosentino, creative ophthalmic surgeons and editors of this book, are presenting to us a new surgical technique for keratoconus treatment, founded on ideas and experiences of their professors, but with a different reasoning. After deep biomechanical, histopathological, and computer simulation studies and with the precision of actual laser technologies, are presenting a different approach to reconstruct the shape of the anterior corneal surface in keratoconus.

As Drs. Carriazo and Cosentino suggest in this book, until another valid alternative appears in the near future, we can count on the corneal remodeling technique as an efficient tool for the refractive treatment of keratoconus. This implies being in front of a therapeutic aid with a high impact on global public health. The technique is under development, and there are many questions that are yet to be resolved. There will be controversies, but as Szent Györgyi said, "*Discovery must be, by definition, at variance with existing knowledge.*"

Carmen Barraquer Coll Instituto Barraquer de América Bogotá, Colombia

#### Preface

Eighteen months ago, while we were at a symposium on keratoconus, the seed of this book emerged. We realized two important things: the first referred to how far the diagnostic and therapeutic management of this pathology had advanced; the second was related to a critical view of certain therapeutic alternatives. In the lectures or courses that we give, it is common to hear us say that doctors must make analysis of the medical tools they have as objective as possible.

Performing a procedure because everyone does it, or because it is the only alternative, can get us into a false situation, without the ability to discern what is best for our patients.

A few years ago, the predictability we obtained with keratoconus treatments was so poor that we used the word "orthopedic" when referring to them, so as not to compromise with the patient's refractive result. Today, the therapeutic resource that we have allows us to offer our patients a refractive visual prognosis, never the same as those obtained in healthy patients, but with the peace of mind of improving vision with a high degree of satisfaction in its result, both in quantity and visual quality.

The decision to make this book implied the elaboration of an updated scientific material, written by distinguished collaborators from a wide variety of countries and outstanding worldwide participation, true references that we chose for their indisputable merits.

The result of more than a year of painstaking work is compiled in this book, which aims to direct our gaze – if the phrase fits! – specifically towards those current tools with which we have to diagnose and treat keratoconus. This means that we have focused only on those elements that currently coexist in our practice and that will definitely have a space in the future – at least immediate – of anterior segment ophthalmology.

We have also given an important space to videos that allow us to dynamically illustrate the contents of each chapter.

We would truly like to thank the main support of our family who resign themselves to spend their time with us, understanding our passion for knowledge, scientific development and innovations. Likewise, we appreciate the collaboration of all participating authors and colleagues who encouraged us in entrepreneurship. We believe that we have met the proposed challenge: a very specific compilation aimed at updating the knowledge about an entity that definitely has a space of impact on global public health.

Barranquilla, Colombia Buenos Aires, Argentina César Carriazo María José Cosentino

## Contents

Panorama of the Treatment of Keratoconus in 2020 César Carriazo and María José Cosentino	1
Corneal Biomechanics and Integrated Parameters for Keratoconus Diagnosis Marcella Q. Salomão, Ana Luisa Hofling- Lima, Joana Mello, Nelson Batista Sena Ir, and Renato Ambrósio Ir	7
Corneal Topography, Corneal Tomography, and Epithelial Maps in Keratoconus Dan Z. Reinstein, Timothy J. Archer, Ryan S. Vida, Ronald H. Silverman, and Raksha Urs	27
Histopathological Findings in Keratoconus Sabrina Bergeron, Bruno F. Fernandes, Patrick Logan, and Miguel N. Burnier Jr.	49
Customized Corneal Cross-Linking Theo G. Seiler	55
An Essential Guide to Treat Primary Ectasia with Intracorneal Segments Roberto Gustavo Albertazzi	61
Phakic Intraocular Lens in Keratoconus Alaa Eldanasoury, Sherif Tolees, and Harkaran S. Bains	83
Excimer Laser and Keratoconus César Carriazo and María José Cosentino	99
Regenerative Therapies for Keratoconus Jorge L. Alió del Barrio, Verónica Vargas, and Jorge L. Alió	109
Corneal Remodeling: A New Alternative Technique to Treat Corneal Ectasia César Carriazo and María José Cosentino	123
Index	139

### Contributors

Roberto Gustavo Albertazzi Cornea and Refractive Surgery, Quilmes, Argentina

**Jorge L. Alió** Cornea, Cataract and Refractive Surgery Unit, Vissum Instituto Oftalmológico de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Universidad Miguel Hernández, Alicante, Spain

**Jorge L. Alió del Barrio** Cornea, Cataract and Refractive Surgery Unit, Vissum Instituto Oftalmológico de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Universidad Miguel Hernández, Alicante, Spain

**Renato Ambrósio Jr.** Instituto de Olhos Renato Ambrósio, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Rio de Janeiro Corneal Tomography and Biomechanics Study Group, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Brazilian Study Group of Artificial Intelligence and Corneal Analysis – BrAIN, Rio de Janeiro & Maceió, Brazil

Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University the state of Rio de Janeiro (UNIRIO), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Timothy J. Archer London Vision Clinic, London, UK

Harkaran S. Bains Sight By Design, Edmonton, AB, Canada

**Sabrina Bergeron** The MUHC – McGill University Ocular Pathology & Translational Research Laboratory, Montreal, QC, Canada

**Miguel N. Burnier Jr.** The MUHC – McGill University Ocular Pathology & Translational Research Laboratory, Montreal, QC, Canada

César Carriazo Clínica Carriazo, Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia

María José Cosentino Instituto de la Visión, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Alaa Eldanasoury Department of Ophthalmology, Magrabi Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

**Bruno F. Fernandes** The MUHC – McGill University Ocular Pathology & Translational Research Laboratory, Montreal, QC, Canada

**Ana Luisa Hofling- Lima** Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

**Patrick Logan** The MUHC – McGill University Ocular Pathology & Translational Research Laboratory, Montreal, QC, Canada

**Joana Mello** Rio de Janeiro Corneal Tomography and Biomechanics Study Group, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Dan Z. Reinstein London Vision Clinic, London, UK

Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Ulster, Coleraine, UK

Marcella Q. Salomão Instituto de Olhos Renato Ambrósio, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Rio de Janeiro Corneal Tomography and Biomechanics Study Group, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Brazilian Study Group of Artificial Intelligence and Corneal Analysis – BrAIN, Rio de Janeiro & Maceió, Brazil

Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil Instituto Benjamin Constant, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Theo G. Seiler Universitätsklinik für Augenheilkunde, Inselspital Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Wellman Center for Photomedicine – Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Institut für Refraktive und Ophthalmo-Chirurgie (IROC), Zürich, Switzerland

**Nelson Batista Sena Jr.** Rio de Janeiro Corneal Tomography and Biomechanics Study Group, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University the state of Rio de Janeiro (UNIRIO), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Ronald H. Silverman Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

Sherif Tolees Department of Ophthalmology, Magrabi Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Raksha Urs Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

**Verónica Vargas** Innovation and Investigation Department, Vissum Instituto Oftalmológico de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Ryan S. Vida London Vision Clinic, London, UK

# Panorama of the Treatment of Keratoconus in 2020



César Carriazo and María José Cosentino

Keratoconus has always been a challenge both in its early diagnosis and integral treatment. When we began this book project, we considered making an updated panorama of the diagnosis and treatment of the keratoconus. We believe the keratoconus has been and still remains one of the pathologies whose treatment has been benefited most over the last two decades.

Beginning with the diagnosis, we have made greater in the early detection helped by the new keratoconus indices, among which we can highlight Belin-Ambrosio ones. We have been also helped by the improvement and new technologies which have contributed to the early detection of such disease. In this book, we have included a handful of chapters related to the diagnosis of keratoconus. Looking forward, we believe the gene therapy will not only be the future but it will intervene in the diagnosis and treatment of the disease as well [1, 2].

There is no doubt the corneal crosslinking becomes an important procedure when it comes to both stopping the progression of the *novo keratoconus* and being used in personalized refractive treatments in an adjunct way (Fig. 1). As a results, having a more stable cornea by using crosslinking has allowed us to correct these patients in a refractive way to improve their visual quality, and in most cases, we obtained the non-use of contact lenses or glasses. We have been given the opportunity to fine-tune the target of the treatments to be able to correct refractive defects [3].

It is important to consider the inflammatory component as the essential basis for the keratoconus. This has opened a wide range of possibilities of understanding its clinical ongoing process and performing different, anticipatory and more appropriate treatments avoiding advanced stages of the disease. Patients with advanced stages prevent us from using more rigid chances aimed at therapeutic strategies, and as a consequence, we are only able to perform a keratoplasty.

C. Carriazo

M. J. Cosentino (⊠) Instituto de la Vision, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

C. Carriazo, M. J. Cosentino (eds.), New Frontiers for the Treatment

of Keratoconus, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66143-4\_1

Clínica Carriazo, Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia





Fig. 2 Intracorneal rings



The intracorneal ring implantation has also been an alternative to correct irregular astigmatism, which is an unfortunate characteristic of the keratoconus (Fig. 2) [4, 5]. Likewise, we found the great alternative to compensate the ametropia of this type of patients either in a refractive way by means of phakic lens implantation or with the use of excimer laser by performing a photorefractive surgery. In chapters 7 and 8, the obtained results and our remarks on the best indications are shown. The use of excimer laser in patients with keratoconus is limited to a very specific segment of patients, and unfortunately the use of such laser cannot be broadly applied because it works in the corneal plane, which is the visibly affected tissue in the keratoconus [6, 7]. However, the phakic lens implantation allows correcting high ametropies, which often occur in patients with keratoconus, with really promising results (Fig. 3) [8, 9, 10]. Once these chapters have been read, we have no doubts that our readers will find the necessary grounds to count on both tools to correct these patients in a refractive way.



**Fig. 3** Posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens

In the treatment options, we will observe that each treatment has a different biomechanical behaviour. The corneal flattening of crosslinking is due to the contraction of the stromal lamellae. This allows the stroma to become more rigid, and in many cases, a slight flattening is produced. The corneal flattening obtained after corneal crosslinking is due to the contractions of the stromal lamellae.

When we talk about corneal refractive correction, especially with laser, its biomechanical behaviour obeys Dr. José Ignacio Barraquer's law of thickness, which tells us: "If we remove tissue in the periphery or add it in the centre, we bend the cornea" and, on the contrary, "If we remove tissue in the centre or add it in the periphery, we flatten the cornea". This is a way of "carving or sculpting" the anterior structure of the cornea.

However, Barraquer's studies and findings were based on healthy corneas which he planned to modify its anterior face in order to be refractive. Therefore, this thickness law does not apply to all keratoconus corneas. Unstable and/or weak corneas do not obey this law. What must be done? How do we calculate? How do we predict their behaviour? [11].

Such is the case of corneal rings, which do not respond in essence to Dr. Barraquer's law. In the case of intracorneal ring implantation, there is no corneal carving. In these cases, the cornea is not carved, the intracorneal rings produce a "tension" generated in the posterior stroma and a consequent flattening in the anterior layers of the cornea.

A great panorama is opened with the new therapeutic alternatives to treat keratoconus. We can say that they are not palliative and compensatory; they are more radical, if the term is allowed. This type of treatment, unimaginable at the end of the twentieth century, contributes to creating a cornea with coefficients closer to normal tissue. Stromal regeneration therapy has shown very good results in that way too, and we believe it will be a solid treatment alternative, which will be consolidated in the near future. This is expressed in one of our chapters [12, 13].



Fig. 4 Corneal remodelling

Talking about keratoconus without mentioning the concepts of corneal biomechanics is impossible. We address new concepts on this topic that will allow us to lead the analysis from a new approach. We would like to specially highlight a new surgical alternative to treat corneal ectasia, which we have called "corneal remodelling" (Fig. 4) [14, 15]. This technique is a new concept that moves away from the conceptual bases of "laminar contraction", observed in corneal crosslinking; it also differs from the one observed in the Thickness Law, "carved or sculpted" and it is also far from the concept of "tense deformation", presented in intracorneal rings. Since resistance is the keyword suffered by corneas with keratoconus, with this new concept, we introduce ourselves into the generation of a new limbo, resistant, which generates a physiological corneal profile. This new concept is based on "corneal stretching", which is the essence of the procedure. The results observed encourage us to think that it becomes a valid alternative procedure between the tools of the present and the future, at least until other superior technologies appear. This new therapeutic instrument is efficient in the optical and refractive management of keratoconus. One of its great advantages is the wide and clear optical zone, which allows optical aberrations to be modified and aims at the recovery of visual quality.

We have not included in the content of the book the alternative of performing keratoplasty, as it is our goal to give a great panorama of the immediate future that is foreseen regarding the most effective treatments for corneas with keratoconus. We believe that well-understood medicine is more corrective and less palliative.

To conclude this brief introduction, we should not take each technique as an isolated or separate treatment entity, but rather as a combinable and elastic whole, capable of being coupled in one or several procedures. One, two or more of these alternatives may be indicated simultaneously or in a deferred form. In our experience, the result of the combination of therapies is usually very positive not only in the optical and biostructural treatment of keratoconus but also in the refractive improvement of our patients.