



# Essentials

## of **MMPI<sup>®</sup>-2** **Assessment**

Second Edition

- Complete coverage of administration, scoring, interpretation, and reporting
- Expert advice on avoiding common pitfalls
- Conveniently formatted for rapid reference

**David S. Nichols**

**Alan S. Kaufman & Nadeen L. Kaufman, *Series Editors***






# Essentials

## of Psychological Assessment Series

Everything you need to know to administer, score, and interpret the major psychological tests.

**I'd like to order the following *Essentials of Psychological Assessment*:**

- ☐ WAIS®-IV Assessment (w/CD-ROM) / 978-0-471-73846-6 • \$46.95
- ☐ WJ III™ Cognitive Abilities Assessment / 978-0-471-34466-7 • \$36.95
- ☐ Cross-Battery Assessment, Second Edition (w/CD-ROM) / 978-0-471-75771-9 • \$46.95
- ☐ Nonverbal Assessment / 978-0-471-38318-5 • \$36.95
- ☐ PAI® Assessment / 978-0-471-08463-1 • \$36.95
- ☐ CAS Assessment / 978-0-471-29015-5 • \$36.95
- ☐ MMPI-2™ Assessment / 978-0-471-34533-6 • \$36.95
- ☐ Myers-Briggs Type Indicator® Assessment, Second Edition / 978-0-470-34390-6 • \$36.95
- ☐ Rorschach® Assessment / 978-0-471-33146-9 • \$36.95
- ☐ Million™ Inventories Assessment, Third Edition / 978-0-470-16862-2 • \$36.95
- ☐ TAT and Other Storytelling Assessments, Second Edition / 978-0-470-28192-5 • \$36.95
- ☐ MMPI-A™ Assessment / 978-0-471-39815-8 • \$36.95
- ☐ NEPSY®-II Assessment / 978-0-470-43691-2 • \$36.95
- ☐ Neuropsychological Assessment, Second Edition / 978-0-470-43747-6 • \$36.95
- ☐ WJ III™ Tests of Achievement Assessment / 978-0-471-33059-2 • \$36.95
- ☐ Evidence-Based Academic Interventions / 978-0-470-20632-4 • \$36.95
- ☐ WRAML2 and TOMAL-2 Assessment / 978-0-470-17911-6 • \$36.95
- ☐ WMS®-III Assessment / 978-0-471-38080-1 • \$36.95
- ☐ Behavioral Assessment / 978-0-471-35367-6 • \$36.95
- ☐ Forensic Psychological Assessment, Second Edition / 978-0-470-55168-4 • \$36.95
- ☐ Bayley Scales of Infant Development II Assessment / 978-0-471-32651-9 • \$36.95
- ☐ Career Interest Assessment / 978-0-471-35365-2 • \$36.95
- ☐ WPPSI™-III Assessment / 978-0-471-28895-4 • \$36.95
- ☐ 16PF® Assessment / 978-0-471-23424-1 • \$36.95
- ☐ Assessment Report Writing / 978-0-471-39487-7 • \$36.95
- ☐ Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5) Assessment / 978-0-471-22404-4 • \$36.95
- ☐ WISC®-IV Assessment, Second Edition (w/CD-ROM) / 978-0-470-18915-3 • \$46.95
- ☐ KABC-II Assessment / 978-0-471-66733-9 • \$36.95
- ☐ WIAT®-III and KTEA-II Assessment (w/CD-ROM) / 978-0-470-55169-1 • \$46.95
- ☐ Processing Assessment / 978-0-471-71925-0 • \$36.95
- ☐ School Neuropsychological Assessment / 978-0-471-78372-5 • \$36.95
- ☐ Cognitive Assessment with KAIT & Other Kaufman Measures / 978-0-471-38317-8 • \$36.95
- ☐ Assessment with Brief Intelligence Tests / 978-0-471-26412-5 • \$36.95
- ☐ Creativity Assessment / 978-0-470-13742-0 • \$36.95
- ☐ WNV™ Assessment / 978-0-470-28467-4 • \$36.95
- ☐ DAS-II® Assessment (w/CD-ROM) / 978-0-470-22520-2 • \$46.95
- ☐ Executive Function Assessment / 978-0-470-42202-1 • \$36.95
- ☐ Conners Behavior Assessments™ / 978-0-470-34633-4 • \$36.95
- ☐ Temperament Assessment / 978-0-470-44447-4 • \$36.95
- ☐ Response to Intervention / 978-0-470-56663-3 • \$36.95



**Please complete the order form on the back.**

**To order by phone, call toll free 1-877-762-2974**

**To order online: [www.wiley.com/essentials](http://www.wiley.com/essentials)**

**To order by mail: refer to order form on next page**



# Essentials

## of Psychological Assessment Series

### ORDER FORM

Please send this order form with your payment (credit card or check) to:  
John Wiley & Sons, Attn: J. Knott, 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774

QUANTITY	TITLE	ISBN	PRICE
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

<b>Shipping Charges:</b>	<b>Surface</b>	<b>2-Day</b>	<b>1-Day</b>
First item	\$5.00	\$10.50	\$17.50
Each additional item	\$3.00	\$3.00	\$4.00
For orders greater than 15 items, please contact Customer Care at 1-877-762-2974.			

ORDER AMOUNT	_____
SHIPPING CHARGES	_____
SALES TAX	_____
TOTAL ENCLOSED	_____

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

AFFILIATION \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

CITY/STATE/ZIP \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE \_\_\_\_\_

EMAIL \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Please add me to your e-mailing list

#### PAYMENT METHOD:

☐ Check/Money Order   ☐ Visa   ☐ Mastercard   ☐ AmEx

Card Number \_\_\_\_\_ Exp. Date \_\_\_\_\_

Cardholder Name (Please print) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

*Make checks payable to John Wiley & Sons. Credit card orders invalid if not signed.  
All orders subject to credit approval. • Prices subject to change.*

To order by phone, call toll free 1-877-762-2974

To order online: [www.wiley.com/essentials](http://www.wiley.com/essentials)



# **Essentials of MMPI-2<sup>®</sup> Assessment**

Second Edition

# Essentials of Psychological Assessment Series

Series Editors, Alan S. Kaufman and Nadeen L. Kaufman

## *Essentials of 16 PF® Assessment*

by Heather E.-P. Cattell and James M. Schuerger

## *Essentials of Assessment Report Writing*

by Elizabeth O. Lichtenberger, Nancy Mather, Nadeen L. Kaufman, and Alan S. Kaufman

## *Essentials of Assessment with Brief Intelligence Tests*

by Susan R. Homack and Cecil R. Reynolds

## *Essentials of Bayley Scales of Infant Development—II Assessment*

by Maureen M. Black and Kathleen Matula

## *Essentials of Behavioral Assessment*

by Michael C. Ramsay, Cecil R. Reynolds, and R. W. Kamphaus

## *Essentials of Career Interest Assessment*

by Jeffrey P. Prince and Lisa J. Heiser

## *Essentials of CAS Assessment*

by Jack A. Naglieri

## *Essentials of Cognitive Assessment with KAIT and Other Kaufman Measures*

by Elizabeth O. Lichtenberger, Debra Broadbooks, and Alan S. Kaufman

## *Essentials of Conners Behavior Assessments™*

by Elizabeth P. Sparrow

## *Essentials of Creativity Assessment*

by James C. Kaufman, Jonathan A. Plucker, and John Baer

## *Essentials of Cross-Battery Assessment, Second Edition*

by Dawn P. Flanagan, Samuel O. Ortiz, and Vincent C. Alfonso

## *Essentials of DAS-II® Assessment*

by Ron Dumont, John O. Willis, and Colin D. Elliot

## *Essentials of Evidence-Based Academic Interventions*

by Barbara J. Wendling and Nancy Mather

## *Essentials of Forensic Psychological Assessment, Second Edition*

by Marc J. Ackerman

## *Essentials of Individual Achievement Assessment*

by Douglas K. Smith

## *Essentials of KABC-II Assessment*

by Alan S. Kaufman, Elizabeth O. Lichtenberger, Elaine Fletcher-Janzen, and Nadeen L. Kaufman

## *Essentials of Millon™ Inventories Assessment, Third Edition*

by Stephen Strack

## *Essentials of MMPI-A™ Assessment*

by Robert P. Archer and Radhika Krishnamurthy

## *Essentials of MMPI-2® Assessment, Second Edition*

by David S. Nichols

## *Essentials of Myers-Briggs Type Indicator® Assessment, Second Edition*

by Naomi Quenk

## *Essentials of NEPSY®-II Assessment*

by Sally L. Kemp and Marit Korkman

## *Essentials of Neuropsychological Assessment, Second Edition*

by Nancy Hebben and William Milberg

## *Essentials of Nonverbal Assessment*

by Steve McCallum, Bruce Bracken, and John Wasserman

## *Essentials of PAI® Assessment*

by Leslie C. Morey

## *Essentials of Processing Assessment*

by Milton J. Dehn

## *Essentials of Response to Intervention*

by Amanda M. VanDerHeyden and Matthew K. Burns

## *Essentials of Rorschach® Assessment*

by Tara Rose, Nancy Kaser-Boyd, and Michael P. Maloney

## *Essentials of School Neuropsychological Assessment*

by Daniel C. Miller

## *Essentials of Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5) Assessment*

by Gale H. Roid and R. Andrew Barram

## *Essentials of TAT and Other Storytelling Assessments, Second Edition*

by Hedwig Teglassi

## *Essentials of Temperament Assessment*

by Diana Joyce

## *Essentials of WAIS®-IV Assessment*

by Elizabeth O. Lichtenberger and Alan S. Kaufman

## *Essentials of WLAT®-III and KTEA-II Assessment*

by Elizabeth O. Lichtenberger and Kristina C. Breaux

## *Essentials of WISC-III® and WPPSI-R® Assessment*

by Alan S. Kaufman and Elizabeth O. Lichtenberger

## *Essentials of WISC®-IV Assessment, Second Edition*

by Dawn P. Flanagan and Alan S. Kaufman

## *Essentials of WJ III™ Cognitive Abilities Assessment*

by Fredrick A. Schrank, Dawn P. Flanagan, Richard W. Woodcock, and Jennifer T. Mascolo

## *Essentials of WJ III™ Tests of Achievement Assessment*

by Nancy Mather, Barbara J. Wendling, and Richard W. Woodcock

## *Essentials of WMS®-IV Assessment*

by Lisa Whipple Drozdick, James A. Holdnack, and Robin C. Hilsabeck

## *Essentials of WNV™ Assessment*

by Kimberly A. Brunnert, Jack A. Naglieri, and Steven T. Hardy-Braz

## *Essentials of WPPSI™-III Assessment*

by Elizabeth O. Lichtenberger and Alan S. Kaufman

## *Essentials of WRAML2 and TOMAL-2 Assessment*

by Wayne Adams and Cecil R. Reynolds

# Essentials

## of MMPI-2<sup>®</sup> Assessment

Second Edition

David S. Nichols



John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

This book is printed on acid-free paper. ©

Copyright © 2011 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.

Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 646-8600, or on the web at [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com). Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008.

**Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty:** While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If legal, accounting, medical, psychological or any other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. In all instances where John Wiley & Sons, Inc. is aware of a claim, the product names appear in initial capital or all capital letters. Readers, however, should contact the appropriate companies for more complete information regarding trademarks and registration.

For general information on our other products and services please contact our Customer Care Department within the U.S. at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books. For more information about Wiley products, visit our website at [www.wiley.com](http://www.wiley.com).

### ***Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data:***

Nichols, David S. author.

Essentials of MMPI-2 Assessment / David S. Nichols.—2.

p. cm. — (Essentials of Psychological Assessment)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-470-92323-8 (pbk.)

ISBN 978-1-118-03738-6 (ebk)

ISBN 978-1-118-03739-3 (ebk)

ISBN 978-1-118-03737-9 (ebk)

1. Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory. I. Title: Essentials of Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory—Two Assessment—2. II. Title.

BF698.8.M5N53 2011

155.2'83—dc22 2010049507

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1



*To the memory of my beloved parents,  
Ambrose Reuben Nichols, Jr. (1914–2000)  
and  
Barbara Adele Seward Nichols (1913–2006),  
and to the future of my beloved  
Godson, Benjamin David Bowman (1992–).  
In each, the luck of a lifetime.*



## Contents

	Series Preface	xi
	Acknowledgments	xiii
One	History and Development	1
Two	Administration	19
Three	Scoring	28
Four	Introduction to Interpretation	33
Five	Assessing Protocol Validity	45
Six	The Standard Clinical Scales	89
Seven	The Content and Content Component, Personality Psychopathology–5 ( <i>PSY-5</i> ), Restructured Clinical (RC), and Supplementary Scales	208
Eight	Profile Patterns and Codetypes	257
Nine	Strengths and Weaknesses of the MMPI-2	295
Ten	Clinical Applications of the MMPI-2	307
Eleven	Illustrative Case Report	323

References	343
Annotated Bibliography	359
About the Author	363
Index	365

## Series Preface

In the *Essentials of Psychological Assessment* series, we have attempted to provide readers with books that will deliver key practical information in the most efficient and accessible style. The series features instruments in a variety of domains, such as cognition, personality, education, and neuropsychology. For experienced clinicians, books in the series will offer a concise yet thorough way to master utilization of the continuously evolving supply of new and revised instruments, as well as a convenient method for keeping up-to-date on the tried-and-true measures. Novices will find here a prioritized assembly of all the information and techniques that must be readily known to begin the complicated process of individual psychological diagnosis.

Wherever feasible, visual shortcuts to highlight key points are utilized alongside systematic, step-by-step guidelines. Chapters are focused and succinct. Topics are targeted for an easy understanding of the essentials of administration, scoring, interpretation, and clinical application. Theory and research are continually woven into the fabric of each book, but always to enhance clinical inference, never to sidetrack or overwhelm. We have long been advocates of “intelligent” testing—the notion that a profile of test scores is meaningless unless it is brought to life by the clinical observations and astute detective work of knowledgeable examiners. Test profiles must be used to make a difference in the child’s or adult’s life, or why bother to test? We want this series to help our readers become the best intelligent testers they can be.

In *Essentials of MMPI-2 Assessment, 2nd Edition*, the author presents a range of basic information that will enable students and psychologists wishing to renew their acquaintance with this test to establish a firm foundation in its applications and interpretation. A complete range of MMPI-2 scales, both traditional and more recent, the relationships among them, and the test patterns they create, are described in sufficient detail to support initial expertise. This text also provides a level of depth suitable for experienced clinicians to extend and strengthen their

understanding of the test's scales and patterns, and to become familiar with those developments that have come about since the original edition was released a decade ago. More than one-third of the references are new to this edition.

No guide to the MMPI-2 is sufficiently comprehensive to stand alone; each must adhere to one of several possible emphases, whether clinical, research, psychometric, medical, forensic, or another. Although matters related to the test's psychometrics and research findings are not neglected, the predominant emphasis here is abnormal clinical so as to maintain maximal relevance to the tasks the clinical psychologist is routinely called upon to perform: personality assessment, psychodiagnosis, and treatment planning for persons with mental disorders. Accordingly, the descriptive slant given to the test's scales and patterns is one the author has sought to tie as closely as possible to abnormal psychology/psychiatry. As such, the text incorporates a great deal of the clinical lore that has grown up around the MMPI/MMPI-2 over the past 70 years, some from the author's 40 years of direct experience and consultation using the test with a very wide range of psychiatric patients and clinical problems. This text is intended to assist clinicians in approaching the task of MMPI-2 interpretation with a sound appreciation of its limits and complexities, but also with a secure confidence that they can successfully apply the MMPI-2 in the service of understanding patients, guiding their care, and helping them achieve better and more fulfilling lives.

*Alan S. Kaufman, PhD, and Nadeen L. Kaufman, EdD, Series Editors*  
Yale University School of Medicine

## Acknowledgments

**T**he occasion of the second edition of this book affords me the pleasure of acknowledging my gratitude to my mentors in the MMPI/MMPI-2 over the past 35 years: Jim Butcher, Alex Caldwell, Bob Carson, Bob Colligan, Grant and Leona Dahlstrom, Joe Finney, Irv Gottesman, Jack Graham, Roger Greene, Phil Marks, Paul Meehl, George Ritz, and Auke Tellegen. All of them have enriched my view of this instrument, and none would be fully pleased with the presentation I give here. Nevertheless, I could not be more thankful for their efforts in trying to educate me. I am even more indebted to those I cannot acknowledge by name, the patients whose lives touched mine in the course of my work at Oregon State Hospital, who completed the MMPI/MMPI-2 and sat with me and taught me, about themselves and about this test.

I gratefully acknowledge the specific contributions of Roger Greene, my beloved friend and research partner of 30 years. At every turn and in every way within his power, Roger has lent his active and relentlessly generous support to the exploration of the questions that the MMPI and MMPI-2 have brought my way. He provided the zero-order correlations drawn from Alex Caldwell's clinical file of 52,543 MMPI-2 protocols that occur throughout the book. An equally warm acknowledgment is due Alex, another generous friend and beloved colleague who, in Westwood, Los Angeles, in the Spring of 1974, extended his hand to an ignorant but curious student of the MMPI, thereby beginning a conversation that continues to this day, and continues to be peppered with the lively spice of argument. Whatever elements of this book prove lucky enough to stand the test of time can be traced to the labor and devotion of these two dear friends.

Early drafts of Chapters 1 and 6 benefited greatly from both stylistic and substantive review by Jane Rosen who, beset by a crush of obligations to family, graduate school, and work, nevertheless undertook this generous act of friendship.

My heartfelt thanks are also due to Tracey Belmont, my original editor at Wiley. The benefit of her soft touch, encouragement, flexibility, and patience kept me going when my will and confidence flagged, and her dedication to improving my prose at every turn continues in this revision. Both I and the readers are much in her debt.



## Chapter One

### HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT

The road that led to the development of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) was circuitous, its course passing by several notable psychometric failures and one surprising success in the area of occupational psychology. The achievement of Starke Rosecrans Hathaway, a physiological psychologist, and J. Charnley McKinley, a neuropsychiatrist, rested on 30 years of experience with self-report questionnaires created to discern personality features. The need to evaluate the fitness of military personnel to serve in the Armed Forces during World War I was a major stimulus to the development of personality questionnaires. The best-known example of these was the Woodworth Personal Data Sheet (1920), which incorporated its author's *Psychoneurotic Tendencies*, a scale developed three years earlier in an effort to assess the vulnerability of military personnel to shell shock, battle fatigue, or other emotional instability. The test was a qualified success, presumably, in part, because prospective soldiers who feared combat or otherwise considered themselves in need of evaluation were more than willing to acknowledge their attitudes on the test (Hathaway, 1965).

The *Psychoneurotic Tendencies* scale was adapted as one of the scales of the Bernreuter Personality Inventory (1933), which was to become hugely popular despite telling research failures that appeared almost immediately following its publication. Landis and Katz (1934) found, for example, that its scale for neuroticism was unable to discriminate neurotics from psychotics. Moreover, some of the scale's items were endorsed by members of a normal sample more frequently than by neurotics. The Achilles' heel of the Bernreuter lay in the strategy of its construction. The composition of the test items was guided by rational considerations applied to textbooks or case histories on the assumption that neurotics, for example, would respond to the items as expert opinion suggested they should. The work of Landis and Katz (1934) and others showed that neurotics, among others, could be somewhat perverse in this regard.

The Humm-Wadsworth Temperament Scale (1935) was the first personality questionnaire to use the actual responses of psychiatric patients to determine the direction in which items should be scored and their suitability for

scale development. It was also the first inventory to attempt to model a specific theory of personality, that of psychiatrist Aaron Rosanoff (1921). The Humm-Wadsworth contained 318 items and provided scores for seven scales: *Normal*, *Hysteroid*, *Manic*, *Depressive*, *Autistic*, *Paranoid*, and *Epileptoid*. The item assignments for each scale were based on the difference between the item's frequency of endorsement among a group of patients judged high on the trait and a comparison group of normals. For whatever reason, the test didn't catch on in clinical settings, but it became popular for use in industry (Goldberg, 1971). The method of contrasted groups was not discovered by Humm and Wadsworth. It had been known since at least the early 1920s and had been used to conspicuous advantage by E.K. Strong in the development of the Strong Vocational Interest Blank (SVIB; 1927). Strong had built his interest inventory by requiring that the items on his scales discriminate between occupational groups (e.g., lawyers) and "men-in-general."

As Hathaway began to develop the MMPI, he was aware of the criticisms of the Bernreuter and of the rational approach to inventory construction in general. He also knew both of the methods used to develop the SVIB and of the success of this inventory in practice. Finally, Hathaway knew of the Humm-Wadsworth, which figured in his first publication in the field of clinical psychology (1939), and from which he borrowed about one-quarter of his MMPI items.

It might be supposed that the method of contrasted groups appealed only to Hathaway's scientific scruples, but this is far from the case. Hathaway was a tinkerer, an inventor, and a builder of gadgetry and apparatus. He was by temperament a thoroughgoing pragmatist with a deep distrust of theory and an abiding belief in practical experience. The method of contrasted groups provided Hathaway with a practical means of avoiding theory and sidestepping rational or intuitive guidance in the selection of the items for the MMPI clinical scales. Hathaway did not pretend to know how different kinds of patients would respond to his items. The method of contrasted groups allowed him a satisfactory way of finding out: It allowed him to ask them.

There was another advantage to this method that was not wasted on Hathaway. He knew that the previous rationally developed inventories had failed at least in part because their developers' attention had wandered from the central question of *validity*. By relying on the method of contrasted groups, Hathaway required items to surmount a validity hurdle from the outset: Each item had to demonstrate construct relevant variance by concretely discriminating between groups. This was no guarantee that the item would survive subsequent challenges to its validity. For example, it could fail on cross-validation to discriminate the same group of criterion cases from a different group of normal controls; or a similar but separately constituted group of criterion cases from the same group of normal

controls; or in comparisons in which both the criterion cases and normal controls involved previously untested subjects. The advantage of the method was that the items exposed to such subsequent challenges had achieved at least some initial, concrete, discriminative advantage, a claim no rationally derived item could make.

Hathaway intended the MMPI to achieve a “sampling of behavior of significance to the psychiatrist,” and this aim determined the range of clinical scales to be developed for the inventory. From an initial pool of more than 1,000 items drawn from psychiatric textbooks, guides for the mental status examination, and previously published tests, Hathaway and McKinley winnowed the pool to 504 items divided into 26 content areas. These included items related to general medical and neurological symptoms, political and social attitudes, affective and cognitive symptoms, and fears and obsessions; items implicating family, educational, and occupational experience; and a set of items to reveal an overly virtuous self-presentation on the inventory. An additional 55 items thought to be related to masculinity-femininity were later added, and 9 items were subsequently deleted to achieve the final pool of 550 items.

The item format chosen was the first-person declarative sentence, written with simplified wording based on contemporary word-frequency tables. Brevity, clarity, and simplicity were occasionally given precedence over grammatical precision. Common English slang and idioms were used, but esoteric or specialized language was avoided. Responses were limited to *True*, *False*, and *Cannot Say* (?).

The group of normals selected to contrast with the pathological criterion groups were 724 University of Minnesota hospital and outpatient clinic visitors between the ages of 16 and 65 (hereafter referred to as the “Minnesota Normals”). Each affirmed that he or she was not currently under a physician’s care for the treatment of any illness. The demographics of this group of normals corresponded well to 1930 census values for Minnesota regarding age, sex, and marital status (Colligan, Osborne, Swenson, & Offord, 1983). “In 1940, such a Minnesota normal adult was about 35 years old, was married, lived in a small town or rural area, had eight years of general schooling, and worked at a skilled or semi-skilled trade (or was married to a man with such an occupational level),” (Dahlstrom, Welsh, and Dahlstrom, 1972, p. 8).

First published in 1942, the MMPI included scales for *Hypochondriasis* (*Hs*), *Depression* (*D*), *Hysteria* (*Hy*), *Psychopathic Deviate* (*Pd*), *Masculinity-Femininity* (*Mf*), *Paranoia* (*Pa*), *Psychasthenia* (*Pt*), and *Schizophrenia* (*Sc*), and was an immediate success. By the end of April 1943, 230 copies had been sold for use in clinics, correctional facilities, corporations, and universities, generating enough revenue to more than cover the costs of initial publication. The Psychological Corporation, which had turned down the test when it was first submitted, became its licensed distributor

in 1943, by which time the ninth and last of the clinical scales, *Hypomania (Ma)*, was ready to be included in the revised *Manual* (Hathaway & McKinley, 1943) and test materials. A manual supplement introducing a booklet form of the test as an alternative to the original box form was published in 1946. This supplement also introduced the *K* scale—which thereafter took its place next to *F* on the standard profile form—as well as the practice of adding fractions of *K* to suppress some of the scale score variances related to response style. The MMPI arrived in its final form in the 1951 *Manual* with the addition of the *Social Introversion scale (Si)*, the last of the standard clinical scales. Rapid Reference 1.1 summarizes the MMPI-2 Standard Validity and Clinical scales.

## Rapid Reference 1.1

### Summary of MMPI-2 Standard Validity and Clinical Scales

#### Validity Scales

- L* *Lie*. Assesses naive attempts to place oneself in a morally and culturally favorable light by denying moral imperfections.
- F* *Infrequency*. Assesses the tendency to claim highly unusual attitudes and behaviors as a function of severe psychopathology; a subject's seeking to place himself or herself in an unfavorable light; or a subject's difficulties completing the inventory (e.g., reading problems or random or careless responding).
- K* *Correction*. Assesses the tendency to control and limit the disclosure of distress, discomfort, and problems relating to others. Fractions of *K* are added as a correction to Scales 1, 4, 7, 8, and 9 to discourage false-negative/false-positive scores on these scales.

#### Clinical Scales

1. *Hypochondriasis (Hs)*. Measures the tendency to manifest physical symptoms as an expression of emotional discomfort, to be preoccupied with one's health, and to reject nonmedical (i.e., psychological) explanations for such symptoms.
2. *Depression (D)*. Measures aspects of symptomatic depression: dysphoria, distress, pessimism, low morale, inhibition, intropunitiveness, physical discomfort and vegetative symptoms, problems in thinking, and social vulnerability.
3. *Hysteria (Hy)*. Measures the tendency to develop physical symptoms under stress, to experience pain, and to deny social friction or discord with others.
4. *Psychopathic Deviate (Pd)*. Measures alienation, social disinhibition, and the tendency to come into conflict with family, authorities, and others through rebellion, exploitation, misconduct, poorly developed conscience, and the lack of internalized moral standards.

5. *Masculinity-Femininity (Mf)*. Measures broad patterns of interests, activities, attitudes, and sentiments that tend to follow gender stereotypes.
6. *Paranoia (Pa)*. Measures personal/moral rigidity, interpersonal sensitivity, resentment, and ideas of being misunderstood, mistreated, persecuted, or controlled by others, and the tendency to construe the actions, intentions, and motives of others as unfair, degrading, or hostile.
7. *Psychasthenia (Pt)*. Measures the tendency to express stresses through tension, anxiety, apprehensiveness, worry, phobias, obsessions, rumination, compulsions, and fears of losing control, with willful and inflexible efforts to control such symptoms.
8. *Schizophrenia (Sc)*. Measures severe alienation, self-contempt, apathy, cognitive disruption, inertia, feelings of unreality, alien impulses, and motor and sensory impairment.
9. *Hypomania (Ma)*. Measures a rapid and energetic personal tempo, hyperarousal, hyperactivity, stimulation-seeking, euphoria, imperviousness, undercontrol, and rebellious impulses (high scores), versus lethargy, slowness, submissiveness, vulnerability, scrupulousness and, occasionally depression (low scores).
10. *Social Introversion (Si)*. Measures introversion, shyness, social anxiety, social timidity and awkwardness, and social avoidance (high scores), versus extroversion, outgoingness, social comfort and skill, social intrepidity, and social stimulation-seeking (low scores).

The success of the MMPI was measured not only by its sales and distribution but also by the amount of research interest it attracted. By the mid-1950s, the MMPI literature had accumulated 700 references, and by 1965, this number had expanded to more than 2,000. In the next decade the latter number would triple. Over time, the success of the MMPI in the United States drew the interest of psychologists and psychiatrists from countries around the world, eventually culminating in scores of translations for use in dozens of countries.

With the accumulation of empirical correlates made possible by research interest and as a means of facilitating classification and communication about MMPI data, a coding system was devised whereby the clinical scales could be identified by number in order to bypass the implications of the diagnostic constructs implicit in their names, as follows: Hypochondriasis (Hs) came to be represented as Scale 1, Depression (D) as Scale 2, and so on, up to Hypomania (Ma) as Scale 9. This convention enabled a convenient shorthand for describing MMPI profile patterns such that, for example, a profile having its primary elevations on D and

Pt could be coded as a 27/72. This coding system is described more fully in Chapter 3.

With the passage of time, several weaknesses of the MMPI came to be exposed. Some that were present from the beginning had been allowed to go uncorrected; others became evident only gradually, with the accumulation of research, the march of cultural and demographic changes within the United States, and the introduction of previously unforeseen applications. The chief chronic inadequacy of the MMPI stemmed from Hathaway's need to base his test norms on the original sample of 724 normals who had served as the primary nonpathological reference group for the development of the eight *basic* clinical scales (i.e., Scales *His-1*, *D-2*, *Hy-3*, *Pd-4*, *Pa-6*, *Pt-7*, *Sc-8*, and *Ma-9*); these basic clinical scales, plus Scales *Mf-5* and *Si-0*, comprise the *standard* clinical scales of the MMPI/MMPI-2. The repeated use of these subjects as contrasts for Hathaway's pathological criterion groups deprived those groups, in a statistical sense, of their "normal" levels of abnormality. Hathaway and McKinley wanted to collect data from a large additional sample of normal subjects on which a proper set of norms could be based, but they were prevented from doing so by a lack of funds. They therefore had to make do with their tainted sample to establish the MMPI norms.

The inadequacy of these original norms was later made strikingly clear (Colligan et al., 1983; Pancoast & Archer, 1989), as the results of research conducted around the country repeatedly found the scores of newly collected normal samples to hover around a *T*-score of 55. There were additional reasons for newly collected normals to score higher than Hathaway's normals. For the most part, the newer subjects were not excluded from samples because they were under a doctor's care at the time of testing, as Hathaway's subjects had been. Another factor was the tendency for later test administrators to discourage the use of the *Cannot Say (?)* response category, thereby increasing the number of responses that could contribute to scale elevations (the original normals had not been discouraged from leaving items unmarked). Perhaps anticipating this consequence of the hypernormal bias of the original normative sample, Hathaway set the optimal boundary for distinguishing nonpathological from pathological elevations at a *T*-score of 70, two standard deviations from the mean. The wisdom of this choice will become evident later when we discuss the MMPI-2.

In the postwar period, and with the passage of the GI Bill, the average educational attainment of the U.S. population began to rise sharply. The original Minnesota Normals had averaged an eighth-grade education; by 1970, the average years of education had soared to 12, and college enrollment levels were swelling. The population was also becoming more ethnically and culturally diverse, and more women were entering the labor force. With the passage of time, the colloquial

language of some of the items (e.g., references to “sleeping powders,” “street-cars,” “drop-the-handkerchief”) had become dated and at risk of becoming obscure. Other items contained grammatical errors that were overdue for correction, and still others contained references to cultural activities that had become less familiar. With the expansion of the MMPI beyond the hospital and clinic to applications in employment screening and the forensic arena came increasing complaints about sexist wording and items dealing with religious matters, eliminatory functioning, and sexual adjustment that were deemed to be intrusive or offensive. Some areas of item content were thought to be underrepresented in an instrument that had already begun to be more frequently applied to the assessment of substance abuse, suicide risk, and treatment planning. By the 1970s, it had become clear that the time for restandardizing the MMPI had come. The copyright holder, the University of Minnesota Press, appointed a committee to undertake this work.

## RESTANDARDIZATION LEADING TO THE MMPI-2

In preparation for the restandardization, the committee developed a new form of the MMPI, *MMPI-AX*, containing all of the original MMPI items, less 16 items that repeated earlier items on the original MMPI, plus 154 newly written items. Subjects between the ages of 18 and 84 were recruited by newspaper ads and solicited using directories and mailing lists from Minnesota (21.6% of total sample), North Carolina (18.8%), Ohio (17.3%), Pennsylvania (11.7%), Virginia (9.7%), California (9.4%), and Washington (8.3%). Subsamples of Native Americans from a federal reservation in Washington state (2.2%) and military personnel on active duty from several U.S. bases (0.92%) completed the restandardization sample. Unlike the Minnesota Normals, restandardization subjects were not disqualified for being under the care of a physician or mental health professional. Subjects were paid and were required to provide basic demographic data on sex, age, ethnicity, attained education, marital status, and income, and to complete a Recent Life Events Survey (*LES*; Holmes & Rahe, 1967), in addition to the MMPI-AX. A small proportion of the sample (111 women and 82 men) was retested an average of eight and a half days later to provide preliminary data on temporal stability. Marital couples or unmarried partners (832 women, 823 men) sharing a household for at least one year completed the Spanier Dyadic Adjustment Scale (Spanier, 1976) and provided ratings on their spouses or partners using a modified form of the Katz Adjustment Scales (Katz, 1968). The final restandardization sample of 2,600 (1,462 women, 1,138 men) remained from a larger sample of about 2,900 subjects, with the data from about 300 subjects removed because of omitted demographic or *LES* information, excessive (> 39)



item omissions on the MMPI-AX, or excessively ( $> 19$ ) deviant scores on the *F* scale or on an experimental scale that was devised to detect infrequent responses to items on the second half of the test.

The restandardization sample was made to conform as closely as possible to 1980 census data, excluding geographic distribution. In terms of marital status, income distribution, and ethnic diversity, this goal was largely met, but with some underrepresentation of Hispanics and Asian Americans. There was also some underrepresentation of subjects at the extremes of the age distribution, particularly for younger ( $< 20$ ) men and older ( $> 70$ ) women. However, the sample grossly exceeded census estimates for educational level and occupational status. Schinka and LaLone (1997) drew a subsample of 1,000 subjects from the restandardization sample stratified in accordance with census projections and 1995 educational statistics for age, gender, ethnicity, and educational attainment. They found that differences on the standard validity and clinical scales, the content scales, and the supplementary scales between the full restandardization sample and their census-matched subsample were virtually nonexistent. Thus, there do not appear to be large sources of systematic bias in the restandardization sample that would lead to significant errors of measurement or interpretation in most situations. This does not mean that the MMPI-2 can be assumed to be free of biasing factors in all situations. Mere correspondence to census values cannot guarantee that the MMPI-2 will provide

a reliable normative standard against which the profiles of atypical samples can be interpreted. For example, the profiles of poor, inner-city African Americans, Native Americans in most parts of the United States, itinerant Hispanic laborers, or even homeless or geographically isolated Caucasians may deviate significantly from the restandardization norms in ways that may lead to overpathologizing or underpathologizing the interpretations derived from them.

The restandardization project culminated in the publication of the MMPI-2 in 1989 (Butcher, Dahlstrom, Graham, Tellegen, & Kaemmer, 1989). Rapid Reference 1.2 provides publication information.

## CAUTION

Norms for the MMPI-2 (or any other such instrument) can never be assumed to be equally applicable for all examinees. For example, the normative reference group may be a poor fit for recent immigrants, residents of poverty-impacted inner-city neighborhoods, members of marginal religious organizations/cults or fringe sociopolitical organizations like white supremacy groups, patients awaiting medical treatment (e.g., transplant surgery) for life-threatening conditions, criminal suspects under prosecution, and so forth. Consideration of subcultural membership and differences can be important for test interpretation.



## *Rapid Reference 1.2*

### **Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory–2 (MMPI-2)**

**Authors:** Starke R. Hathaway, PhD, and J. Charnley McKinley, MD.  
Restandardized by James N. Butcher, PhD, W. Grant Dahlstrom, PhD,  
John R. Graham, PhD, Auke Tellegen, PhD, and Beverly Kaemmer

**Publication date:** 1989

**Copyright holder:** University of Minnesota Press

**What the test measures:** Psychopathology and normal/abnormal personality functioning

**Age range:** 18 years and above

**Administration time:** 1–2 hours

**Norms:** Norms for the copyright holder-approved MMPI-2 scales are available in the two editions of the *MMPI-2 Manual* of 1989 (includes the Obvious & Subtle subscales and PS not included in the 2001 *Manual*) and its 2001 revision which contains norms for the PSY-5 and content component scales, not included in the 1989 *Manual*. More comprehensive collections of approved and as yet unapproved scale norms, such as the *Mf* and *S* subscales, the Wiggins content scales, and many others are contained in Greene, 2000, and/or Friedman, Lewak, Nichols, and Webb, 2001.

**Qualifications of examiners:** Graduate-level training in psychodiagnostic assessment. Purchase of MMPI materials requires an “A Level” qualification (licensed mental health professionals).

**Publisher and distributor:** Pearson Assessments  
19500 Bulverde Road  
San Antonio, TX 78259-3701  
Phone: 800-232-1223

**Products and services:** Manual, test materials, and scoring and/or interpretation services, including on-site scoring, are available from Pearson Assessments. Alternate computer scoring, with or without interpretation, is available from Caldwell Report, 5839 Green Valley Circle, Suite 203, Culver City, CA 90230, phone 877-667-4248, and from Behaviordata, 20833 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 100, Cupertino, CA 95014, phone 800-627-2673.

### **SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE MMPI AND MMPI-2**

Apart from the deletion of a few items that previous test-takers had identified as objectionable (mostly items with religious, sexual, bowel, or bladder content),

from scales *F* (3 items), *Hs* (1), *D* (3), *Mf* (4), and *Si* (1), the standard validity and clinical scales of the MMPI are unchanged in the MMPI-2, assuring substantial continuity with the research and clinical literature built up over the previous half-century. The revised instrument contains 567 items, of which none are repeated (vs. the MMPI's 566 items, of which 16 were repeated). Ninety items, 15 with religious content, were dropped from the original MMPI item pool; 107 were new to the MMPI-2. With very few exceptions, any scale developed for the MMPI can be adapted for use with the MMPI-2. Sixty-eight of the items retained from the MMPI were rewritten to correct grammar, eliminate sexist language, or reduce ambiguity. None of the changes materially affect the performance of these items (Ben-Porath & Butcher, 1989). The scale level factor structure of the MMPI-2 is essentially identical to that of the MMPI. Although short forms of the MMPI/MMPI-2 render a considerable amount of test information unavailable to the interpreter (e.g., content scale scores), the completion of the first 370 items enables the full scoring of L, F, K, all of the standard clinical scales and Harris-Lingoes subscales, and PK.

The Harris-Lingoes subscales for six of the eight basic scales were for the most part unchanged for the MMPI-2, although the subscales for Scale 4 (*Pd*), which had originally included 14 items not on *Pd* itself, 6 of these on *Pd3*, no longer include these items. The 13 Wiggins (1966) content scales for the MMPI were replaced by 15 MMPI-2 content scales (Butcher, Graham, Williams, & Ben-Porath, 1990). The Koss-Butcher (Koss & Butcher, 1973) and Lachar-Wrobel (Lachar & Wrobel, 1979) critical items were retained, with two of the Koss-Butcher item sets (those dealing with depression/suicide and alcohol abuse) augmented by some of the new MMPI-2 items. Several new scales were developed for the MMPI-2, including five validity or response-style indicators, three subscales for Scale 0 (*Si*) to replace the earlier six Serkownek (1975) subscales, two gender-role scales (GM & GF), two posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) scales (PK & PS), two alcohol/substance abuse scales (AAS & APS, and a revision of MAC-R), eight Martin-Finn subscales for Scale 5 (*Mf*) to replace the six Serkownek (1975) *Mf* subscales, the Marital Distress Scale (MDS), and the Personality Psychopathology-Five (PSY-5) scales. All of these scales are discussed in detail in Chapters 6 and 7.

Scores for all of the scales of the MMPI were transformed onto the *T* distribution to enable comparison between scales with different numbers of items and with different means and variances. Because each scale had its own characteristic skewness and kurtosis, the standard linear *T*-score formula did not permit a direct comparison of percentile ranks. For the MMPI-2, the distributional characteristics of the basic clinical and content

scales are adjusted to enable MMPI-2 *T*-scores to be represented as percentile equivalents.

The new uniform *T*-score distributions were designed by first creating a composite distribution for each scale set and then mapping each scale in each set (basic and content) onto its own composite distribution (Tellegen & Ben-Porath, 1992). In this way, percentile-rank uniformity among scales could be achieved without distorting the characteristic positive skew of these scales. Following publication of the MMPI-2, the uniform *T*-distribution was extended to include the content component (Ben-Porath & Sherwood, 1993; Green, Handel, & Archer, 2006) and *PSY-5* (Harkness, McNulty, & Ben-Porath, 1995; Bagby, Ryder, Ben-Dat, Bacchiochi, & Parker, 2002) scales.

Finally, unlike the original normals, the restandardization subjects were actively discouraged from leaving items unmarked, so that their average *Cannot Say* (?) score was lower than that of the Minnesota Normals, thereby reducing the distorting influence of omitted items on MMPI-2 mean scale scores. Hathaway had set a fifth-grade reading level as the minimum competency for taking the MMPI; subsequent research on reading skills showed that the difficulty of many of the items was well beyond this level of reading competency. As a result of studies on reading difficulty of items carried out as a part of the MMPI-2 restandardization, the authors set an eighth-grade level of reading proficiency as the new minimum.

## ESSENTIAL REFERENCES FOR THE MMPI-2

*The MMPI-2: Manual for Administration and Scoring* (Butcher, Graham, Ben-Porath, Tellegen, Dahlstrom, & Kaemmer, 2001) is the most basic reference, containing the publisher's guidelines for use and extensive psychometric information about the test. Many important references on the test, including the original articles on scale development, are collected in *Basic Sources on the MMPI-2* (Butcher, 2000). Among several guides and manuals for the MMPI-2, the most comprehensive are *The MMPI-2/MMPI-2-RF: An Interpretive Manual* (Greene, 2011a) and *Psychological Assessment with the MMPI-2* (Friedman et al, 2001).

## MORE RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Students and newcomers to the MMPI-2 will find the following sections redundant if not inaccessible. For now, they may be safely skipped pending greater familiarity/mastery of the material presented in Chapters 2 through 10.

## MMPI/MMPI-2 Personality Disorder Scales

The first set of scales devised for the assessment of personality disorders was developed for the original MMPI by Morey, Waugh, and Blashfield (1985). These were then followed by two additional sets of personality disorder scales by Levitt and Gotts (1995; see also Gotts & Knudsen, 2005), then by Somwaru and Ben-Porath (1995) for the MMPI-2. Most of these scales have demonstrated acceptable psychometric characteristics (e.g., temporal stability, convergent and discriminant validity), but none are available through commercial scoring services at present and will not be further described or discussed here. Interested readers are referred to the convenient review by Widiger and Boyd (2009), the references included therein, and several additional reports included among the references here (Castlebury, Hilsenroth, Handler, & Durham, 1997; Guthrie & Mobley, 1994; Hurt, Clarkin, & Morey, 1990; Lenzenweger & Korfine, 1992; McCann, 1992; Miller, Streiner, & Parkinson, 1992; Pincus & Wiggins, 1990; Scheidt & Windle, 1994; Sinha & Watson, 1999; Trull, 1991; Wagner, Riley, Schmidt, McCormick, & Butler, 1999; Wiggins & Pincus, 1989; Wise, 1994, 1995, 2002; and Woolley, 2004).

## PSY-5 Facet Subscales

Seeking to replicate the clinical utility of sub- or component scales for the MMPI-2 clinical and content scales, respectively, for the *PSY-5* scales, Arnau, Handel, & Archer (2005) used principal components analysis to devise 13 facet subscales for them. Although mixed, subsequent evaluations of the reliability and validity of these facet scales have been, on balance, discouraging (Jones, 2008; Quilty & Bagby, 2007; Wang, Zhang, Shi, Zhou, & Li, 2010), but they are nevertheless helpful for understanding the varieties of item content comprising the *PSY-5* scales.

## The Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales

One of the problems unanticipated by Hathaway and McKinley in the construction of the MMPI that became evident over the course of ensuing decades of research was the extensive covariation among the clinical scales. In clinical samples the average intercorrelation among the basic clinical scales is roughly in the .55–.60 range. In order to address this problem, Auke Tellegen began a program of investigation in the 1990s that culminated in a new set of nine Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales (Tellegen, Ben-Porath, McNulty, Arbisi, Graham, & Kaemmer, 2003). These new scales represent a substantial departure from the empirical traditions

of the MMPI and from the familiar clinical scales that have formed its foundation. The first of these scales, *Demoralization (RCd)*, was conceived as a measure of the broad dimension of general maladjustment or subjective distress, the “First Factor” of the MMPI-2 item pool, analogous to Welsh’s (1956) *A* scale (described in Chapters 4 and 7). The remaining eight scales, RC1–RC4 and RC6–RC9, were intended to capture “the distinctive substantive core” of each of the clinical scales, 1–4 and 6–9.

It is beyond the scope of this book to review the methodology described in Tellegen et al. (2003) for developing the RC scales, but readers should note that these matters have met with extensive and detailed criticism in Nichols (2006a, 2006b), and in Ranson, Nichols, Rouse, and Harrington (2009). There is reason to doubt, however, that seeking any supposed core for the clinical scales is desirable, even if possible. And Greene’s (2011b) finding that *each* of the RC scales reliably decomposes into two or three factors indicates that the procedures followed by Tellegen et al. failed to achieve their goal of unidimensional constructs. However, their effort to create a set of scales that demonstrate reduced covariation as compared with the clinical scales was at least nominally successful. Across the five clinical samples reported in Tellegen et al., the average intercorrelation among the clinical scales is .54, whereas that among their RC counterparts is .41, a 24% increase in scale independence. Unfortunately, the average intercorrelation among the RC scales and their clinical scale counterparts is only .70, a 29% decrease in the fidelity of the RC version to its parent clinical scale. Such a drop is easily understood when it is realized that fewer than half of the items on the RC scales derive from their clinical scale precursors.

Nevertheless, with some notable exceptions (Binford & Liljequist, 2008; Wolf, Miller, Orazem, Weierich, Castillo, Milford, & Keane, 2008), subsequent research has shown that, at least in general, the RC scales have performed reasonably well in predicting external criteria when such criteria and comparison scales have not been unduly selective (see, e.g., Sellbom, Graham, & Schenk, 2006, and the comment thereupon by Greene, Rouse, Butcher, Nichols, & Williams, 2009), and have often performed better than their clinical scale parents against usually unidimensional external criteria. However, the latter finding needs to be understood in the context of the differences in the structure of the RC scales as compared with that of the clinical scales. Whereas most of the clinical scales are heterogeneous in item content, Scales 1 and 7 being the exceptions, most of the RC scales are, like the MMPI-2 content scales, more homogeneous, with *RC4* and *RC9* the exceptions (Cheng, 2008; Depaoli & Meyers, 2007; Nichols, 2009b). Save for only *RC9*, the RC scales are more highly correlated with—indeed are redundant with—various MMPI-2 content-based scales (Greene et al., 2009;

Rouse, Greene, Butcher, Nichols, & Williams, 2008; Tellegen & Ben-Porath, 2008, pp. 339–365; Tellegen, Ben-Porath, & Sellbom, 2009) than they are with their corresponding clinical scales.

On the average, the RC scales are more highly correlated with the content scales as a group among the restandardization men (.392) and women (.414) than they are with the clinical scales for the same men (.351) and women (.355; Tellegen & Ben-Porath, 2008, pp. 315–328). By comparison, the clinical scales are less highly correlated with the content scales among these men (.358) and women (.385; Butcher, Graham, Williams, & Ben-Porath, 1990, p. 61) than are the RC scales. Although these differences are small in absolute terms, they suggest that, if anything, the RC scales were “restructured” away from the clinical scales and toward content-based scales.

The RC scales have been controversial almost from their original publication and have stimulated several research studies and critical evaluations questioning their basis and the methods used in their development (Butcher, Hamilton, Rouse, & Cumella, 2006; Butcher & Williams, 2009; Caldwell, 2006; Gordon, 2006; Nichols, 2006a, 2006b; Ranson, Nichols, Rouse, & Harrington, 2009; Rogers & Sewell, 2006; Rogers, Sewell, Harrison, & Jordan, 2006). The vast majority of the supportive research has come from the authors of the RC scales and their students or colleagues.

One area requiring more investigation involves the comparison of the levels of elevation between the clinical and RC scales among known groups of participants. Although the trends in the research literature are not yet uniform, there are indications that in many contexts the RC scales elevate less readily, that is to say are less sensitive, or more prone to false negatives, than are the clinical scales (Binford & Liljequist, 2008; Cumella, Kally, & Butcher, 2009; Gucker, Kreuch, & Butcher, 2009; Henry, Heilbronner, Mittenberg, Enders, & Domboski, 2009; Megargee, 2006; Pizitz & McCullaugh, 2011; Rogers, Sewell, Harrison, & Jordan, 2006; Sellbom, Ben-Porath, McNulty, Arbisi, & Graham, 2006; Wallace & Liljequist, 2005; Weiss, Bell, & Weiss, 2010; but see also Osberg, Haseley, & Kamas, 2008). The data reported by Megargee and by Pizitz and McCullaugh are particularly concerning in this respect. In a large (> 2,000) sample of incarcerated felons, Megargee found that their mean scores on the RC scales were, on the average, lower than the mean RC scores of the MMPI-2 restandardization sample, and all were below a *T*-score of 56, including *RC4*, a scale one would expect to be significantly elevated among prisoners. Pizitz and McCullaugh, in a sample of convicted male stalkers, found that five of the RC scales (*RC2*, *RC3*, *RC7*, *RC8*, and *RC9*) showed a mean *T*-score below 50, and that the mean *T*-score for *RC4*, a scale that one would expect to be elevated in such a sample, was only 51.7, more